

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Enquiry

	Requirements	Criteria	Deliverables	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
1. COMPANY PROFILE	Company must be able to supply the correct or equivalent Pump, centrifugal D6D-4 1450rpm, 110Kw.	Company to provide detailed Quote referencing the correct reference of the item which we can purchase.	Detailed quote	50%		0%		
	Quantitative & practical experience of Company.	The supplier needs to have more than 5 years experience in supplying similar equipment.	Submit a portfolio of previous similar item supplied that demonstrates that the Tenderer has the appropriate abilities. Submit a list of contactable references that the Employer can contact during tender evaluations or any previous PO's indicating similar products supplied to Eskom	50%		0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	FALSE	0%		
2. TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS	Company to have the capacity to supply the correct Pump, centrifugal, Manufacturer: Rateau, Part number D6D-4, Type: NPSS 4m, Capacity:200 m/hr, Total Head: 120m, Size: FM 39-1-04, Speed: 1450rpm, Stage: 4, Power: 110Kw. The Supplier shall demonstrate the ability supply and deliver the equipement to the requirements and specifications of KNPS and provide all the relevant documentation.	Compliance to KNPS Technical Specification as outlined in Invitation.	Submit technical datasheet and delivery scheduled	100%		0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	FALSE	0%		

Final Analysis				
1. COMPANY PROFILE		35%	0.0%	
2. TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS		35%	0.0%	
TOTAL		70%	0.0%	

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 75% - Partial meet
2 -100% - Meet

The overall score for functionality criteria is analysed as follows:
0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

NOT MEET CONCLUSION

COMPILED BY: T Nkopane

REVIEWED BY: H Ferreira

Functional Evaluation Criteria for Plant Enquiry No: The Supply and Delivery of a Pump and pump components.

Requirement	Criteria	Deliverable	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
1. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	A Quality Assurance Programme that meets the intent of a typical Quality Management System (QMS) or requirements as identified on Appendix A of 238-103 rev2	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Receipt Inspection, Purchasing, etc.	35%		0%	0.0%		
2. QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM	Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan : A supplier document specifying the work or production activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (238-103 rev 2, Section 3.2. refers).	Returnable is an example of a QCP or Quality Project Plan for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required .	35%		0%	0.0%		
3. NONCONFORMING PRODUCT/SERVICE	Control of nonconforming product and application for concession	Submit a supplier a Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service	30%		0%	0.0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING		100%	NOT MEET	0%			

Final Analysis						
1. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM			35%	0.0%		
2. QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM			35%	0.0%		
3. NONCONFORMING PRODUCT/SERVICE			30%	0.0%		
TOTAL			100%	0.0%		

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:

A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:

0 - 0% - Does not meet

1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)

2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)

3 - 100% - Meet

The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:

0% - 79% - Does not meet

80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: Vuyo Dzingwe

Signature:



Date: 2023-09-07