

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy – Supply and delivery of conveyor belt drives at Matimba Power Station for a period of five (5) years on an as and when required basis**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **2**

Total Pages: **10**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by



S Manena
System Engineer

Date: 2026/06/17

Functional Responsibility



G Nkuna
Auxiliary Engineering Manager

Date: 2026/06/18

Authorised by



J Mathobela
Engineering Manager

Date: 2026/06/19

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 SCOPE	3
1.1.1 Purpose	3
1.1.2 Applicability	3
1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
1.2.1 Normative	3
1.2.2 Informative	3
1.2.3 Classification	4
1.3 ABBREVIATIONS	4
1.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
1.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
1.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
2.2 TET MEMBERS	5
2.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
2.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
2.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	8
2.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	9
2.6.1 Risks	9
2.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions	9
3. AUTHORISATION	10
4. REVISIONS	10
5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	10
6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	5
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities	8
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks	9
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	9
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	9
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	9

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Eskom, Matimba Power Station Management has decided to outsource the supply and delivery of the conveyor power transmission devices and belt drives to an experienced, well-established, and qualified Service Provider.

This document describes the detail of the applicable requirements, scope of work, specifications, terms & conditions as well as the criteria to qualify for the tender.

1.1 SCOPE

This document sets out the detailed Technical Evaluation Criteria requirements necessary for Ash and Coal plant Maintenance Services.

Technical Evaluation Strategy (TTES) defines the following with regards to this works:

- Qualitative Evaluation Criteria
- Technical Evaluation Team (TET) Member Responsibilities
- Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications

1.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

1.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to the Matimba Power Station

1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

1.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems.
- [3] 240-6219227, Life Safety Rules
- [4] Supply and Delivery of the Conveyor Power Transmission devices and belt drives scope of work
- [5] Occupational Health and Safety Act, Act 85 of 1985

1.2.2 Informative

- [6] 240-53716726: Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form Template

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1.2.3 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

1.3 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ISO	International Organization for Standards
SoW	Scope of Work
EAF	Energy Availability Factor
QCP	Quality Control Plan
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
TTES	Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy

1.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The Technical Evaluation Team (TET) will be responsible for setting up the technical evaluation criteria and evaluating the bidding candidates' submissions. The TET will perform their duty as prescribed and dictated by the guidelines of the Eskom's Tender Evaluation Procedure with the intent of appointing a competent contractor to execute the works.

Below are some of the key roles and responsibilities as prescribed in the Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure:

- **Engineering Manager:** All Engineering Managers throughout Eskom shall ensure that all staff, in their respective areas understand and adhere to this procedure.
- **Technical Evaluation Team (TET):** The delegated engineers/technical specialists who are responsible to review and evaluate technical aspects of the tender documentation as per the Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

1.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

The TET will perform their evaluations and provide their recommendations as per the Eskom's Tender Evaluation Procedure.

1.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Not Applicable

2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 80%.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Shandukani Manena	Bulk Material Handling Engineer
TET 2	Eva Mtileni	Coal Plant System Engineer
TET 2	Nelson Pitjadi	Coal Plant Senior Technician
TET 3	Siphamandla Tyabule	Ash Plant Senior Technician

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	n/a		

2.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)		Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	The tenderer to submit proof that it has successfully supplied and delivered similar spares to any Eskom Power Stations/Bus or Industrial site in the past 5 years.	Proof of orders and delivery notes delivery accepted and stamped and/or signed by the Client.	20 or More spares orders delivered and accepted by the client.	5	40
			10 to 19 spares orders delivered and accepted by the client.	4	
			4 to 9 spares orders delivered and accepted by the client.	2	
			No evidence submitted/ submitted with deviations.	0	

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy - Supply and delivery of conveyor belt drives at Matimba Power Station for a period of five (5) years on an as and when required basis.

Revision:

2

Page:

7 of 11

2.	Material transportation, storage, and packaging	The tenderer shall provide the following: 1. Provide transportation and storage procedures. 2. Type of packaging methods	Detailed material transportation, storage, and packaging procedures with technical specifications provided	5	20
			Adequately detailed transportation, storage, and packaging procedures with no technical specifications provided	4	
			Transportation, storage, and packaging procedures provided but not relevant to SOW	2	
			No Material transportation, storage, and packaging procedures provided	0	
3.	Material data sheets supplied	The tenderer shall provide the data sheet as minimum. Which should contain the material certificate or the layout drawings.	The Tenderer supplied more than 80% of the datasheets/layout drawings.	5	40
			The Tenderer supplied more between 50% and 79% of the datasheets/layout drawings.	4	
			The Tenderer supplied more between 20% and 49% of the datasheets/layout drawings.	2	
			No Submission of documentation	0	
			TOTAL: 100		

2.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
N/A				
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1.	X	X	X	X
2.	X	X	X	X
3.	X	X	X	X

2.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

2.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Supply of spare as per scope of work

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	A different technical specification to the one supplied, different dimensions and/or unavailability of spares

2.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None

3. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Gift Nkuna	Manager Auxiliary Engineering, Matimba Power Station
Shandukani Manena	Ash Plant System Engineer, Auxiliary Engineering, Matimba Power Station
Jacky Mathobela	Engineering Manager, Matimba Power Station

4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
November 2024	1	T Modiba	First Draft
June 2026	2	S Manena	Revised the title and qualitative evaluation criteria as per strategy com recommendation

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Sizwe Buthelezi
- Tshegofatso Modiba
- Shandukani Manena

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Not Applicable

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.