water & sanitation

Department:
Water and Sanitation
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

ENQUIRIES: Ms H van der Merwe
TELEPHONE: 012 336 7725
REFERENCE: 4/8/3/1/4/WP11544

DEPARTMENTAL BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE

BID NO: WP11544

REQUEST APROVAL FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDER LISTED ON SITA TRANSVERSAL CONTRACT 1183 TO CONDUCT A BUSINESS APPLICATION PORTFOLIOS FULL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT A SOLUTION ARCHITECTURE FOR LEGACY APPLICATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND SANITATION FOR A PERIOD OF EIGHT (8) MONTHS

1. PURPOSE

1.1 To request approval for the appointment of **Intellehub (Pty) Ltd** listed on RFB1183/2022 to conduct a business application portfolios full assessment and develop a solution architecture for legacy application for the Department of Water and Sanitation for a period of eight (8) months at an amount of **R4 709 480.00**.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 The request for advertisement of a tender was received from Directorate: ICT Applications and Business Solutions and was approved by Departmental Bid Specification on 17 October 2025.

2.2 Subsequent to the approval, a request for quotations were sent to the following service providers listed on the RFB 1183/2022 contract as per the supplier selection form on 22 January 2026.

NUMBER	NAME OF SERVICE PROVIDER
1.	Accenture (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd
2.	Adapt IT (Pty) Ltd
3.	Alteram Solutions (Pty) Ltd
4.	African Ideas Corporation (Pty) Ltd
5.	Analytics Hive
6.	Brace Innovations (Pty) Ltd
7.	CCG Systems (Pty) Ltd
8.	Chimera Holdings (Pty) Ltd
9.	Datacentric (Pty) Ltd
10.	Deloitte Consulting (Pty) Ltd
11.	DLK Group (Pty) Ltd
12.	DuWardgan Intrepeneurs CC
13.	IOCO Technology South Africa / EOH

14.	EQ Plus Technologies (Pty) Ltd
15.	Exponant (Pty0 Ltd
16.	Fullstream Business Services (Pty) Ltd
17.	Gijima Holdings (Pty) Ltd
18.	Hyper Data (Pty) Ltd
19.	Intellehub (Pty) Ltd
20.	Invoke Solutions (Pty) Ltd
21.	Matupunuka ICT (Pty) Ltd
22.	Metrowired (Pty) Ltd
23.	Mits Institute (Pty) Ltd
24.	Moya Technology CC
25.	Ndiza Information Systems
26.	Neo Africa Advisory (Pty) Ltd
27.	NKS Capital (Pty) Ltd
28.	Pax Divitiae (Pty) Ltd
29.	Praxis Computing (Pty) Ltd
30.	Projectized Management (Pty) Ltd
31.	Providence Software Solutions (Pty) Ltd
32.	PTP Integrated (Pty) Ltd
33.	Purple Blue Technologies (Pty) Ltd
34.	Sizasana Business Services (Pty) Ltd
35.	Sizwe Africa IT Group (Pty) Ltd
36.	Tefeso (Pty) Ltd
37.	Tipp Focus Holdings (Pty) Ltd
38.	Transcomm Information Technology and Services CC
39.	Ulwembu Business Services (Pty) Ltd
40.	XET Solutions (Pty) Ltd
41.	Xon Systems (Pty) Ltd
42.	Zimele ERP Services (Pty) Ltd

2. 3 The following proposals were received on 12 February 2026 during the closing of the tender and were subsequently screened for SCM compliance:

NUMBER	NAME OF BIDDER	BID PRICE
1.	Projectized Management (Pty) Ltd	R 7 789 824.00
2.	Pax Divitiae (Pty) Ltd	R 9 200 000.00
3.	Purple Blue Technologies (Pty) Ltd	R 3 675 400.00
4.	PTP Integrated (Pty) Ltd	R 18 319 040.00
5.	Tipp Focus Holdings (Pty) Ltd	R 7 360 000.00
6.	Intellehub (Pty) Ltd	R 4 709 480.00

3. Evaluation of proposals

3.1 As per the National Treasury prescripts, a cross functional Bid Evaluation Committee made up of the following officials was approved on 20 November 2025.

NO	NAME AND SURNAME	DESIGNATION	GENDER	ROLE
1	Ms Tozama Gabela	Act Director: ICT Applications and Business Solutions	Female	Chairperson
2	Mr Andy Sambo	Director: Water Information Integration	Male	Deputy Chairperson
3	Ms Anette Kitchen	DD: ICT Risk and Security	Female	Member
4	Mr Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	DD: IT Infrastructure and Operations Management	Male	Member
5	Mr Sehloho Moletsane	Scientific Manager: National Water Resource	Male	Member
6	Ms Nwabisa Gantsho	DD: Knowledge Management	Female	Member

3.2 The bid evaluation committee meeting was held on 15, 22, 23 April 2026 and 5 May 2026. The following members were available and formed a quorum.

NO	NAME AND SURNAME	DESIGNATION	GENDER	ROLE
1	Ms Tozama Gabela	Act Director: ICT Applications and Business Solutions	Female	Chairperson
2	Mr Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	DD: IT Infrastructure and Operations Management	Male	Member
3	Mr Sehloho Moletsane	Scientific Manager: National Water Resource	Male	Member
4	Ms Nwabisa Gantsho	DD: Knowledge Management	Female	Member

3.3 Apologies were received for Mr Andy Sambo who retired and Ms Anette Kitchen.

3.4 Prior to evaluation of proposals, the Committee declared their non-conflict of interest and completed the attendance registers followed.

3.4.1 Bidders were evaluated following the order they were numbered by Supply Chain Management Unit.

3.4.2 As directed by the ToRs, the bids have been evaluated in terms of the four (4) phases, namely

(a) Phase 1: Mandatory Requirements
(b) Phase 2: Technical Evaluation and Specification Compliance
(c) Phase 3: Evaluation of Functional and 80 points to achieve to be considered for the next phase.
(d) Phase 4: Price and Specific Goal Points 80/20-point system was used to evaluate the bid.
(e) The bidder scoring the highest point in terms of **price and specific goals** will be awarded the bid.

.4. ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE OF BIDS

4.1 Central Supplier Database Verification

4.1.1 All bidders were verified against the National Treasury Central Supplier Database (CSD) as required and each supplier's report is attached. Below is the summary of the CSD Report.

	RESULTS OF CSD VERIFACTION					
	BIDDER 1 Projectized Management (Pty)ltd	**BIDDER 2 Pax Divitiae (Pty) ltd**	**BIDDER 3 Purple Blue Technologies (Pty) Ltd**	**BIDDER 4 PTP Intergrated (Pty)ltd**	**BIDDER 5 TIPP Focus Holdings (Pty) ltd**	**BIDDER 6 INTELLEHUB (Pty) ltd**
ITEM DESCRIPTION (Indicate Yes or No)	MAAA0551037	MAAA1036485	MAAA0023363	MAAA0071488	MAAA0125572	MAAA00551037
Is the company still **in business**?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Is the **tax status** of the company complaint?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Is the supplier a **VAT Vendor**? If not did you ensure that the quoted amount doesn't include VAT?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Is any of the Directors of the company **employed by the State** as per CSD Report?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Is **any of Director/s of the company** on the National Treasury **Restricted** List of Suppliers as per CSD Report?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Is the **supplier/company** restricted according to the CSD report?	No	No	No	No	No	No

4.1.2 Compliance with submission of SBD Forms by Bidders

	COMPLIANCE IN SUBMISSION OF SBD FORMS					
	BIDDER 1 Projectized Management Pty ltd	**BIDDER 2 Pax Divitiae Pty ltd**	**BIDDER 3 Purple Blue Technologies (Pty) Ltd**	**BIDDER 4 PTP Intergrated (Pty)ltd**	**BIDDER 5 TIPP Focus Holdings Pty ltd**	**BIDDER 6 TIPP Focus Holdings Pty ltd**
SBD 1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SBD 3.1 or 3.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SBD 4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SBD 6.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SBD 6.2: (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	B-BBEE OR SWORN AFFIDAVIT VERIFFICATION					
Is Valid BBBEE Certificate / Sworn Affidavit attached?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
B-BBEE Procurement Recognition/ B-BBEE Contribution Level	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1
B-BBEE Score Card	15/07/2026 QSE	18/09/2026 QSE	29/01/2027 Generic	17/12/2026 Generic	13/03/2026 QSE	10/03/2026 QSE

5. EVALUATION OF THE BIDS

5.1 PHASE 1- MANDATORY COMPLIANCE

5.1.1 All bidders must comply with the mandatory requirements. Failure to submit the document listed below will render the bid non-responsive and will be disqualified and not considered for Phase 2.

No	Requirements	Document to be submitted
1.	Bidder must attach accreditation certificate or letter from SITA for accreditation of RFB 1183 including ICN	Attach a letter from SITA with 1183 accreditation and with relevant the ICN

5.1.2 All six (6) bids were evaluated and verified if they are complying or not complying with the mandatory requirements.

No	Bidder Name	Comply/ Not comply
1.	Projectized Management (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
2.	Pax Divitiae (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
3.	Purple Blue Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
4.	PTP Integrated (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
5.	Tipp Focus Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
6.	Intellehub (Pty) Ltd	Compliant

5.1.3 The Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) members noted that the letters were required to be signed on every page, but State Information Technology Agency (SITA) had only signed the first and last pages. During the meeting, SITA was contacted to confirm the validity of the letters and they verified them under contract RFB 1183/22.

5.1.4 All six bidders complied with all mandatory requirements and qualified to be evaluated further on technical and specification (Phase 2).

5.2 PHASE 2: TECHNICAL EVALUATION AND SPECIFICATION COMPLIANCE

Compliance requirements:

Indicate by marking the relevant column, if you mark on both columns, it will be considered as non-compliance. A bidder who fails to comply with the specification requirements will be disqualified and not considered for further evaluation.

Full compliance to the requirements by indicating compliance or non-compliance on the specification table requirement. Bidders must indicate compliance by means of a (Tick "ü" on Comply) and non-compliance by means of a cross (Cross "X": Not comply).

Table 3 (For a full detailed description of the services refer to SITA RFB 1183/2022 list of ICT services)

ITEM NO	ICN NO	ICT SERVICES AND DEFINITIONS	COMPLY	NOT COMPLY
1	ICN 81112011-0017	**Business Planning And Development**		
		Business Planning And Development - Enterprise Architecture		
	ICN 81112011-0013	Business Planning And Development - Information Systems Architecture		
2	ICN 81112011-0046	**Applications Development Service**		
		AI, IoT, DevOps and Integration Provisioning Services:		
3	ICN 81112011-0005	**ICT Management Services**		
		ICT Management - Project Management		
4	ICN 81112011-0018	**Business Solutions Delivery Services**		
		Business Solutions Delivery - System Analysis and Design		

5.2.1 All six (6) bids were evaluated and verified if they are complying or not complying with the technical/specification requirements.

No	Bidder Name	Comply/ Not comply
1.	Projectized Management (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
2.	Pax Divitiae (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
3.	Purple Blue Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
4.	PTP Integrated (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
5.	Tipp Focus Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Compliant
6.	Intellehub (Pty) Ltd	Compliant

5.2.2 All six bidders complied with all technical/specification requirements and qualified to be evaluated further on functionality evaluation (Phase 3).

5.3 PHASE 2: EVALUATION OF FUNCTIONALITY

The evaluation will be evaluated in accordance with the functionality criteria below:

Evaluation Criteria	Guidelines For Criteria Application	Points values	Weight of Criterion
Project Plan	**Project Plan:** Detailed project plan outlining how the service provider will manage the project for DWS which will include the following: Scope, Milestones, Resources, Costs and Estimated timeframes • All 5 elements = **20 points** • 4 elements = **15 points** • 3 elements = **10 points** • 2 elements = **5 points** • Below 2 – **0 points**		**20**
Methodology	**Methodology:** Detail the methodology and approach that will be followed in the implementation of the required services • Detailed Methodology and Technical Approach Submitted = **10 points** • Only Methodology submitted = **5 points** • Only Technical approach submitted **= 5 points** • No detailed methodology and approach submitted = **0 points**		**10**
Company Track record	10 years and above of experience and expertise in the end to end business application assessment, modernisation, solution architecture design and development of an application blueprint For this request, the Service Provider will need to provide details of track record and understanding as well as provide at least three contactable (3) references with reference letters where similar projects were successfully implemented. • Above 10 Years experience and 3 references = **30 points**; • Above 5 – 10 Years experience and 2 references **= 20 points**; • 3 – 5 Years experience and 1 reference **= 10 points**; • Less than 3 Years experience with no reference = **0 points**		**30**

Evaluation Criteria	Guidelines For Criteria Application	Points values	Weight of Criterion
Team Technical Capability	Detailed Curriculum Vitae (CV) of key project personnel who will be responsible for the project, displaying proven expertise in application assessment, modernisation, and architecture design. **(Please attach CVs to confirm this)** 1. Key personnel with experience and knowledge of modern application paradigms, including microservices, cloud native architectures, and API based integrations 2. Key personnel with knowledge of with digital transformation strategies in similar industries 3. Key personnel with knowledge of Enterprise Architecture and Solution Architecture/Technical Architecture/Data Architecture 4. Key personnel with knowledge of analysing and designing systems, user requirements and business processes • Above 8 Years experience = **25 points**; • Above 6 – 8 Years experience = **20 points**; • Above 4 – 6 Years experience = **15 points**; • Above 2– 4 Years experience = **10 points**; • 1 - 2 Years experience = **5 points**; • Less than 1 Year experience = **0 points**		**25**
Team Qualifications	The following scoring criteria will be implemented for the qualifications of the key project personnel. The key project personnel must have certification in TOGAF or Cloud Architecture and Business Architecture/Business Analysis (Attach copies of certificates as proof): • Appropriate certification in all three 3 courses = **15 points** • Appropriate certification in 2 courses = **10 points** • Appropriate certification in 1 course = **5 points** • No relevant certification = **0 points**		**15**
Total			**100**

5.4 EVALUATION SCORES BY INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS

Each BEC member evaluated and scored each bid individually. Below are the individual scores as well as the summary of consolidated scores.

BIDDER NO 1	PROJECTIZED MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD			
FUNCTIONALITY	Tozama Gabela	Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	Sehloho Moletsane	Nwabisa Gantsho
Project Plan	10	5	10	10
Methodology	10	5	5	5
Company Track record	10	10	10	10
Team Technical Capability	0	0	0	0
Team Qualifications	0	0	0	0
Total Score	**30**	**20**	**25**	**25**
AVERAGE SCORES	**25.00**			

BIDDER NO 2	PAX DIVITIAE (PTY) LTD			
FUNCTIONALITY	Tozama Gabela	Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	Sehloho Moletsane	Nwabisa Gantsho
Project Plan	15	15	15	10
Methodology	10	10	10	10
Company Track record	20	10	20	20
Team Technical Capability	15	25	25	25
Team Qualifications	10	10	10	5
Total Score	**70**	**70**	**80**	**70**
AVERAGE SCORES	**72.50**			

BIDDER NO 3	PURPLE BLUE TECHNOLOGIES (PTY) LTD			
FUNCTIONALITY	Tozama Gabela	Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	Sehloho Moletsane	Nwabisa Gantsho
Project Plan	15	10	15	10
Methodology	10	10	10	10
Company Track record	20	20	20	20
Team Technical Capability	25	25	25	15
Team Qualifications	10	10	10	10
Total Score	**80**	**75**	**80**	**65**
AVERAGE SCORES	**75.00**			

BIDDER NO 4	PTP INTEGRATED (PTY) LTD			
FUNCTIONALITY	Tozama Gabela	Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	Sehloho Moletsane	Nwabisa Gantsho
Project Plan	5	10	10	10
Methodology	10	10	5	10
Company Track record	10	10	10	10
Team Technical Capability	25	25	15	25
Team Qualifications	10	10	5	5
Total Score	**60**	**65**	**45**	**60**
AVERAGE SCORES	**57.50**			

BIDDER NO 5	TIPP FOCUS HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD			
FUNCTIONALITY	Tozama Gabela	Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	Sehloho Moletsane	Nwabisa Gantsho
Project Plan	15	15	15	15
Methodology	10	10	10	10
Company Track record	20	20	20	20
Team Technical Capability	25	25	25	20
Team Qualifications	15	15	15	10
Total Score	**85**	**85**	**85**	**75**
AVERAGE SCORES	**82.50**			

BIDDER NO 6	INTELLEHUB (PTY) LTD			
FUNCTIONALITY	Tozama Gabela	Bonginkosi Nomdlembu	Sehloho Moletsane	Nwabisa Gantsho
Project Plan	10	10	15	10
Methodology	10	10	10	10
Company Track record	20	20	20	20
Team Technical Capability	25	25	25	25
Team Qualifications	15	15	15	10
Total Score	**80**	**80**	**85**	**75**
AVERAGE SCORES	**80.00**			

5.5 CHECKING OF INCONSISTENCIES

Ms Hester van der Merwe, the SCM official allowed the BEC members the opportunity to review any inconsistencies. The members agreed to adjust some of their scores to ensure consistency.

5.6 BIDDERS WHO FAILED TO ACQUIRE THE MINIMUM FUNCTIONALITY THRESHOLD

5.6.1 The minimum functionality evaluation threshold for the bid was 80 points as per the terms of reference.

The following bidders failed to acquire the required threshold and was therefore not evaluated further.

NO	BIDDER NAME	TOTAL FUNCTIONALITY SCORE
1.	Projectized Management (Pty) Ltd	25
2.	Pax Divitiae (Pty) Ltd	72.5
3.	Purple Blue Technologies (Pty) Ltd	75
4.	PTP Integrated (Pty) Ltd	57.50

5.7 BIDDERS WHO ACHIEVED THE FUNCTIONALITY THRESHOLD

5.7.1 The following bidder was able to acquire the minimum 80 points threshold for functionality and qualified to be evaluated on price and BBBEE.

NO	BIDDER NAME	TOTAL FUNCTIONALITY SCORE
5.	Tipp Focus Holdings (Pty) Ltd	82.50
6.	Intellehub (Pty) Ltd	80

6. PHASE 4: PRICE AND POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS EVALUATION

6.1 The allocation of points for specific goals was based on the following:

6.1.1 **Preference points as per approved policy**

	80/20
SPECIFIC GOALS	**NUMBER OF POINTS TO BE ALLOCATED**
Women	5
People with disability	5
Youth (35 and below)	5
Location of enterprise (Province)	2
B-BBEE status level contributors from level 1 to 2 which are QSE or EME	3
TOTAL POINTS SPECIFIC GOALS	**20**

6.1.2 The above scores were determined based of the formula below.

Price

A maximum of 80 points are allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80\left(1 - \frac{Pt - P\ \min}{P\ \min}\right)$$

Where:
Ps = Points scored for comparative price of bid under consideration
Pt = Comparative price of bid under consideration
Pmin = Comparative price of lowest acceptable bid

PC= Mpa x P-own
100
Where

PC= Points awarded for specific goal

Mpa= The maximum number of points awarded for ownership in that specific category

P-own = The percentage of equity ownership by the enterprise or business

6.1.3 For BBBEE and Location (Province) the points were awarded as followed:

	80/20	
Bidder No	**Percentage**	**Points allocated**
Level 1 or 2 BBBEEE who are QSE or EME	100%	3
Location (Province)- service providers located in the procuring province	100%	2

6.1.4 It is stipulated on the terms of reference that bidders who are not QSE or EME with BBBEE level of 1 or 2 and are not located in the procuring province will receive 0 points.

NAME OF BIDDER	SPECIFIC GOALS (AS PER SBD 6.1)	MAXIMUM POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS	PERCENTAGE OWNED	POINTS CLAIMED
BIDDER 5				
Tipp Focus (Pty) Ltd	Women	5	0	0
	People with disability	5	0	0
	Youth (35 and below)	5	0	0
	Location of enterprise (Province)	2	100	2
	B-BBEE status level contributors from level 1 to 2 which are QSE or EME (Only Service provider with level 1 to 2 which are QSE or EME will be allocated 100% points. 0% should be allocated service providers who doesn't fall within the set category	3	0	0
			Total Points	2

NAME OF BIDDER	SPECIFIC GOALS (AS PER SBD 6.1)	MAXIMUM POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS	PERCENTAGE OWNED	POINTS CLAIMED
BIDDER 6				
Intellehub (Pty) Ltd	Women	5	0	0
	People with disability	5	0	0
	Youth (35 and below)	5	0	0
	Location of enterprise (Province)	2	100	2
	B-BBEE status level contributors from level 1 to 2 which are QSE or EME (Only Service provider with level 1 to 2 which are QSE or EME will be allocated 100% points. 0% should be allocated service providers who doesn't fall within the set category)	3	100	3
			Total Points	5

6.1.5 The following bidders were evaluated on price and specific goals as per the comparative schedule.

Bid No	Bidders Names	Bid Price	Price points	Total Specific Goals Points	Total Points
5	Tipp Focus (Pty) Ltd	R7 360 000.00	34.98	2	36.98
6	Intellehub (Pty) Ltd	R4 709 480.00	80.00	5	**85.00**

7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The funds will be available from the Directorate: ICT Applications and Business Solutions.

Responsibility	Objective	Item	Estimated Cost
DIR: ICT APPLICATIONS & BUSINES SOL	INFORMATION & COMM TECHNOLOGY	EXT COMP SER: INFOR SERVICES	R5 000 000.00

8. RECOMMENDATION BY THE BID EVALUATION COMMITTEE

8.1 It is recommended that approval be granted to appoint Bidder No 6: **Intellehub (Pty) Ltd** listed on RFB1183/2022 who scored the highest points in terms of Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022 to conduct a business application portfolios full assessment and develop a solution architecture for legacy application for the Department of Water and Sanitation for a period of eight (8) months at an amount of **R4 709 480.00**.

ROLE	NAME AND SURNAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
Chairperson	Ms Tozama Gabela		07/05/2026
Member	Mr Bonginkosi Nomdlembu		07/05/2026
Member	Mr Sehloho Moletsane		07/05/2026
Member	Ms Nwabisa Gantsho		07/05/2026

RECOMMENDATION IN PAR 8.1 APPROVED / ~~NOT APPROVED~~

CHAIRPERSON: DBAC
DATE:

APPROVED
DEPARTMENTAL BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE
DATE: 26/06/24