



MHSC
Mine Health and Safety Council

Established in terms of Section 41(1) of the Mine Health and Safety Act, 1996 (Act 29 of 1996)
Western Woods Office Park, 145 Western Service Road, B7 Maple Place, Woodmead
Tel. No. (011) 656 1797 | Fax: (011) 656 1796

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (RFQ)

DESCRIPTION: APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO REVIEW, RATIONALISE AND MIGRATE THE MINERALS ACT (NO. 50 OF 1991) REGULATIONS INTO THE MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT (NO. 29 OF 1996)

RFQ NUMBER: 2572

CLOSING DATE AND TIME: 31 August 2026 @ 11:00

BID DOCUMENTS MUST BE SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY TO THE FOLLOWING EMAIL ADDRESS:

ebids@mhsc.org.za

NB: Only bid documents submitted via the designated email above will be accepted.

Enquiries: Any clarification required by a bidder regarding the meaning or interpretation of the document or any aspect concerning the submission is to be requested in writing from:

Supply Chain Management – MHSC: ebids@mhsc.org.za

SBD 1



MHSC

Mine Health and Safety Council

1. PART F: STANDARD BIDDING DOCUMENTS

PART A INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE MINE HEALTH SAFETY COUNCIL					
BID NUMBER:	2572	CLOSING DATE:	31 August 2026	CLOSING TIME:	11:00
DESCRIPTION	APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO REVIEW, RATIONALISE AND MIGRATE THE MINERALS ACT (NO. 50 OF 1991) REGULATIONS INTO THE MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT (NO. 29 OF 1996)				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE DESIGNATED EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW					
ebids@mhsc.org.za					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	SCM		CONTACT PERSON	SCM	
TELEPHONE NUMBER			TELEPHONE NUMBER		
FACSIMILE NUMBER	N/A		FACSIMILE NUMBER	N/A	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	ebids@mhsc.org.za		E-MAIL ADDRESS	ebids@mhsc.org.za	
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
FACSIMILE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
E-MAIL ADDRESS					

VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
<i>ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES OFFERED?</i>	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		<i>ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES OFFERED?</i>		☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER THE QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW]

QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS

IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?

☐ YES ☐ NO

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?

☐ YES ☐ NO

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?

☐ YES ☐ NO

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?

☐ YES ☐ NO

IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?

☐ YES ☐ NO

IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.

PART B
TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:

- 1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
- 1.2. **ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED (NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.**
- 1.3. THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
- 1.4. **THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED, WHERE APPLICABLE TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).**

2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
- 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
- 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA.
- 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
- 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
- 2.6 WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
- 2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:
(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:



STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF RFQ

- A submission submitted in response to this RFQ will constitute a binding offer which will remain binding and irrevocable for a period of **thirty (30) days** from the date of submission to the MHSC. Bidders may not modify their initial pricing offer whilst the RFQ validity period is still in force. **(RFQ Validity Period: 30 days from date of closure)**
- No service will be rendered, or goods delivered before an official MHSC Purchase Order has been issued to the awarded bidder.
- It is the responsibility of the bidder to ensure that its response reaches MHSC on or before the closing date and time of the RFQ.
- Bidders may not make any alterations or additions to the content of this bid document, except to comply with the instructions issued by the MHSC.
- There shall be no discussions with any enterprise until evaluation of the proposal has been complete.
- RFQ's received after closing time and date will be classified as **LATE** and will not be considered.
- With regards to pricing, where a bidder submits both a quote and SBD 3, should the total bid prices differ, or calculation errors be identified, the one indicated on the SBD 3 pricing schedule shall be considered the correct price.
- MHSC reserves the right to cancel this RFQ due to the following reasons:
 - a) Due to changed circumstances, there is no longer a need for the services specified in this RFQ.
 - b) Funds are no longer available to cover the total envisaged expenditure for the project.
 - c) No RFQ meets the required specifications.
 - d) There is a material irregularity in the RFQ process.
 - e) Bidder fails to deliver in accordance with the requirements of the RFQ. The MHSC reserves the right to terminate the contract/PO during the first week after work has commenced should the appointed service provider have misrepresented themselves and/or their product and will not be able to fulfil the requirements as contained in the contract.



TERMS OF REFERENCE/SCOPE OF WORK

1.1 OVERVIEW

The Mine Health and Safety Council is a national public entity (Schedule 3A) established in terms of the Mine Health and Safety Act, No 29 of 1996, as amended.

The entity comprises a tripartite board represented by State, Employer, and Labour members under chairmanship of the Chief Inspector of Mines. The MHSC is funded by public revenue and is accountable to Parliament.

The main task of the Council is to advise the Minister of Mineral Resources on occupational health and safety legislation and research outcomes focused on improving and promoting occupational health and safety in South African mines. The Council also oversees the activities of its committees; promotes a culture of health and safety in the mining industry; arranges a summit every two years to review the state of occupational health and safety at mines; and liaises with the Mining Qualifications Authority and any other statutory bodies about mining health and safety.

1.2 DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS/SCOPE OF WORK

The MHSC seeks to appoint a suitably qualified service provider to undertake a legal, technical and regulatory review of the remaining applicable health and safety-related regulations made under the Minerals Act and still applicable within the current MHSA framework, and to develop a consolidated MHSA-aligned regulatory framework incorporating the migrated applicable Minerals Act regulatory provisions.

The review must identify regulatory overlaps, inconsistencies, obsolete provisions, duplication, gaps, and areas requiring amendment, repeal, amendment, revision, consolidation, or migration into the MHSA framework. The outcome of the project must be a legally and technically aligned



draft regulatory package suitable for consideration by the MHSC advisory structures, namely, the Mining Regulations Advisory Committee (MRAC) and Legal Drafting Committee (LDC).

The appointed service provider will be expected to:

- Conduct a legal and technical review of the remaining applicable health and safety-related regulations made under the Minerals Act and still applicable within the current MHSA framework to identify overlaps, inconsistencies, and duplications with existing MHSA regulations.
- Produce a consolidated regulatory rationalisation report detailing provisions recommended for repeal, amendment, revision, consolidation, retention, or migration into the MHSA framework.
- Produce a draft consolidated MHSA-aligned regulatory framework with supporting explanatory notes and justifications.

1.1 Special Conditions: (if any)

- All deliverables and milestone reports shall be subject to review and written approval by the MHSC prior to acceptance and progression to subsequent project phases.
- The service provider must be available to provide presentations to the MHSC's advisory committees, namely the Mining Regulations Advisory Committee (MRAC) and Legal Drafting Committee (LDC);
- The service provider must demonstrate prior experience with legislative and regulatory drafting, preferably within the mining, occupational health and safety, or public sector context.
- The service provider must showcase a strong working knowledge of the Mine Health and Safety Act.
- The team must include at least one qualified legal professional with expertise in legislative analysis and drafting.
- The service provider must complete all service-provider activities and deliverables within four (4) months of appointment.
- Bidders may submit proposals as a consortium or multidisciplinary team, provided that the combined team demonstrates the required legal, technical, mining and regulatory competencies.

- 
- The service provider may be required to attend additional technical engagements and presentations with MHSC advisory structures as reasonably required during the project duration.

2. SCOPE OF WORK

The service provider will be required to undertake the following as part of the scope of work:

- 2.1 Project Initiation – Contracting, inception planning and methodology for execution of the project.
- 2.2 Conduct a legal and technical review of the remaining applicable health and safety-related regulations made under the Minerals Act, including identification of overlaps, inconsistencies, obsolete provisions, duplication, and regulatory gaps within the current MHSA framework.
- 2.3 Undertake a regulatory mapping and gap analysis exercise between the remaining applicable Minerals Act regulatory provisions, existing MHSA regulations, and current mining industry practices to identify provisions requiring repeal, amendment, revision, consolidation, retention, or migration into the MHSA.
- 2.4 Develop a consolidated regulatory rationalisation framework outlining recommendations and justifications for:
 - 2.4.1 repeal of obsolete provisions;
 - 2.4.2 amendment or revision of existing provisions;
 - 2.4.3 migration of applicable residual Minerals Act regulatory provisions into the MHSA framework; and
 - 2.4.4 development of additional provisions where regulatory gaps are identified.
- 2.5 Conduct technical engagement sessions and presentations with relevant MHSC advisory structures, including the Mining Regulations Advisory Committee (MRAC) and Legal Drafting Committee (LDC), to solicit inputs on the proposed regulatory framework and recommendations.

- 
- 2.6 Prepare a draft consolidated MHSA-aligned regulatory package incorporating the migrated applicable Minerals Act regulatory provisions, together with supporting explanatory notes and justifications, suitable for submission to MRAC and LDC.

The service provider must ensure that all proposed migrated provisions are aligned with the structure, terminology and legislative framework of the Mine Health and Safety Act.

3. EXPECTED OUTCOMES

- 3.1 Inception report detailing the methodology, project plan, timelines, and technical engagement approach.
- 3.2 Legal and technical review report on the remaining applicable health and safety-related Minerals Act regulatory provisions, including identified overlaps, inconsistencies, obsolete provisions, and regulatory gaps.
- 3.3 Regulatory mapping and gap analysis matrix indicating provisions recommended for repeal, amendment, revision, consolidation, retention, or migration into the MHSA framework.
- 3.4 Regulatory rationalisation report containing detailed recommendations and motivations for all proposed regulatory actions.
- 3.5 Technical engagement and consultation report summarising inputs received from MHSC advisory structures, key issues raised, and proposed resolutions.
- 3.6 Draft consolidated MHSA-aligned regulatory package incorporating migrated applicable Minerals Act regulatory provisions, including supporting explanatory notes and justifications.
- 3.7 Final revised consolidated MHSA-aligned regulatory framework incorporating migrated applicable Minerals Act regulatory provisions and comments from the MHSC, MRAC and LDC, including a comment-response matrix indicating how stakeholder comments were addressed.

1.3 CONTRACT PERIOD

The contract will be valid for a period of 5 months.



4. REQUIRED EXPERIENCE

- 4.1 Minimum of 10 years' experience in legislative or regulatory drafting, preferably within the mining, occupational health and safety, engineering, environmental, or public regulatory sectors.
- 4.2 Minimum of 10 years' experience in mining engineering, mine health and safety, mining operations, or mining regulatory implementation.
- 4.3 Demonstrated experience in mining legislation, subordinate legislation, regulatory reform, or statutory interpretation within the South African mining sector will be advantageous.

Note: In instances whereby team members resign or become unavailable during the project duration, bidders must ensure that replacement resources possess the required qualifications and experience, subject to approval by the MHSC.

RFQ EVALUATION PROCESS

SCM COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS)

The RFQ will be evaluated in various phases as mentioned below:

- 1. **Phase 1:** SCM compliance requirements (returnable documents).
- 2. **Phase 2:** Mandatory/Technical evaluation.
- 3. **Phase 3:** Technical / Functional evaluation
- 4. **Phase 3:** Price and Specific Goals evaluation.

Phase 1: SCM Compliance requirements (Returnable documents)

RFQs received will be verified for completeness and correctness. MHSC reserve the right to accept or reject an RFQ based on the completeness and correctness of the documentation and information provided.

No award will be done without complete provision of returnable documents and any schedules.

Returnable documents are categorized as follows:

Invitation to Bid (SBD 1)	Fully completed and signed.
Bidders' Disclosure form (SBD 4)	Fully completed and signed.
SBD 6.1 (Preference Claim Form)	Fully completed and signed. Proof of evidence: • valid and certified sworn affidavit or B-BBEE certificate. • Bidders should ensure the points are correctly claimed for the specific goals and information is captured correctly and information is true.
Pricing Schedule (SBD 3).	The bidder must submit and attach to the RFQ response fully completed pricing Schedule (SBD 3). Pricing schedules must be completed in full .
Proof of registration on the Central Supplier Database (CSD) of National Treasury	Please provide proof of registration on the Central Supplier Database. Only suppliers who are registered with the Central Supplier Database (CSD) will be considered.
Tax Verification	Proof of Tax Verification PIN from SARS or CSD supplier number

NB: MHSC only conducts business with bidders whose tax matters and CSD registration are in order. Failure to comply in terms of tax obligations and CSD

registration will render your RFQ non-responsive and disqualified. It is the responsibility of the bidder to ensure they are tax compliant and are registered on CSD at time of submitting their response.

Phase 2: Technical / Functional/ Mandatory Evaluation

Mandatory requirements.

The following Technical / Functional requirements which are mandatory must be complied with by the bidder.

Please note that bidders will not be evaluated further if they do not provide evidence confirming compliance with any of the specified mandatory requirements.

MANDATORY TECHNICAL / FUNCTIONAL	COMPLY	NOT COMPLY
<u>CRITERIA 1</u> The project team must include at least one legally qualified professional holding a Bachelor of Laws (LLB) degree. Evidence: Certified copy of LLB. qualification and CV of the legal expert		

Phase 3: Technical / Functional Evaluation

Evaluate the bid responses in line with the evaluation criteria detailed under paragraph “*Technical / Functionality evaluation*”. Bidders must achieve **[70%]** in this phase for their bid to progress to the next phase of evaluation.

FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA			
Functionality	Evidence required	points	weights
CRITERIA 1: COMPANY EXPERIENCE - Greater than 5 years' experience in consulting in legislative/regulatory drafting or regulatory compliance-related work = 5 points 5 years' experience in consulting in legislative/regulatory drafting or regulatory compliance-related work = 3 points - Less than 5 years' experience = 0 points <i>No company profile attached or number of years not included = 0 points. CIPC registration documentation will not be accepted as proof of relevant consulting experience. The company profile must state the number of years of relevant consulting experience and briefly describe the relevant projects or services undertaken</i>	EXPERIENCE OF THE SERVICE PROVIDER / COLLABORATOR IN CONSULTING IN LEGISLATIVE/REGULATORY DRAFTING OR REGULATORY COMPLIANCE-RELATED WORK (COMPANY PROFILE STATING NUMBER OF YEARS IN CONSULTING IN LEGISLATIVE/REGULATORY DRAFTING OR REGULATORY COMPLIANCE-RELATED WORK MUST BE ATTACHED)	5	10

FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

CRITERIA 2: REFERENCE LETTERS

- 5 or more reference letters that meet all criteria as stipulated above = 5 points
- 4 reference letters that meet all criteria as stipulated above = 4 points
- 3 reference letters that meet all criteria as stipulated above = 3 points
- Less than 3 reference letters that meet all criteria as stipulated above = 0 points
- No reference letter = 0 points

Note: Letters that do not meet all the criteria as stipulated above will not be considered, and will qualify for 0 points

SIGNED AND VERIFIABLE REFERENCE LETTERS ON VALID LETTER HEADS WITH CONTACTABLE DETAILS FROM ORGANISATIONS WHERE PREVIOUS RELATED CONSULTING IN LEGISLATIVE/REGULATORY DRAFTING OR REGULATORY COMPLIANCE-RELATED WORK WAS CONDUCTED BY THE SERVICE PROVIDER (ATTACH VERIFIABLE REFERENCE LETTERS)

5

10

CRITERIA 3: TEAM MEMBER EXPERIENCE IN LEGISLATIVE OR REGULATORY DRAFTING

- More than 10 years' experience = 5 points
- 10 years' experience = 3 points

EXPERIENCE OF AT LEAST ONE TEAM MEMBER WITH A MINIMUM OF 10 YEARS EXPERIENCE IN LEGISLATIVE OR REGULATORY DRAFTING (CV STATING NUMBER OF YEARS

5

20

FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA			
Less than 10 years' experience or no CV attached = 0 point	EXPERIENCE MUST BE ATTACHED)		
CRITERIA 4: TEAM MEMBER QUALIFICATION IN LAW - Master's degree or higher in Law, with a specialisation or demonstrable academic focus in legislative drafting, public or administrative law, regulatory law, mining law, occupational health and safety law = 5 points. - Bachelor of Law (LLB) degree = 2 points. No LLB or higher degree in Law = 0 points.	QUALIFICATION OF TEAM MEMBER IN LAW (ATTACH COPIES OF THE QUALIFICATIONS)	5	10
CRITERIA 5 : TEAM MEMBER EXPERIENCE IN MINING ENGINEERING, MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY OR MINING REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION EXPERIENCE OF AT LEAST ONE TEAM MEMBER	A MINIMUM OF 10 YEARS EXPERIENCE IN MINING ENGINEERING, MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY, MINING OPERATIONS OR MINING REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION/DEVELOPMENT (CV STATING NUMBER OF YEARS OF EXPERIENCE MUST BE ATTACHED)	5	20

FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

• More than 10 years' experience = 5 points • 10 years' experience = 3 points • Less than 10 years' experience = 0 points No CV attached = 0 points			
CRITERIA 6: QUALIFICATIONS IN MINING ENGINEERING OR MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY • Master's Degree or above = 5 points • Honours degree = 3 points • Bachelor's degree or equivalent qualification = 2 points • Less than Bachelor's degree or no qualification = 0 points	QUALIFICATION OF TEAM MEMBER IN MINING ENGINEERING OR MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY (ATTACH COPIES OF THE QUALIFICATIONS)	5	10
CRITERIA 7: QUALITY OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL (METHODOLOGY, PROJECT SCOPE AND PROJECT SCHEDULE)	THE PROJECT PROPOSAL MUST ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING FOUR REQUIREMENTS:	5	20

FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

- The proposal sufficiently addresses all the four requirements = 5 points
- The proposal does not address all the four requirements = 0 points

Note: *Proposals that reproduce the scope of work text verbatim, without interpreting or translating it into a clear methodological approach, will not be considered to have provided a valid methodology and will be scored = 0 points.*

1. the regulatory review, technical engagements, legal analysis, regulatory mapping and drafting process.
2. The proposed methodology must be practical, feasible, and aligned with the project scope, timelines and technical engagement requirements.
3. The proposal must sufficiently address all items of the project scope provided in the project terms of reference.
4. The proposal must have a project schedule comprising all expected project outcomes, clear timelines, and costing.

**(ATTACH PROJECT PROPOSAL
AS PER THE PROVIDED
PROPOSAL TEMPLATE)**

Phase 4: Price and Specific Goals Evaluation

PRICING INSTRUCTIONS	
1.	Applicable currency: All prices shall be quoted in South African Rand (R).
2.	Completion of pricing schedule: Bidders shall complete the pricing schedule in full, inserting all the information required therein.
3.	Price Quotation Basis: total prices quoted must be inclusive of all applicable taxes including VAT, less all unconditional discounts, plus all costs to deliver the services and/or goods. Where imported goods/services are to be used, and pricing is subject to exchange rate fluctuations, the exchange currency against the Rand must be stipulated, as well as the exchange rate at the time of bidding. The portion of the bid price subject to exchange rate fluctuations must be stated. Price changes whether because of CPI, PPI, industry extensions or expansions will be allowed in terms of the signed contract by both parties.)
4.	Submission of pricing: bidders must submit their pricing proposals with the technical proposal. The pricing folder must be clearly labelled as such.
5.	Validity Period: SBD 3 is valid for 30 days from date of closure of this RFQ
6.	Payment terms: Payment will be made in accordance with Treasury Regulations 15.10.1.and 8.2.3 (within 30 days from receipt of invoice after completion of deliverables).

PRICE SCHEDULE (SBD 3.1 Firm Unit Prices/SBD 3.2Non-Firm Unit Prices/SBD3.3 Professional Fees)

The following Schedule of Prices must be completed by the Tenderer. The total price must include everything necessary to complete the terms of the Specifications or scope of work.

Total Cost is determined by multiplying quantity by unit price for all line items.

Quantity	Description	Unit price Excluding VAT	TOTAL Price Excl VAT
1	Project initiation	R	R
1	Legal and technical review of Minerals Act regulations	R	R
1	Regulatory mapping and gap analysis	R	R
1	Development of a consolidated regulatory rationalisation framework outlining recommendations and justifications	R	R
1	Technical engagement sessions and presentations to MHSC advisory structures	R	R
1	Draft consolidated MHSA-aligned regulatory framework and supporting documentation	R	R
1	Final revision and close out presentation	R	R
SubTotal Excl VAT			R
VAT@15%			R
Grand Total Incl VAT			R

TOTAL BID PRICE (VAT Inclusive): R.....

Specific goals

Bid price proposals are compared on an equal and fair basis, considering all aspects of the bid pricing requirements. Qualifying bids are ranked on price and specific goals points claimed in the following manner:

- (i) **Price** - with the lowest priced bid receiving the highest price score as set out in the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022.
- (ii) **Preference** - preference points are allocated in accordance with the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act (Act 5 of 2000) and its Regulations 2022 as claimed in the specific goals claim form (SBD 6.1) are added to the price ranking scores. The points for specific goals must be supported by a valid B-BBEE certificate or certified sworn affidavit.

A maximum of 80 points will be allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where;

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- Pt = Price of tender under consideration
- Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

A maximum of 20 points will be allocated for specific goals on the following basis:

- The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system in line with the specific goals of the RFQ as outlined in SBD 6.1.

1.4 PRICE NEGOTIATIONS

The award of this RFQ may be subject to price negotiations where there are opportunities where prices are not market related. Under no circumstances will negotiation with any Bidders, constitute an award or promise/ undertaking to award the contract.



1.5 PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 of 2013 (POPIA)

MHSC adheres to the Protection of Personal Information Act, 4 of 2013 (POPIA) requirements regarding personal information which came into effect 1 July 2021. As MHSC, we are committed to protecting your privacy and ensuring that personal information collected is used properly, lawfully, and transparently.

1.6 OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY

The service provider acknowledges that he is fully aware of the provisions of the OHS Act 85 of 1993 and that he is an employer in his own right with duties and responsibilities as prescribed in the Act.

1.7 IMPORTANT NOTICE TO BIDDERS

Bidders are to be aware of scammers who pose as MHSC employees selling bid documents or offering monetary gratuity in exchange for information or awarding of bids.

MHSC is in no way selling the bid document, all documents shall be found on the MHSC website and National Treasury eTender Portal and awarded bids are notified through the website and MHSC shall never ask any bidder for monetary gratuity in exchange for information or manipulating outcome of bids.

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC)

In accordance with the Framework for Supply Chain Management [Section 76 (4) (c) of the PFMA] that was promulgated in Government Gazette Number 25767 on 5 December 2003 as Treasury Regulations, National Treasury is required to issue general conditions of contract and bid documentation for supply chain management.

This Request for Quotation and any contract emanating from this Request for Quotation are subject to the General Conditions of Contract (GCC) which were revised in July 2010.



The General Conditions of Contract (GCC) revised and issued by National Treasury in July 2010 are available on the website of National Treasury.

http://ocpo.treasury.gov.za/Resource_Centre/Legislation/General%20Conditions%20of%20Contract-%20Inclusion%20of%20par%2034%20CIBD.pdf

The SBD 7 contract form will be required, where applicable, from the recommended bidder upon award.

DUE DILIGENCE

The MHSC reserves the right to conduct supplier due diligence prior to final award or at any time during the contract period. This may include site visits, reference checks and requests for additional information. The MHSC may where applicable request samples from the bidder/s to confirm capability.

RFQ AWARD

Awarding of RFQ's will not be published on the National Treasury e-tender portal or MHSC' website. No regret letters will be sent out. An RFQ is considered awarded when an official purchase order as signed by the delegated authority of the MSC is issued to the service provider. Goods may be delivered, or services may be rendered only with an official purchase order as signed and issued by the MHSC.

REASONS FOR DISQUALIFICATION

MHSC reserves the right to disqualify any bidders who do not comply with one or more of the following RFQ requirements and may take place without prior notice to the bidder:

- Bidder whose tax matters are not in order (Instruction Note 09 of 2017/2018 Tax Compliance Status will apply).
- Bidders who are not registered with the Central Supplier Database (CSD).
- submitted incomplete information and documentation according to the requirements of this RFQ document.

- 
- submitted information that is fraudulent, factually untrue or inaccurate information.
 - received information not available to other potential bidders through fraudulent means.
 - failed to comply with mandatory and technical requirements as stipulated in the RFQ document.
 - misrepresented or altered material information in whatever way or manner.
 - promised, offered, or made gifts, benefits to any The Mine Health and Safety Council (MHSC) employee.
 - canvassed, lobbied to gain unfair advantage.
 - committed fraudulent acts; and
 - acted dishonestly and/or in bad faith etc.

NB: it is the responsibility of the bidder to ensure the bid response is fully completed and signed and all required documents are valid and submitted upon closing date. The bidder should ensure that their response reaches the MHSC on the stipulated date and time.

Bidders are not allowed to contact any other MHSC staff in the context of this tender other than the indicated officials under SBD 1 above or as mentioned under “correspondences”.



MHSC
Mine Health and Safety Council

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE (SBD 4)

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of institution	State

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.



2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.

3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF

PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND

COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS

DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bidder

SBD 6.1

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **90/10** preference point system.
- b) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.

Either the **90/10 or 80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where

P_s = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

P_t = Price of tender under consideration

P_{min} = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 + \frac{P_t - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$$

Where

P_s = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

P_t = Price of tender under consideration

P_{max} = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must,

in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
- (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.)

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points allocated (example)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Black owned enterprises “enterprises owned by black people”. Persons historically disadvantaged on the basis of race:	Total Points: 10 100% black ownership = 10 76% to 99% black ownership = 8 61% to 75% black ownership = 6 41% to 60% black ownership = 4 20% to 40% black ownership = 2	For example, if the bidder’s Black Ownership is between 61% and 75%, it will score/claim 6 points for 80/20	

	0 to 19% black ownership = 0		
<i>Black women owned enterprises</i> <i>“Enterprises owned by women”</i> Persons historically disadvantaged on the basis of gender:	Total Points: 5 100% black women ownership = 5 76% to 99% black women ownership = 4 61% to 75% black women ownership = 3 41% to 60% black women ownership = 2 20% to 40% black women ownership = 1 0 to 19% black women ownership = 0	For example, if the bidder is 61 to 75% owned by Women, it will score/claim 3 points for 80/20.	
<i>Enterprises owned by Youth</i> <i>“Enterprises owned by people who are youth.”</i> Persons historically disadvantaged on the basis of youth.	Total Points: 5 100% owned by youth = 5 76% to 99% owned by youth = 4 61% to 75% owned by youth = 3 41% to 60% owned by youth = 2 20% to 40% owned by youth = 1 0 to 19% owned by youth = 0	For example, if the bidder is 61 to 75% owned by Women, it will score/claim 3 points for 80/20.	
Total Points for Specific Goals	20.00		

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not



exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and

- (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

SURNAME AND NAME:

DATE:

ADDRESS:

.....

.....

.....

.....