



tourism

Department:
Tourism
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

STANDARD BIDDING DOCUMENT (SBD1) - REQUEST FOR QUOTATIONS

PART A REQUEST FOR QUOTATIONS (RFQ)

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE (DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM)

RFQ NUMBER: IM03132025	CLOSING DATE: 17-01-2024	CLOSING TIME: 11H00
-------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------

DESCRIPTION: **TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ALLEGED SCM ASSET MANAGEMENT IRREGULARITIES**

BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE DEPOSITED IN THE RFQ BOX SITUATED AT (STREET ADDRESS) OR PROVIDED EMAIL ADDRESS

PHYSICAL ADDRESS : 17 TREVENNA STREET, SUNNYSIDE, PRETORIA 0002 OR EMAIL ADDRESS: QUOTATIONSSCM@TOURISM.GOV.ZA
NOTE: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE BIDDER TO ENSURE THAT COMPLETED RFQ DOCUMENT IS DELIVERED TO THE CORRECT EMAL OR PHYSICAL ADDRESS ON BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME.

BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO		TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:	
CONTACT PERSON	Ms O Sekgweleo	CONTACT PERSON	Ms T Sibiya / Mr L Sethemane
TELEPHONE NUMBER	012 444 6773	TELEPHONE NUMBER	012 444 6291 / 012 444 6297
E-MAIL ADDRESS	osekgweleo@tourism.gov.za	E-MAIL ADDRESS	tsibiya@tourism.gov.za / lsethemane@tourism.gov.za

SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?		☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER PART B:3]

QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS	
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?	☐ YES ☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?	☐ YES ☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?	☐ YES ☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?	☐ YES ☐ NO
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?	☐ YES ☐ NO
IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.	

/A

PART B TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:

- 1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED DATE AND TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. ALL COMPLETED DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE SEND TO quotationsscm@tourism.gov.za OR HAND DELIVERED AT **TOURISM HOUSE, 17 TREVENNA STREET, SUNNYSIDE, PRETORIA 0002**. PHYSICAL SUBMISSION OR HAND DELIVERED RFQ DOCUMENTS MUST BE COMPLETED IN THE REGISTER FOR QUOTATIONS. BIDDERS FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE REGISTER WILL INVALIDATE THE RFQ. LATE BIDS/RFQ WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED WHEN MAKING A DECISION TO AWARD.
- 1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED – OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT. THE **STANDARDS BIDDING DOCUMENTS (SBD) FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED** WITH THE RFQ / BID DOCUMENTS.
- 1.3. BIDDER'S ARE NOT ALLOWED TO ALTER THE CONTENT AND SEQUENCE OF INFORMATION IN THE SBD4 FORM.
- 1.4. THE UNDERSIGNED BIDDER DECLARES AND FURTHER AGREES TO HAVE READ 2010 VERSION OF THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) IS AVAILABLE ON THE NATIONAL TREASURY WEBSITE. TO ACCESS THE GCC THE BIDDER SHOULD CLICK THE FOLLOWING LINK <http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/> OR DOWNLOAD THE DOCUMENT FROM THE NATIONAL TREASURY.
- 1.5. THE **80 / 20** PREFERENTIAL POINT SYSTEM WILL BE APPLIED WHEREIN **80** POINTS IS FOR PRICE AND **20** POINTS IS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS. TENDERS WITH A RAND VALUE OF BETWEEN R 30 000 BUT NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). FIRSTLY, THE BID SUBMISSION / RFQ WILL EVALUATED IN LINE WITH THE SET CRITERIA OR FUNTIONALITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THEREAFTER PROPOSAL WILL BE EVALUATED ON POINTS FOR PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS.
- 1.6. THE DEPARTMENT MAY APPLY THE 80/20 POINT SYSTEM IN RESPECT TO TENDERS BETWEEN R 2 000 AND R 30 000 (INCLUSIVE OF APPLICABLE TAXES). THAT WILL BE STIPULATED IN THE INVITATION TO BID/RFQ.
- 1.7. POINTS SCORED FOR **SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE** AND THE TOTAL WILL BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE **NEAREST TWO (2) DECIMAL PLACES**.
- 1.8. A **TENDER OR RFQ MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER WHO SCORE THE HIGHEST TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS** IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM (PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS) UNLESS OBJECTIVE CRITERIA IN TERMS OF SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA ACT NO 5 OF 2000 JUSTIFY THE AWARD OF THE TENDER TO ANOTHER TENDERER
- 1.9. BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO **SUBMIT RESPONSIVE BIDS BY COMPLETING ALL PRICING AND ITEM INFORMATION** IN LINE WITH THE ENITIRE SCOPE OF WORK/GOODS/SERVICES. SHOULD THE SUPPLIER FAIL TO QUOTE ON THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF WORK AS PER THE RFQ THE DEPARTMENT MAY NOT AWARD THE CONTRACT TO THE SUPPLIER.
- 1.10. THE DEPARTMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO **NEGOTIATE WITH THE BIDDERS PRIOR OR POST AWARD**.
- 1.11. THE DEPARTMENT MAY **ALLOCATE ZERO/NIL POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WHERE PROOF IS NOT SUBMITTED** WITH THE RFQ.
- 1.12. BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE VALIDITY PERIOD (IN DAYS) OF PRICE QUOTATION AFTER THE CLOSING DATE

2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
- 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
- 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE **WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA**
- 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
- 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
- 2.6 WHERE NO TCS IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
- 2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

3. LIST OF RETURNABLES

BIDDERS SHOULD PLEASE ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS

- a) TICK APPLICABLE BOX
- b) ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SUBMITTED AND SIGNED WHERE APPLICABLE
- c) USE THE PRESCRIBED SEQUENCE IN ATTACHING THE **ANNEXURES** THAT COMPLETE THE BID OR RFQ DOCUMENT

ANNEXURES	DOCUMENT DECRPTION	YES	NO
PART A & B	IS BID INVITATION FORM AND TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE A	IS THE STANDARD BID DOCUMENT (SBD4) FORM BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE B	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY WOMAN SUBMITTED.		

	i. SHAREHOLDING (CERTIFICATE) PORTFOLIO BY PROOF OF REGISTRATION OF THE COMPANY WITH COMPANIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REGISTRATION OFFICE (CIPRO) / COMPANIES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMMISSION (CIPC). IN CASE OF JOINT VENTURE, A BIDDER MUST SUBMIT PROOF OF REGISTRATION FOR BOTH ENTERPRISES; ii. CERTIFIED COPY (COPIES) OF ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE WOMAN OR WOMEN; AND iii. A CSD FULL REPORT AND NOT SUMMARIZED PREFERABLY DRAWN PRIOR TO THE CLOSING DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED BY BIDDERS.		
ANNEXURE C	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK PERSON (S) SUBMITTED. i. SHAREHOLDING (CERTIFICATE) PORTFOLIO BY PROOF OF REGISTRATION OF THE COMPANY WITH COMPANIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REGISTRATION OFFICE (CIPRO) / COMPANIES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMMISSION (CIPC). IN CASE OF JOINT VENTURE, A BIDDER MUST SUBMIT PROOF OF REGISTRATION FOR BOTH ENTERPRISES; ii. CERTIFIED COPY (COPIES) OF ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF BLACK PERSON(S); AND iii. A CSD FULL REPORT AND NOT SUMMARIZED PREFERABLY DRAWN PRIOR TO THE CLOSING DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED BY BIDDERS.		
ANNEXURE D	QUALIFYING EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME) – AND OR QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE) – MUST SUBMIT A VALID B-BBEE SWORN AFFIDAVIT (VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER) ACCOMPANIED BY CIPS BUSINESS REGISTRATION AND SHARE CERTIFICATE. IN CASE OF JOINT VENTURE TO CLAIM POINTS, A CONSOLIDATED B-BBEE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY AN ACCREDITED VERIFICATION AGENCY, IRRESPECTIVE OF THE SIZE OF THE COMPANIES INVOLVED IN A JOINT VENTURE MUST BE SUBMITTED. THE DEPARTMENT CANNOT ACCEPT JOINT VENTURE AFFIDAVIT.		
ANNEXURE E	IS THE BIDDER'S QUOTED PRICE OR FINANCIAL OFFER SUBMITTED AND ALIGNED WITH THE SCOPE OF WORK? OR STATED IN THE BELOW TABLE OF DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE/GOODS?		

4. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

4.1 DEFINITIONS

HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDI) IS DEFINED AS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN –

- a) WHO, DUE TO THE APARTHEID POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE, HAD NO VOTING RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1983 (ACT NO. 100 OF 1983) OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1993 (ACT NO. 200 OF 1993) ("THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION") AND OR
- b) WHO IS A WOMAN, AND / OR
- c) WHO HAS DISABILITY

4.2 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY PERSON WHO RECEIVED SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZENSHIP ON OR BEFORE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION, WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE HDI.

4.3 ANY REFERENCE TO WORDS "BID" OR "BIDDER" HEREIN AND/OR IN ANY OTHER DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO HAVE THE SAME MEANING AS THE WORDS "TENDER" OR "TENDERER".

4.4 "A WOMAN" REFERS TO A FEMALE PERSON WHO IS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN

4.5 "DISABILITY" REFERS TO A PERSON WITH A PERMANENT PHYSICAL DISABILITY, MENTAL DISABILITY, AWARENESS DISABILITY, WHICH LEADS TO CONFINEMENT OR DISABILITY, OR THE INABILITY TO PERFORM BODILY FUNCTIONS IN THE MANNER OR WITHIN THE CAPACITY OF A NORMAL PERSON.

4.6 "HDI EQUITY OWNERSHIP" REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF A PARTNERSHIP OR BUSINESS THAT IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS, OR IN THE CASE OF A COMPANY, THE PERCENTAGE OF SHARES WHICH IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR BUSINESS AND WHO EXERCISES CONTROL IN THE BUSINESS IN RELATION TO THEIR OWNERSHIP AT THE CLOSE OF TENDER. WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE BUSINESS AND WHO DOES NOT EXERCISE CONTROL IN RELATION TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP, EQUITY OWNERSHIP POINTS CANNOT BE AWARDED.

4.7 "BLACK PEOPLE" IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS AFRICANS, COLOURED AND INDIANS WHO ARE CITIZENS OF THE RSA BY BIRTH OR DESCENT OR BY NATURALISATION BEFORE 27 APRIL 1994 OR AFTER.

4.8 "SMALL ENTERPRISE" MEANS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUSINESS ENTITY, TOGETHER WITH ITS BRANCHES OR SUBSIDIARIES, IF ANY, INCLUDING COOPERATIVE ENTERPRISES, MANAGED BY ONE OWNER OR MORE PREDOMINANTLY CARRIED ON IN ANY SECTOR OR SUBSECTOR OF THE ECONOMY.

4.9 "YOUTH" IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS PERSONS BETWEEN 14 TO 35 YEARS OF AGE.

4.10 "EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME)" IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE

WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF R 10 MILLION OR LESS.

4.11 "QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE)" IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF BETWEEN R 10 MILLION AND R 50 MILLION

4.12 "SPECIFIC GOALS" REFERS TO CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS, OR CATEGORIES OF PERSONS, HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED BY UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, GENDER OR DISABILITY AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMME AS PUBLISHED IN THE GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 16085 DATED 23 NOVEMBER 1994.

4.13 80 / 20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

TENDERERS WILL BE AWARDED POINTS AS FOLLOWS:

a)	TENDER PRICE	:	80 POINTS	} SPECIFIC GOALS - MAXIMUM OF 20 POINTS
b)	WOMEN OWNERSHIP	:	06 POINTS	
c)	BLACK OWNERSHIP	:	12 POINTS	
d)	SMME'S (EME OR QSE)	:	02 POINTS	
TOTAL			100 POINTS	

4.14 THE POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL MUST BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST 2 DECIMAL PLACES

4.15 TENDER PRICE

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE POINTS OUT OF 80 FOR PRICE IN RESPECT OF TENDER WITH A RAND VALUE NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER MUST SCORE 80 POINTS FOR PRICE, AND OTHER TENDERS WHICH ARE HIGH IN PRICE MUST SCORE FEWER POINTS, ON PRO RATA BASIS.

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$$

WHERE -

PS = POINTS SCORED (AWARDED) FOR PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION
PT = PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION; AND
PMIN = PRICE OF THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER

4.16 SPECIFIC GOALS

4.16.1 % OWNED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE WOMEN

A MAXIMUM OF SIX (06) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A WOMAN. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR WOMEN WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBER/S WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE. **DOCUMENTS REQUIRED ARE DETAILED ON ANNEXURE B ABOVE AND MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THE RFQ/BID RESPONSE TO CLAIM POINTS.**

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY WOMEN-----%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $6 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} =$

4.16.2 % OWNED BY BLACK PEOPLE

A MAXIMUM OF TWELVE (12) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK AND DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF AN HDI. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACKS WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE. **DOCUMENTS REQUIRED ARE DETAILED ON ANNEXURE C ABOVE AND MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THE RFQ/BID RESPONSE TO CLAIM POINTS.**

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK PERSON(S) WHO DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $12 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} =$

4.17 SMALL, MEDIUM AND MICRO ENTERPRISES (SMME'S)

A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS SMME

IS THE COMPANY CLASSIFIED AS EME OR QSE? **DOCUMENTS REQUIRED ARE DETAILED ON ANNEXURE D ABOVE AND MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THE RFQ/BID RESPONSE TO CLAIM POINTS.**

YES = 2 POINTS

NO = 0 POINT

4.18 THE DEPARTMENT CAN ONLY AWARD POINTS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE CORRECTLY COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSALS IN LINE WITH LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS ON PARAGRAPH THREE (3) ABOVE. POINTS OBTAINED FOR PRICE SHOULD BE ADDED TO POINTS OBTAINED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS.

4.19 INFORMATION ON THE DETAILED **CSD FULL REPORT (DIRECTORS / SHAREHOLDERS)** SHOULD BE THE SAME AND SUPPORTED BY COPIES OF IDENTITY DOCUMENTS AND COMPANY REGISTRATION DOCUMENTS / SHAREHOLDER CERTIFICATES.

5. CRITERIA FOR BREAKING DEADLOCK IN SCORING

- IF TWO OR MORE OF THE TENDERERS HAVE SCORED EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS, THE CONTRACT WILL BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER THAT SCORED THE HIGHEST POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS;
- IF TWO OR MORE TENDERES SCORE EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN ALL RESPECTS, THE AWARD WILL BE DECIDED BY THE DRAWING OF LOTS

6. DELIVERIES

- ALL DELIVERIES MAY BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DELIVERY NOTE OR AN INVOICE OF AN OFFICIAL PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER AGAINST WHICH THE DELIVERY HAS BEEN AFFECTED
- DELIVERIES NOT COMPLYING WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER FORM MAY BE RETURNED TO THE SUPPLIER(S) AT THE SUPPLIER'S EXPENSE. THE DEPARTMENT WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PAYMENT OF INCORRECTLY DELIVERED GOODS OR SERVICE
- BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE PLANNED DELIVERY PERIOD (IN DAYS) FROM THE DATE AN ORDER IS ISSUED

7. POPIA DISCLAIMER

7.1 COMPLIANCE WITH PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013

PERSONAL INFORMATION SHARED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM (DEPARTMENT) SHALL BE TREATED WITH CONFIDENTIALITY AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA) AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCLAIMER, "PERSONAL INFORMATION" SHALL BE DEFINED AS DETAILED IN THE PROMOTION OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, ACT 2 OF 2000 (PAIA) AND POPIA, AND "PROCESSING" AND "FURTHER PROCESSING" SHALL BE READ, INTERPRETED AND UNDERSTOOD AS DETAILED AND DEFINED IN POPIA.

7.2 CONSENT TO PROCESSING AND FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

THE DEPARTMENT MAY PROCESS AND FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION, INTERNALLY OR EXTERNALLY, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND/OR AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE DEPARTMENT MAY SHARE PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ITS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, LEGAL AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS AUTHORISED TO PROCESS THIS INFORMATION. THE DEPARTMENT MAY THUS PLACE RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN DUE TO THE NATURE AND REQUIREMENTS OF ITS WORK.

7.3 FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

YOU FURTHER GRANT THE DEPARTMENT EXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED PERMISSION TO FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION AND PLACE IT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS.

7.4 DUTY OF CARE

THE DEPARTMENT VALUES YOUR PRIVACY AND SHALL TAKE ALL REASONABLE MEASURES TO PROTECT RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION.

7.5 EXEMPTION FROM LIABILITY

THE DEPARTMENT (INCLUDING ITS OFFICIALS AND/OR EMPLOYEES) ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, FOR ANY LOSS, DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL) AND/OR EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER WHICH MAY ARISE AS A RESULT OF, OR WHICH MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FROM INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE HEREIN, OR ACTIONS OR TRANSACTIONS RESULTING THEREFROM

NO	SCOPE OF WORK (DESCRIPTION SERVICES OR GOODS)	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
	TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ALLEGED SCM ASSET MANAGEMENT IRREGULARITIES Refer to Part C below for detailed Terms of Reference.	1	
	TOTAL PRICE EXCLUDING VAT		
	VAT@ 15 % (ONLY IF THE BIDDER/SUPPLIER IS REGISTERED FOR VAT)		
	GRAND TOTAL INCLUDING VAT (TOTAL BID OR RFQ PRICE)		

NB: FAILURE TO SUBMIT DULY COMPLETED FORMS AND SIGNED AUTHORISATION DECLARATION, WITH THE REQUIRED ANNEXURE(S), IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROVISIONS MAY INVALIDATE THE BID FOR SUCH GOODS OR SERVICES OFFERED.

PART C

TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ALLEGED SCM ASSET MANAGEMENT IRREGULARITIES

1. PURPOSE

The Department intends to appoint a professional service provider to undertake an investigation to determine the root causes associated with the irregular asset management practices specifically focusing of the asset verification and disposal processes.

These Terms of Reference invite potential service providers that possess the necessary experience and capabilities to submit a proposal in response to the issued request for quotation (RFQ).

2. BACKGROUND

The department, identified through its various systems of internal control, alleged SCM irregularities related to the asset management.

The PFMA and its regulations require that irregular activities should be investigated and that appropriate corrective actions be taken to address control deficiencies.

The department has therefore decided to conduct a detailed investigation on the identified irregularities:

- to independently review and confirm the identified irregularities;
- to determine whether the identified irregularities resulted to a financial loss to the department.
- to identify root causes that led to the identified irregularities;
- to provide recommendations for appropriate corrective mechanisms;
- to provide a written report outlining the investigation results.

3. SCOPE OF THE INVESTIGATION

The investigation will look at various SCM practices related to the asset management process. More specifically the following must be investigated:

- non-compliance with SCM processes and prescripts related to the asset management processes;
- alleged mismanagement of departmental assets with specific focus on:
 - asset disposal process for the periods 2022/23, 2023/24 and 2024/25 (disposed assets);
 - asset verification processes undertaken for the periods 2022/23, 2023/24 and 2024/25;

- (iii) unverified assets that could not be accounted for; and
- (iv) record-keeping maintained by SCM Asset Management Unit related to asset management process;
- (c) obtain and gather reliable evidence;
- (d) review and identify whether the department suffered any financial loss;
- (e) identify root causes that led to the identified irregularities;
- (f) provide recommendations for appropriate corrective mechanisms; and
- (g) provide a written report outlining the investigation results.

4. **METHODOLOGY**

Within the scope and mandate of this investigation, the investigating team is expected:

- (a) To review all documentation pertaining to the affecting the scope of investigation;
- (b) To interview any and all persons within the department who may assist the investigation;
- (c) To interview any and all persons outside of government (potential service providers) who may be of assistance;

A clear investigation proposal detailing among others the company profile, plan, methodology, resources, timelines and procedures to be performed needs to be developed and submitted together with the signed request for quotations.

5. **DURATION AND PAYMENT**

The investigation should be undertaken and completed within sixty (60) working days from the date of award.

The services rendered shall be invoiced and payment would be processed upon the completion of the investigation process and the submission of a final investigation report to the department.

6. **COMPETENCIES REQUIRED**

This project requires a competent service provider with extensive experience in auditing projects and a proven track record in providing investigation services in the public sector.

The service provider should have a team of experienced personnel with the capability to deliver quality and professional services timeously.

The service provider should have the following capabilities:

- (a) Clear understanding of government processes and policies particularly on SCM Asset Management Practices;
- (b) Extensive experience of 10 years of conducting investigations;
- (c) Manager / team Leader should possess a minimum of 05 years' investigation experience.
- (d) Team members (investigators) should possess a minimum of five (05) years of investigation experience.
- (e) The manager and senior investigators should be in possession of an investigation certification from the relevant regulatory body.

7. **EXPRESSION OF INTEREST AND COSTING**

The service provider shall submit a proposal that among other things includes:

- (a) Company profile demonstrating extensive investigation experience;
- (b) A brief description of the project;
- (c) Detailed methodology;
- (d) Implementation plan for conducting the investigation including procedures to be performed;

- (e) Schedule with clear timeframes;
- (f) Indication of the capacity and ability to perform the project;
- (g) List of similar projects undertaken by the service provider in the past (including the list of references and their contact details);
- (h) List of project team; qualification/s and certification, experiences and professional membership per resource; and
- (i) Breakdown of costs per resource in terms of hours and applicable rates.

8. PRICING

The proposals and the quoted price must be inclusive of all costs including VAT (where applicable).

9. REPORT

A final written report must be submitted to the Accounting Officer within 60 days from the commencement date. The written report ("the Report") must be set out in detail:

- (a) methodology adopted;
- (b) procedures performed;
- (c) factual findings;
- (d) conclusions; and
- (e) recommendations.

The Service provider will be expected to regularly report progress to the Accounting Officer. In instances whereby, the Accounting Officer is implicated based on the factual finding/s identified, the service provider will be expected to provide progress and final report to the Executive Authority (The Minister of Department of Tourism).

10. EVALUATION CRITERIA

The Department will evaluate all proposals in terms of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act No 5 of 2000 (PPPFA) including its amendments. The RFQ and proposals will be evaluated in the following phases:

Functional / Technical Evaluation

The service provider will be evaluated on functionality. The bid documents will be evaluated individually on score sheet, according to the evaluation criteria indicated in the Terms of Reference. All bidders who scored at least 60 out of 100 for functionality will be considered for further evaluation.

The following criteria will be used in particular as the criteria for appointment:

Criteria Description	Application of the Criteria (Sub-Criteria)	Scoring scale	Maximum score	Weight
Company Profile	1. No company profile. 2. Company profile with demonstration of less than 10 years of investigation experience.	1. = 0 points 2. = 5 points 3. = 10 points	10	10

Criteria Description	Application of the Criteria (Sub-Criteria)	Scoring scale	Maximum score	Weight
	3. Company profile with demonstration of 10 years and above of investigation experience.			
Current and past proven experience and expertise	Provision of at least three (03) contactable references of similar projects completed in the past 5 years. The following scoring matrix will be used to evaluate: 1 No relevant contactable reference in the past 5 years 2 One (01) to two (02) relevant contactable reference in the past 5 years 3 Three (03) relevant contactable reference in the past 5 years 4 Four (04) relevant contactable reference letters in the past 5 years 5 Five (05) and above relevant contactable reference letters in the past 5 years.	1. = 0 Points 2. = 5 points 3. = 10 points 4. = 20 points 5. = 30 points	30	30
Qualification and experience	Relevant experience of the proposed team in line with forensic audit and	1. = 0 2. = 5 3. = 10	15	40

Criteria Description	Application of the Criteria (Sub-Criteria)	Scoring scale	Maximum score	Weight
per allocated resource	investigation (organogram, CVS and qualifications). <u>Experience</u> 1 less than three (03) years' experience. 2 Three (03) to four (04) years' experience. 3 Five (05) years of experience 4 Above five (05) years of experience	4. = 15		
	<u>Certification</u> Professional certification in fraud or investigations: 1. No professional certification 2. Proposed team has at least one member with professional certification (supervisor or manager) 3. Proposed team has two and above of professional certification (One must be supervisor or manager)	1. = 0 points 2. = 15 points 3. = 25 points	25	

Criteria Description	Application of the Criteria (Sub-Criteria)	Scoring scale	Maximum score	Weight
Technical Approach and Methodology	Presentation of the comprehensive proposal clearly indication the technical approach, methodology and project implementation plan including timelines and hours. 1 Proposal does not comprehensively demonstrate the approach, methodology and project implementation plan (timelines and hours). 2 Proposal demonstrates minimum approach, audit methodology and project implementation plan 3 Proposal comprehensively demonstrates approach, audit methodology and project implementation plan	1. = 5 points 2. = 10 points 3. = 20 points	20	20
TOTAL POINTS ON FUNCTIONALITY MUST ADD TO 100			100	

The bidders that score points which exceed the minimum threshold provided on functionality will further be evaluated on the 80/20 preference point system as per section 4.13 of the request for quotations.

A proposal will be disqualified if it fails to meet the minimum qualifying score for functionality as per the request for quotation.

Investigation proposal must be emailed to quotationsscm@tourism.gov.za or physically submitted in sealed envelope marked for the attention of Ms O Sekgweleo to:

INITIALS TA