

ERRATUM NO.1

1. Purpose of the erratum

The purpose of the erratum is to give clarity to prospective bidders of corrections to certain errors identified in the bid documents.

Amendment 1:

Amendment 1 shall apply to the following bids:

No.	Bid Number	Bid Description
1.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/20	APPOINTMENT OF A CIVIL ENGINEERING PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDER TO CONDUCT AND COMPLETE THE TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT, ROAD MASTERPLAN, STORMWATER MASTERPLAN, AND THE PROVISION OF FULL SERVICES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNAL ROADS AND STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THE MMSEZ NORTH SITE PHASE 1H
2.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/17	PROVISION OF COMPLETE ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR THE INTERNAL ELECTRICAL RETICULATION FOR THE MMSEZ NORTH SITE PHASE 1F
3.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/13	PROVISION OF COMPLETE CIVIL ENGINEERING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR THE WASTE MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THE MMSEZ NORTH SITE PHASE 1G

Amendment:

Weighing allocated to the **support staff** criterion was incorrectly reflected in the tender document. The weighting is hereby corrected to:

- Ability to provide **Two (2)** additional technical staff capacity = **5 points**
- Ability to provide **Three (3)** additional technical staff capacity = **15 Points**
- Ability to provide more than **Four (4)** additional technical staff capacity = **25 points**



Amendment 2:

Amendment 2 shall apply to the following bids:

No.	Bid Number	Bid Description
1.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/08	APPOINTMENT OF A CIVIL ENGINEERING PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDER TO CONDUCT AND COMPLETE THE TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT, ROAD MASTERPLAN, STORMWATER MASTERPLAN, AND THE PROVISION OF FULL SERVICES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNAL ROADS AND STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THE MMSEZ NORTH SITE PHASE 1H

Amendment:

The number of supporting professionals reflected in the tender document was incorrectly stated.

In line with the evaluation criterion under Stage 2 : Substantive Compliance Responsiveness Criteria, the requirement is for six (6) supporting professionals.

The number is hereby corrected to:

Ability to provide a minimum of Six (6) additional technical supporting professionals.

Furthermore, the sentence preceding the scoring criteria under the supporting professional criterion was incorrectly reflected on the functionality evaluation stage

The sentence is hereby corrected to:

- Each of the **Six (6)** supporting professionals will be scored as follows:

The scoring allocation remains unchanged:

- 15 years' experience & above post qualification experience = 5 points
- 10 years or more but below 15 years' post qualification experience = 4 points
- 7 years or more but below 10 years' post qualification experience = 3 points
- 5 years or more but below 7 years' post qualification experience = 2 points
- Less than 5 years' post qualification experience = 0 points



Amendment 3:

Amendment 3 shall apply to the following bids:

No.	Bid Number	Bid Description
1.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/09	APPOINTMENT OF A PANEL OF PROFESSIONAL MECHANICAL ENGINEERING SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR A PERIOD OF 36 MONTHS
2.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/10	APPOINTMENT OF A PANEL OF PROFESSIONAL QUANTITY SURVEYING SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR A PERIOD OF 36 MONTHS
3.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/16	APPOINTMENT OF A PANEL OF PROFESSIONAL ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR A PERIOD OF 36 MONTHS
4.	MMSEZ/INF/2026/07/01	APPOINTMENT OF A PANEL OF PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR A PERIOD OF 36 MONTHS

Amendment:

The number of supporting professionals reflected in the tender document was incorrectly stated.

The number is hereby corrected to:

“ Each of the **Three (3)** supporting professionals will be scored as follows”.

