



REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

Terms of Reference

INVITATION TO BID

APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO CONDUCT AN ETHICS MANAGEMENT MATURITY ASSESSMENT

RFQ NO.

RFQ-110-23

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

You are hereby invited to submit a price quotation for:

APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO CONDUCT AN ETHICS MANAGEMENT MATURITY ASSESSMENT

RFQ NUMBER:	RFQ-110-23
ADVERTISEMENT DATE:	30 November 2023
CLOSING DATE:	07 December 2023
CLOSING TIME:	12:00
BID DOCUMENT DELIVERY ADDRESS:	scmquotations@sanparks.org (Please note that any submissions made to any other email other than the designated email will not be accepted)
BID VALIDITY PERIOD:	90 days (commencing from the RFQ Closing Date)
TECHNICAL RELATED QUERIES	arnitus.forbes@sanparks.org
SCM RELATED QUERIES	mphe.masia@sanparks.org

Bidders should ensure that bids are delivered timeously to the correct address. If the bid is late, it will not be accepted for consideration.

Bidders shall submit proposal responses in accordance with the prescribed manner of submissions as specified above. Bids received after the time stipulated shall not be considered.

Where applicable, the successful bidder will be required to fill in and sign a written Contract Form (SBD 7).

Bidders are not allowed to contact any other SANParks staff in the context of this RFQ other than the indicated officials under SBD 1 or as indicated above.

NB: No proposal shall be accepted by SANPARKS if submitted in any manner other than as prescribed above.

PART A INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL PARKS					
BID NUMBER:	RFQ-110-23	CLOSING DATE:	07 December 2023	CLOSING TIME:	12:00
DESCRIPTION	APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO CONDUCT AN ETHICS MANAGEMENT MATURITY ASSESSMENT				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MUST BE SENT TO THE DESIGNATED EMAIL ADDRESS					
scmquotations@sanparks.org					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	Mpho Masia		CONTACT PERSON	Arnitus Forbes	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	012 426 5083		TELEPHONE NUMBER	012 426 5118	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	mpho.masiaError! source not found. Reference		E-MAIL ADDRESS	arnitus.forbes@sanparks.org	
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE	TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No		B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL SWORN AFFIDAVIT	[TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No	
[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE/ SWORN AFFIDAVIT (FOR EMES & QSEs) MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE POINTS FOR B-BBEE]					
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER THE QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW]	
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS					
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?				☐ YES ☐ NO	

IF THE ANSWER IS “NO” TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.

PART B TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:

- 1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
- 1.2. **ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED – (NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.**
- 1.3. THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
- 1.4. **THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).**

2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
- 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
- 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA.
- 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
- 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
- 2.6 WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
- 2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

.....

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:

.....

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g., company resolution)

DATE:

.....

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Request for Quotation (RFQ) is to invite service providers to conduct an Ethics Management Maturity Assessment.

2. BACKGROUND

South African National Parks (SANParks) is a public entity functioning under National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act 57 of 2003 (Act 57 of 2003); with the mandate to conserve; protect; control; and manage national parks and other defined protected areas and their biological diversity (Biodiversity). As a public entity, SANParks is also governed by the Public Finance Management Act, Act 1 of 1999 (as amended by Act 29 of 1999), and it is listed as Schedule 3 Part A: public entities.

In 2019, the Department of Forestry, Fishery and the Environment (DFFE) commissioned the micro-governance review of the environmental sector entities. This process resulted in the publication of a report on the micro-governance review of SANParks that revealed a governance maturity rating of 4 out of a scale of 5, where 5 is indicative of the highest level of maturity available. The governance and management of ethics was one of the nine areas that were assessed. During the assessment, the ethics section scored 2 out of a scale of 5, indicative that ethics management was still at a developmental stage.

SANParks has since implemented an ethics management programme, which includes, amongst others, the following components: ethics risk assessment, strategy and plan, ethics ambassadors, ethics reporting to governance structures, training and awareness.

The objective of this initiative is two-fold, firstly to assess the maturity of the ethics management in line with the DFFE assessment model to determine any changes in the maturity level. Secondly, to conduct a comprehensive ethics management maturity assessment in line with best practice.

3. SCOPE OF WORK

SANParks aims to appoint a suitable, qualified and experienced service provider to conduct an ethics management maturity assessment based on the DFFE assessment model, as well as against best practice models, and to make recommendations that will assist the entity to improve and strengthen the overall ethic management.

The service provider must provide the following:

- Assess the ethics management maturity of SANParks against the DFFE assessment model. And provide an opinion of the organisation's maturity level against the DFFE maturity model. (The DFFE assessment reports will be provided to the successful bidder).
- Conduct the ethics management maturity assessment using a qualitative methodology.
- Analyse the evidence provided and engage key ethics management role players to understand the context of SANParks's ethics management.
- Provide a well-considered and supported maturity indicator.
- Provide an opinion of the organisations' maturity level against the industry best practice.

KEY DELIVERABLES

1. Qualitative ethics management maturity assessment.
2. Full report on the outcome with an indicator of the overall maturity status.

TIMEFRAMES

Three months from the date of issuing the order.

4. COMPLIANCE AND GOVERNANCE VERIFICATION DOCUMENTS

(Standard Bidding Documents)

The verification during this phase is to assess the bid responses for purposes of verifying compliance with RFQ requirements, whereby a bidder may be disqualified if they do not fully comply with requirements as stipulated below:

- Submission of fully completed SBD1 (Invitation to Bid),
- Submission of a quotation on the company letterhead,
- Submission of fully completed SBD 4 (Bidder's disclosure),
- Submission of fully completed SBD 6.1
- Submission of fully completed SBD 7.2

5. CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE INFORMATION

Bidders are required to be registered on the Central Supplier Database (CSD) of National Treasury. Failure to submit the requested information may lead to disqualification. (Please provide proof of registration on the Central Supplier Database)

6. FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

Bidders will be evaluated on the following criteria and must meet the minimum threshold of 70% to proceed to the “Price and Specific goals” stage of evaluation. Any bidder who fails to meet the minimum threshold will be disqualified.

NO	FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA	WEIGHTING FACTORS	POINTS	DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED FOR EVALUATION	POINTS ALLOCATION
1	Proposed Methodology Plan and Work Approach	40	4	•Proposed Approach and Methodology – Detailed explanation of the methodology in terms of the scope and linkage to the specific output with specific reference to: ✓ the process of conducting an ethics management maturity assessment	•The approach and methodology not submitted = 0 Points •The approach and methodology include one element not in terms of the scope and linkage to the specific output and is not clearly defined. = 1 Point •The approach and methodology include two elements in terms of the scope and linkage to the specific output and are not clearly defined= 2 points •The approach and

					methodology include three elements in terms of the scope and linkage to the specific output and are clearly defined=3 points •The approach and methodology include more than 3 elements and are comprehensive and clearly defined indicating the bidder is capable and experienced to deliver the required outcome = 4 points
2	Project Plan	10	4	•Project Plan – Provide a detailed project plan with a clear presentation of activities and timelines	•Project plan not submitted= 0 points •The project plan is not detailed nor clearly defined = 1 point •The project plan is detailed with unclear presentation of activities

					and timelines = 2 points •The project plan is detailed with clear presentation of activities and timelines = 3 points •The project plan is comprehensive and clearly defined indicating the bidder is capable and experienced to deliver the required outcome = 4 points
3	Company Track Record/Experience in conducting ethics management maturity assessments	25	4	•Number of similar successful projects delivered to organisations with more than 300 – 1000 employees. •Documentary proof from three contactable referees (Reference Letter/Testimonials) that the bidder successfully executed similar projects in the past five years. •Documentary proof must include the following: ✓ Company name on a letterhead ✓ Full Contact Details of Company ✓ Nature of service rendered	No referees submitted= 0 points Three contactable referees with less than three similar projects successfully executed in the past five years = 1 point Three contactable referees with 3 – 4 similar projects

				✓ Date service rendered (start and end date)	successfully executed in the past five years = 2 points 5 – 9 similar projects successfully executed in the past five years = 3 points Three contactable referees with 10 or more similar projects successfully executed in the past five years= 4 points
4	Company Resources	25	4	•Resources Structure – Provide a detailed resources structure that will render services to SANParks. •Team Member's Profile – Basic functional Structure depicting the team that will be dealing with the day-to-day issues in the ethics management maturity assessment project. •Roles and Responsibilities – Prepare the roles and responsibilities of the team members responsible for preparing, conducting, and administering the project. •Profile of Team Leader: Documentary proof indicating the track record of at least five years' experience in conducting ethics	Project Team Leader has: •Less than five years' experience = 5 points •More than five years' experience = 10 points Project Team members have:

				management maturity assessments depicted in a Curriculum Vitae. Bidder must provide academic qualifications clearly at NQF Level 7. Must be a Certified Ethics Officer. •Profile of Team Members: Documentary proof indicating the track record of at least three years of experience in conducting ethics management maturity assessments depicted in a Curriculum Vitae. Bidder must provide the academic qualifications of team members clearly at NQF Level 6.	•Less than three years' experience = 5 points •More than three years' experience = 10 points
--	--	--	--	--	--

7. PRICING

APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO CONDUCT AN ETHICS MANAGEMENT MATURITY ASSESSMENT		
Item No	Item Description	Price Excluding Vat
1.	Ethics Management Maturity Assessment	
2.	Final Report	
3.	Other costs	
SUB-TOTAL		R
VAT @ 15%		R
GRAND TOTAL		R

8. PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA)

SANParks adheres to the Protection of Personal Information Act, 4 of 2013 (POPIA) requirements regarding personal information which came into effect 1 July 2021.

As SANParks, we are committed to protecting your privacy and ensuring that personal information collected is used properly, lawfully, and transparently.

9. TIMELINES

Upon appointment, the recommended supplier is expected to deliver the service within 7 days from receipt of the order. SANParks reserves the right to cancel the Purchase Order if the recommended supplier fails to deliver within the stipulated timelines.

10. FINANCIAL PAYMENT

Payment will be made in accordance with the PFMA (within 30 days), after the supply and delivery of all goods and receipt of an invoice.

11. FINAL AWARD

SANParks recommends the service provider who has quoted on all the items as required in terms of the RFQ for the contract award subject to the service provider having supplied the relevant administrative documentation and complied in all aspects with the terms and conditions and requirements of the RFQ.

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state?
YES/NO

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect.

3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;

3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;

3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor.

However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.

- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....

Signature

.....

Date

.....

Position

.....

Name of bidder

**PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL
PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022**

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

**NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE
GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN
RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT
REGULATIONS, 2022**

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.

1.2 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.3 The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.4 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.5 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right) \text{ or}$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:

4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

(a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or

(b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
B-BBEE Level 1-4	Level 1: 20 Level 2: 18 Level 3: 14 Level 4: 12 Level 5: 8 Level 6: 6 Level 7: 4 Level 8: 2	
Total	20 Points	

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of Company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

SURNAME AND NAME:

DATE:

ADDRESS:

.....

CONTRACT FORM - RENDERING OF SERVICES

THIS FORM MUST BE FILLED IN DUPLICATE BY BOTH THE SERVICE PROVIDER (PART 1) AND THE PURCHASER (PART 2). BOTH FORMS MUST BE SIGNED IN THE ORIGINAL SO THAT THE SERVICE PROVIDER AND THE PURCHASER WOULD BE IN POSSESSION OF ORIGINALLY SIGNED CONTRACTS FOR THEIR RESPECTIVE RECORDS.

PART 1 (TO BE FILLED IN BY THE SERVICE PROVIDER)

1. I hereby undertake to render services described in the attached bidding documents to (name of the institution) in accordance with the requirements and task directives / proposals specifications stipulated in Bid Number..... at the price/s quoted. My offer/s remain binding upon me and open for acceptance by the Purchaser during the validity period indicated and calculated from the closing date of the bid.

2. The following documents shall be deemed to form and be read and construed as part of this agreement:
 - (i) Bidding documents, viz
 - Invitation to bid;
 - Pricing schedule(s);
 - Filled in task directive/proposal;
 - Preference claims for Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment Status Level of Contribution in terms of the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011;
 - Declaration of interest;
 - Declaration of bidder's past SCM practices;
 - Certificate of Independent Bid Determination;
 - Special Conditions of Contract;
 - (ii) General Conditions of Contract; and
 - (iii) Other (specify)

3. I confirm that I have satisfied myself as to the correctness and validity of my bid; that the price(s) and rate(s) quoted cover all the services specified in the bidding documents; that the price(s) and rate(s) cover all my obligations and I accept that any mistakes regarding price(s) and rate(s) and calculations will be at my own risk.

4. I accept full responsibility for the proper execution and fulfilment of all obligations and conditions devolving on me under this agreement as the principal liable for the due fulfilment of this contract.

5. I declare that I have no participation in any collusive practices with any bidder or any other person regarding this or any other bid.

6. I confirm that I am duly authorised to sign this contract.

NAME (PRINT)

CAPACITY

SIGNATURE

NAME OF FIRM

DATE

WITNESSES

1

2

3

DATE:

CONTRACT FORM - RENDERING OF SERVICES**PART 2 (TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PURCHASER)**

1. I..... in my capacity as..... accept your bid under reference numberdated.....for the rendering of services indicated hereunder and/or further specified in the annexure(s).
2. An official order indicating service delivery instructions is forthcoming.
3. I undertake to make payment for the services rendered in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract, within 30 (thirty) days after receipt of an invoice.

DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE	PRICE (ALL APPLICABLE TAXES INCLUDED)	COMPLETION DATE	B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTION

4. I confirm that I am duly authorised to sign this contract.

SIGNED ATON THIS DATE:

NAME (PRINT)

SIGNATURE

OFFICIAL STAMP

WITNESSES

1

2