

280 Pretoria Street, Silverton, Pretoria
Private Bag X112, Pretoria 0001, South Africa
Tel: +27 (0)12 841 1911
Fax: +27 (0)12 841 1221
email: info@geoscience.org.za
website: www.geoscience.org.za



Council for Geoscience

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION FOR GOODS AND SERVICES

Council for Geoscience requests your quotation on the goods listed hereunder.
Please furnish all information as requested and return your quotation on the date stipulated.
Late quotations will not be considered.

THIS REQUEST WILL BE EVALUATED BASED ON AN 80/20 (PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOAL/S) PREFERENCE SYSTEM

NB: Bidders must provide their quotes with the latest completed SBD6.1 (PPR 2022) form. To claim points on specific goal/s (as stipulated in SBD6.1), a Certified BBBBE Certificate /affidavit must be provided as a supporting document.

In a case where a bidder does not provide the supporting document/s or incorrect completion of the SBD6.1, they will not be awarded points.

Date Request Sent	12/10/2023		
Department	Supply Chain Management		
Description of goods	Appointment of a consultant to conduct an independent technical/scientific review of a research report on active-source and passive-wavefield surface wave testing of a coastal site north of Cape Town, South Africa.		
Detailed Specification	Description (Detail)	Unit	Quantity
	<u>Background</u> The CGS undertook a site response analysis for a coastal site hosting a critical facility north of the city of Cape Town South Africa. The investigation included active-source surface wave testing, using the multi-channel analysis of surface waves (i.e., MASW) and passive wavefield surface wave testing, employing two-dimensional (2D) microtremor array measurements (i.e., MAM). Its purpose is to characterise the near-surface and deep shear wave velocity (V_s) structure of the site in support of a site-specific seismic hazard analysis.		
	<u>Review</u>		

	The reviewer will use his/her judgement to verify that the report meets the following minimum criteria: • Does the report address the scope of the task? • Are all data sources and/or models used sufficiently documented? • Are the data acquisition methods appropriate and adequate to address the questions of the investigations and therefore within reason to help formulate a hypothesis where needed? • Are the conclusions supported by the available data? • Are the conclusions reasonable and based on logical deductions? • If applicable, have the mathematical equations and their determinations been checked? • Can the report be considered technically and scientifically sound? The reviewer shall capture the results of the technical review in a Review Form (to be provided) and/or Report, as agreed with the project manager, which will be submitted to the CGS. In the Form or Report the reviewer has to indicate: • Whether the report is adequate, complete and correct (suitable for use) as measured against the minimum and any specific requirements. • Identify any deficiencies that require a change to the technical document are identified and documented. • Identify characteristics that do not fulfil the requirements of the product and recommend action(s) to rework. • Make a recommendation whether the report can be accepted. The report may, at the discretion of the CGS, be resubmitted to the Reviewer to determine whether he/she is satisfied with the comment disposal. <u>Interaction</u> The reviewer will report to, and interact with the Project Manager. No travel is required.	
	Other Relevant Matters	
	The CGS will provide the Reviewer with an electronic copy of the report. For reviewers in South Africa a paper copy can also be provided.	

	Bidders are to provide a quotation in South African Rands. The quotation has to be inclusive of VAT (15%). Payment will be made in South African Rands.		
	Evaluation on Mandatory Documents		
	The successful applicant shall be fluent in English as evidenced by his/her/their professional output (academic publications and/or consulting products). [<i>Minimum requirement that must be met.</i>]		
	Functional Evaluation		
	The successful bidder has to: - Possess formal training to a post-graduate (M.Sc. or PhD) level, in a field related to site response analysis (e.g. engineering, engineering geology, etc.). [Please note: <i>Electronic copies of training certificates required.</i>] - No relevant qualification 0 - Possess relevant M.Sc. 20 - Possess relevant PhD 30 - Demonstrate a strong technical background through prior work experience (of at least ten years) in site response analyses, as evidenced either through the completion of relevant projects in this field, relevant technical reports or a relevant publication record in the peer-reviewed scientific literature. [<i>Bidders should provide a CV that provides the necessary evidence to support this requirement. This may be supported by a bid letter.</i>] - Evidence of prior relevant work experience of 10 or more years. 30 - Evidence of prior relevant work experience of less than 10 years. 15 - No evidence of prior relevant work experience 0 - Demonstrate prior experience in: - Undertaking site response analysis employing multi-channel analysis of surface waves (i.e. MASW). - Evidence that bidder participated in multiple site response studies that utilised the MASW methodology. 20 - Evidence that bidder participated in one site response study that utilised the MASW methodology. 10 - No evidence that bidder participated in site response studies that utilised the MASW methodology. 0		

	<i>[Bidders should provide a CV that provides the necessary evidence to support the above requirements. This may be supported by a bid letter.]</i> b) Undertaking site response analysis employing two-dimensional (2D) microtremor array measurements (i.e. MAM). <i>Evidence that bidder participated in multiple site response studies that utilised the MAM methodology.</i> <i>Evidence that bidder participated in at least one site response study that utilised the MAM methodology.</i> <i>No evidence that bidder participated in site response studies that utilised the MAM methodology.</i> <i>[Bidders should provide a CV that provides the necessary evidence to support the above requirements. This may be supported by a bid letter.]</i> <i>[The minimum threshold to be met is 75 points and failure to comply will lead to disqualification]</i>	20 10 0 Total is 100 points	
Telephone no:	012 841 1016		
	Submission of quotation		
Email	E-bids@geoscience.org.za ATT:KATLEGO THUSI		
Closing Date and Time	19/10/2023 at 16:30		
The following number must be quoted in all correspondence	RFQ NO:2023-077k		

Company Name	
Bidder Signature	
Designation	

RFQ TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. The rate must be VAT inclusive.
2. The Council for Geoscience pays 30 days after receipt of a correct tax invoice/statement
- 3. The Council for Geoscience does not make upfront payments or deposits.**
4. The Council for Geoscience will only pay suppliers using the banking details listed on your Central Database Summary form.
5. Rates must be inclusive of delivery, and customs /clearing costs to the Council for Geoscience premises.
- 6. The rates must be inclusive of disbursement and any other costs.**
7. RFQ responses must be submitted in pdf format.
8. Service providers must provide proof of registration on the National Treasury Central database, if a supplier is not registered; they are encouraged to register on the central database.
9. Quotation validity is 60 days from the date of RFQ closure.
10. The RFQ threshold is less than R1 000 000.00 VAT inclusive.

CGS POPI ACT COMPLIANCE POLICY STATEMENT

The Council for Geoscience is committed to securing the integrity and confidentiality of your Personal Information that is in our possession and will guard against unlawful access and use. The processing of your personal information by the Council for Geoscience will be done in accordance with the POPI Act 4 of 2013 as well as our processing notice that can be accessed from our website www.geoscience.org.za.

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

- 2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

- 2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

- 2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF

PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND

COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS

DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bidder

SBD 6.1

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 Preference point system

The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.

- a) The 80/20 preference point system will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 Allocated preference points

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences,

in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$$

Where

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- Pt = Price of tender under consideration
- Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
 - (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or

- (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
1. 51% or more black Ownership. (Submit a valid certified Accredited SANAS or DTI B-BBEE certificate or sworn affidavit as supporting document)	10	
2. 30% or more black women ownership (Submit a valid certified Accredited SANAS or DTI B-BBEE certificate or sworn affidavit as supporting document)	10	

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
 - ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
 - ☐ Close corporation
 - ☐ Public Company
 - ☐ Personal Liability Company
 - ☐ (Pty) Limited
 - ☐ Non-Profit Company
 - ☐ State Owned Company
- [TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;

- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

..... SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)	
SURNAME AND NAME:	
DATE:	
ADDRESS:	
	
	