



PetroSA

The Petroleum Oil and Gas
Corporation of South Africa SOC Ltd
Reg. No. 1970/008130/30
151 Frans Conradie Road,
Parow, 7500
Private Bag X 5 Parow, 7499
Republic of South Africa
Tel +27 21 929 3000
Fax +27 21 929 3266

TENDER BULLETIN 4

ENQUIRY NO: CTT26927

DESCRIPTION: THE PROVISION OF A COMPREHENSIVE FEASIBILITY ENGINEERING STUDY FOR A GAS-TO-POWER PROJECT

QUESTION 1

The SOW mandates 5 operating cases to review, i.e. (1) reference conditions, (2) average conditions, (3) 100% GT load for equipment sizing, (4) 100% GT load for maximum power generation, and (5) turndown. This impacts BFD and H&M balance deliverable manhours and quantities and timeline. Given that a FEED will still follow this phase, we recommend that Petrosas reduce the operating cases. Petrosas to advise.

ANSWER 1

These cases are all required, but it may be the case (3) for equipment sizing (maximum equipment duty) is the same as case (4) for maximum power generation for all equipment. If true, there would be 4 cases instead of 5. It is expected that the output reports from GT Pro runs for each case would be used as a basis for these deliverables.

QUESTION 2

Per Petrosas SOW 10, item 3, full scope confirmation is a requirement and a subsequent elimination criterion. If a tenderer deems certain deliverables not necessary for a 30% estimate (refer to Petrosas guidance re. P&ID's), can these then be removed without the risk of being eliminated? Alternatively, can PetroSA advise which Deliverables are Non-negotiable and need to form part of the Feasibility package and thus be priced?

Directors:

Interim Chairman: Mr Tembinkosi Bonakele;
Ms Brenda Moagi; Mr Llewellyn Delpoit; Ms Ditsietsi Morabe
Group Chief Executive Officer (acting): Ms Nombulelo Tyandela
Group Chief Financial Officer (acting): Mr Linda Nene
Group Company Secretary: Ms Marlene Khumalo

ANSWER 2

Tenderers need to comply with the requirements of the Scope of Work deliverables. These are all technical deliverables that PetroSA needs to pass the feasibility gate, with the sole exception of preliminary P&IDs, which are dependent on the requirements of the cost estimate, as has been stated in both the scope of work document and the Minutes of Meeting (MoM) dated 20 August 2026, under Section 7, first bullet point.

QUESTION 3

P&ID's: The "Estimate Input Checklist and Maturity Matrix" for an AACE Class 3 Estimate is clear that Prelim. (P) or Completed (C) P&ID's are required to verify the Class 3 estimate accuracy. How will PetroSA verify this if these P&ID's are not provided?

ANSWER 3

See Scope of work deliverables and the Minutes of Meeting (MoM) dated 20 August 2026, under Section 7, first bullet point. Preliminary P&IDs are not a deliverable that is mandatory for a PetroSA project to pass the feasibility gate. Instead, production is dependent on whether the bidder needs this to produce a Class 3 cost estimate within the accuracy range allowed by AACE for this project.

4. TENDER BULLETIN CLARIFICATION

As clarified in **Tender Bulletin No. 2**, tenderers are advised that PetroSA's preferred duration for completion of the feasibility study is **six (6) months**.

Tenderers may propose an alternative duration where justified by their methodology and execution approach. However, **proposals exceeding eight (8) months in duration will be deemed non-responsive and will not be considered further in the evaluation process.**

Tenderers are therefore required to demonstrate their ability to complete the full scope of work, including the AACE Class 3 cost estimate, within a maximum period of **eight (8) months**.