

REFERENCE NO :



REQUEST FOR QUOTATION:

Appointment of legal firm to seek legal opinion regarding the eligibility of a member in a governance structure.

Reference No:	
Description:	Appointment of legal firm that has an administrative & regulatory Law, Public Sector Advisory, Intellectual Property and / or Commercial Litigation expertise.

Request Details

Request	Appointment of legal firm that has an administrative & regulatory Law, Public Sector Advisory, Intellectual Property and / or Commercial Litigation expertise.
Specifications	<u>Please Refer To Page 4 of This Document</u>
Delivery address	AgriSETA House 529 Belvedere Street ARCADIA 0007

Closing details

Closing date of quote	09 April 2026
Closing time of quote	11:00 am
Quotes should be addressed to:	Supply Chain Manager AgriSETA PO Box 26024 ARCADIA 0007
Quotes should be emailed to:	quotations@agriseta.co.za
Queries should be addressed to:	Antony@agriseta.co.za

Return Instructions

- 1 Please ensure that the quote is on your letterhead and addressed to AgriSETA.**
- 2** Prices quoted should include all costs (including delivery costs if applicable) and VAT.
 - ☐ Please indicate the prices in the following format:
 - Price excluding VAT
 - VAT
 - Total inclusive of VAT.
- 3 Please complete and submit the following (as attached) with your quotation:**
 - Tax compliant CSD report/ tax pin
 - SBD 4
 - SBD 6.1
 - Original or certified copy of the valid B-BBEE status level verification certificate from an accredited verification agency, substantiating the B-BBEE rating.
 - Evidence to be submitted by EME's/QSE's: A certificate issued by a registered auditor, accounting officer or an accredited verification agency, Or sworn affidavit.
 - The bidder must submit Companies & Intellectual Property Commission (CIPC) company registration documents listing all Directors or Shareholders and certify ID copy of Directors or Shareholders. Including company profile.
 - Bidders that wish to claim points for preferential procurement must do so by completing the relevant AgriSETA SBD6.1 form and provide necessary proof for points claimed when submitting their quotation/proposal/bid.
 - In the event that a bidder did not complete the SBD 6.1 form, or they indicated that they do not wish to claim the preferential procurement the bidder is not disqualified. Such bidder will obtain points scored on price only and "0" for the preferential procurement.

NOTE:

1. Quotations received without mandatory requirement and signed documents will be regarded as non-responsive.
 2. Quotation should be valid for at least 30 days
 3. All quotations should be strictly according to specifications.
 4. Please indicate your delivery period:
-
5. All the Standard Bidding Documents (SBD) forms must be completed in full and returned with the quotation.
 6. All prices must be VAT inclusive, if no indication is given, prices will be evaluated as inclusive.
 7. It is the responsibility of the vendor to verify the receipt of any quotations forwarded to this office.
 8. If you are unable to quote, please respond to the sender and state the reason/s for not quoting.
 9. This quotation is subject to the general conditions of contract, as well as any special conditions stated in the specifications.
 10. For bids of above R2 000 to R50 million, AgriSETA evaluates these in terms of the 80/20 adjudication criteria where:
 - a) Price: 80 b) Specific goals: 20 TOTAL: 100
 11. For bids of above R50 million, AgriSETA evaluates these in terms of the 90/10 adjudication criteria where:
 - a) Price: 90 b) Specific goals: 10 TOTAL: 100

REFERENCE NO :



Invoicing Instructions

1 Information required on invoices for payment.

- * Invoice Number
- * VAT Number
- * Address of Provider
- * Bank Details

2 All invoices shall comply with Section 20 of the VAT Act No 89 of 1991.

3 All enquiries should be addressed to Antony@agriseta.co.za

TOR

PLEASE REFER TO THE BELOW

1. Background

- 1.1. Anbro Tech Solutions submitted a formal complaint to AgriSETA alleging that the company proposal—titled “AgriFly Skills Program”, which was submitted on 30 September 2024 under the 2024/25 DG funding window—was subsequently used without authorization. The proposal aimed to train 25 women from underserved communities in Gauteng in agricultural drone technology.
- 1.2. It is alleged that a similar program was later funded and implemented in partnership with Tshwane University of Technology (TUT) and Letaba TVET College and claims this was based on the above-mentioned company’s original submission, which may have been shared without consent.
- 1.3. AgriSETA does not draft, generate, or assist in the development of proposals submitted for DG funding.
- 1.4. Proposals are developed independently by applicants. The internal development process of proposals lies outside AgriSETA’s jurisdiction.
- 1.5. The program titled “Skills Programme for Training of Drone Pilot and Chemical Spraying” was submitted by TUT and subsequently approved through standard DG evaluation procedures.
- 1.6. A legal Opinion was issued on the matter and after it escalated to the public protector
- 1.7. The Matter has also been circulating on social media/podcast

2. Challenges and Risks

2.1. Reputational Risk: Potential damage to AgriSETA’s reputation due to public scrutiny, media attention, and stakeholder concerns.

2.2. Escalation to Public Protector: The stakeholder has formally escalated the matter to the Public Protector, prompting an official investigation.

2.3. Public Exposure: The matter has been amplified on social media and discussed on podcasts, increasing public visibility and scrutiny of AgriSETA’s processes

3. Seeking Legal opinion

- 3.1. Legal firm that has an administrative & regulatory Law, Public Sector Advisory, Intellectual Property and / or Commercial Litigation expertise to issue a formal opinion regarding the allegations stated under (1.1.)

4. Request:

4.1 Review and Respond: Examine the letter received from the Public Protector and provide a legal review of AgriSETA's proposed response to ensure it is complete, accurate, and compliant with all relevant laws and procedural requirements.

4.2. Media and Reputation Guidance: Advise on the appropriate steps to manage the matter now that it has been widely discussed on social media. This should include recommendations on public statements, internal communications, and strategies to mitigate reputational risks while maintaining transparency and legal compliance.

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read, and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.
I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF
PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING
ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO
BE FALSE.

..... Signature	 Date
..... Position	 Name of bidder

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **90/10** preference point system.
- b) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- c) Either the **90/10 or 80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	
SPECIFIC GOALS	
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) “tender” means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) “price” means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) “rand value” means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) “tender for income-generating contracts” means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) “the Act” means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAR FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$	or	$Ps = 90 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$

Where

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- Pt = Price of tender under consideration
- Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

or

90/10

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$$

or

$$Ps = 90 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$$

Where

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- Pt = Price of tender under consideration
- Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

(a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or

(b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note: 80/20 preference point system is applicable)

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Promotion of SMMEs (Entities that are EME or QSE)	4	
Black ownership	8	
80% ownership by black women	4	
51% ownership by people with disabilities	2	
51% ownership by youth	2	

Black ownership: 100% Black owned entities will score the full 8 points and between 51% - 99% black owned entities will score 4 points.
DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary

SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

SURNAME AND NAME:

DATE:

ADDRESS:

.....

.....

.....


FRAUD ALERT

Dear Bidders/ Prospective Suppliers

The correspondence serves to notify the Bidders and Prospective Suppliers to be vigilant of fraudsters attempting to solicit money indicating that they can ensure and secure that your respective Company and /or Organization will win the AgriSETA bid or tender for a certain fee. These fraudsters are also claiming to be working for the AgriSETA.

The AgriSETA taking into consideration its Internal processes and the Supply Chain Management framework will not contact any bidder soliciting money in exchange of a Contract or Purchase Order.

Bidders and Prospective are therefore requested not to entertain such calls or emails. Should your Company, Organization or Individuals receive a suspicious email, urgently contact Ms. Kubenokuthula Ndlovu: Chief Risk Officer on (012) 301 5687 email: Kubenokuthula@agriseta.co.za; Alternatively call the AgriSETA Anti-Corruption Hotline, Free Call: 0800 869 624 or agriseta@hotline.co.za



Mr. Fanny Phetla

Acting: Chief Executive Officer

