

TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF BEARINGS

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

| | Mandatory Technical Criteria Description | Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable | Motivation for use of Criteria |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | A letter of agreement from the original equipment manufacturer or distributor. | The tenderer must provide a certificate or agreement letter confirming their status as an authorized distributor. This certificate will be subject to verification. Alternatively, if the tenderer has a formal agreement with an authorized distributor or supplier, this can also be submitted. | This criterion is designed to ensure the bearings supplied are of high quality, reliable, and authentic. |
| 2 | Assurance of Genuine Bearings Supply | A company letter affirming that no counterfeit bearings will be supplied. | To ensure the integrity, reliability, and safety of the bearings being supplied. |
| 3 | Tendered as per scope | The contractor fully complies with the technical scope as set out in the enquiry document i.e., NO technical exclusions or deviations are listed to the scope of work. If the contractor lists any technical exclusions or deviations, or do not tender on all items the tender will be seen as technically not acceptable and will thus not be evaluated any further. | This is to ensure that the supplier can supply all the bearings as per the scope of work. |

QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

| Qualitative Technical Criteria Description | | Tender Returnable | Criteria Weighting (%) | Score | Sub Criteria Weighting (%) |
|---|---|---|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Relevant Experience | | | 30% | | |
| | Company experience in supplying the products related to the scope of work in the past 5 years. This should be products supplied to any heavy industry. This includes bearings or rotating equipment related components. | Proof can be a previous Purchase Orders with contact details of the company supplied. | 5 or more relevant Products | 30 | 30 |
| | | | 3 or more relevant Products | 15 | |
| | | | Less than 3 relevant Products | 0 | |
| | | | Sub score | | |
| 2. | Method Statement and Technical Requirements | | 70% | | |
| 2.1 | Detailed method statement indicating the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Methodology (Supply & Delivery): Including packaging techniques, measures for protection against physical damage, loading and unloading practices, and transportation logistics. Schedule/Programme Projections: Providing lead time estimates and delivery timelines. | Method statement with all the information | Method statement submitted with all the relevant information. | 40 | 40 |
| | | | Method statement submitted with more than 80% information. | 25 | |
| | | | Method statement submitted with less than 80% of the information. | 0 | |
| | | | Sub score: | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|----|------------|
| 2.2 | Quality Control Plans (QCPs) Technical validation for counterfeit bearing and bearing inspection process. | Relevant and informative QCPs | All necessary Quality controls with detailed information. | 30 | 30 |
| | | | Quality controls submitted but missing some relevant and detailed information. | 10 | |
| | | | No QCPs | 0 | |
| | | | Sub score | | |
| | | | TOTAL | | 100 |
| MINIMUM THRESHOLD REQUIRED IS 80% | | | | | |