

Quality Q4/L3 Evaluation Criteria for Plant Enquiry No: 1075456478

Requirement	Criteria	Deliverable	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
1. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	A Quality Assurance Programme that meets the intent of a typical Quality Management System (QMS) or requirements as identified on Appendix A of 238-103 rev2	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Receipt Inspection, Purchasing, etc.	35%		0%	0.0%		
2. QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM	Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan : A supplier document specifying the work or production activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (238-103 rev 2, Section 3.2. refers).	Returnable is an example of a QCP or Quality Project Plan for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required .	35%		0%	0.0%		
3. NONCONFORMING PRODUCT/SERVICE	Control of nonconforming product and application for concession	Submit a supplier a Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service	30%		0%	0.0%		
TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			

Final Analysis						
1. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM			35%	0.0%		
2. QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM			35%	0.0%		
3. NONCONFORMING PRODUCT/SERVICE			30%	0.0%		
TOTAL			100%	0.0%		

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:

A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:

- 0 - 0% - Does not meet
- 1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
- 2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
- 3 - 100% - Meet

The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:

- 0% - 79% - Does not meet
- 80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by:

Signature:

Date:


PATRICK LUSHABA
 30 OCT 2023
V. Dzingwe
 Procurement Quality Engineering