



Glenwood Office Park Cnr. Oberon &
Sprite Streets
Faerie Glen 0043
PO Box 73000, Lynnwood Ridge 0040
Tel : [\(012\) 845 2000](tel:0128452000)
www.idt.org.za

Request for Quotation

RFQ number: IDT-SCM-MPU-PART-09-10-2023

Description: Request for Quotations for Office Partitioning

Closing date and time: 23 October 2023 @ 12:00pm

NB: SITE BRIEFING WILL BE ON THE 17 OCTOBER 2023 at IDT Office, No.20 Paul Kruger Street, ABSA Square, Mbombela

Submission of quotations: All quotations must be emailed to Quotations@idt.org.za on or before the closing date and time stipulated above. All quotations received after the closing date and time will not be considered.

Compulsory returnable documents that must be submitted with the response for this quotation are the following:

1. National Treasury Central Supplier Database number MAAA_____
2. Name of Company_____
3. Unique SARS Tax Compliance Pin Number (submit valid letter)
4. Duly completed and signed: SDB 4 (**Bidder's Declaration**), attached in this RFQ document.
5. Duly completed and signed: SDB 6.1 (Preference Points Claim Form in Terms of The Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022), attached in this RFQ document.

Compulsory returnable document: SDB 6.1

Source Documents to be submitted with the Bid or RFQ

*CIPC Document	(Company Registration Document will be required for verification (CIPC DOC))
*Woman	(Originally Certified ID Document)
*Youth	(Originally Certified ID Document)
*People with Disability	(Letter from the Dr. Confirming the Disability)
*Black Ownership	(Originally Certified ID Document)

Board of Trustees: Ms. Zimbini Hill (Interim Chairperson), Ms. Lerato Kumalo (Deputy Chairperson), Prof. Raymond Nkado, Ms. Karabo Siyila, Ms. Prudence Mkhwanazi, Mr. Krishen Sukdev, Adv. Lufuno Nevondwe, Ms. Rehana Parker

Acting Chief Executive Officer: Ms. Tebogo Malaka.

Trust Registration No: IT 669/91



Non-submission of Source documents will result in the allocation of zero points for specific goals



INDEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT TRUST

TERMS OF REFERENCE THE INDEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT TRUST

BACKGROUND

The IDT is primarily responsible for social infrastructure development in the country, working with and on behalf of the department of public works and infrastructure and other partners

TASK DIRECTIVE

SPECIFICATION

ITEMS	DESCRIPTION OF GOODS	QUANTITY
1.	New Glass Partitioning: ceiling height 3000mm x2 Sliding door - 1000mm in line with the existing column Attached is part of the spec.	1 units
2.		

Responses and proposals to the RFQ must be submitted via email to: Quotations@idt.org.za

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

1 The power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

.....
.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bidder

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- b) The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS	
PRICE	80	
SPECIFIC GOALS	20	
TARGETED GROUP		
Women ownership	6	
Youth ownership	6	
People with Disabilities ownership	4	
Black ownership	4	
Total points for price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{80/20} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{90/10} \\ \\ Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right) & \mathbf{or} & Ps = 90 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right) \end{array}$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
Pt = Price of tender under consideration
Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{80/20} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{90/10} \\ \\ Ps = 80 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right) & \mathbf{or} & Ps = 90 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right) \end{array}$$

Where?

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
 - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,
- then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.)

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Women (100%)	6	
Youth (100%)	6	
**People with Disabilities (100%)	4	
Black (100%)	4	

Source Documents to be submitted with the Bid or RFQ

*CIPC Document (Company Registration Document will be required for verification (CIPC DOC))

*Woman (Originally Certified ID Document)

*Youth (Originally Certified ID Document)

*People with Disability (Letter from the Dr. Confirming the Disability)

*Black Ownership (Originally Certified ID Document)

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct.
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form.
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct.
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process.
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct.
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation.
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audit alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

WITNESSES

1.


2.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF BIDDERS(S)

DATE :

ADDRESS

.....
.....



Elevation 1 - a

scale: 1 : 50



02. LG FLOOR PROPOSED DIMENSIONED LAYOUT Copy 2

scale: 1 : 50

NOTES:
- The design on this drawing remains the property of RL SHAW PROJECTS. all copyright in terms of design and detail is reserved.
- Contractor to check all dimensions on site and report any discrepancies without delay to the architects.
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THE DRAWING, refer to the labeled dimensions - This drawing is to be read in conjunction with all other drawings including those of other consultants and/or bill of quantities and/or specifications issued for this project.

Revision Schedule		
No.	Date	Description
1	12/07/2023	Revision 1 - MINOR INTERIOR ALTERATIONS

WALL LEGEND	
	HIGHLIGHTED OBJECT INDICATES 'DEMOLISHED'
	EXISTING 90mm DRYWALL
	EXISTING SHOPFRONT GLASS
	NEW SHOPFRONT GLASS
	EXISTING 110mm BRICK WALL
	EXISTING 220mm BRICK WALL
	NEW 65mm METAL STUD DRYWALL WITH 12.5mm 30mm FIRE RATED PLASTERBOARDS ON BOTH SIDES
	NEW 65mm STUD DRYWALL WITH 12.5mm GYPSUM PLASTERBOARDS ON BOTH SIDES

RLSP

Beacon Bay Crossing, c/o N2 & Bonza Bay Rd Beacon Bay, East London, 5241
PO Box 15402, Beacon Bay, 5205 SOUTH AFRICA
T: +27 (0)861 754 542
E: rnett@rlsp.co.za

INFORMATION		
	Signature	Date
Client		
Architect		
Engineer		

ABS SQUARE, NELSPRUIT


project name : 24 PAUL KRUGER STREET, NELSPRUIT

site : Owner

SKG PROPERTIES

client : Beacon Bay Crossing, c/o N2 & Bonza Bay Rd Beacon Bay, East London, 5241
PO Box 15402, Beacon Bay, 5205 SOUTH AFRICA

LOWER GROUND FLOOR PROPOSED DIMENSIONED LAYOUT		
Drawing Name		
UL Drawn by	03 Dwg Number	
RLSP Designed by	0001 Project Number	1 Revision
1 : 50 Scale	12/07/2023 Date	
A1		



05. LG FLOOR PROPOSED CEILING LAYOUT Copy 1
scale: 1 : 50

NOTES:
- The design on this drawing remains the property of RL SHAW PROJECTS. all copyright in terms of design and detail is reserved.
- Contractor to check all dimensions on site and report any discrepancies without delay to the architects.
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THE DRAWING, refer to the labeled dimensions - This drawing is to be read in conjunction with all other drawings including those of other consultants and/or bill of quantities and/or specifications issued for this project.

Revision Schedule		
No.	Date	Description
1	12/07/2023	Revision 1 - MINOR INTERIOR ALTERATIONS

RLSP

Beacon Bay Crossing, c/o N2 & Bonza Bay Rd Beacon Bay, East London, 5241
PO Box 15402, Beacon Bay, 5205 SOUTH AFRICA
T: +27 (0)861 754 542
E: rhett@rlsp.co.za

INFORMATION		
	Signature	Date
Client		
Architect		
Engineer		

ABS SQUARE, NELSPRUIT

project name : 24 PAUL KRUGER STREET, NELSPRUIT

site :

Owner

Beacon Bay Crossing, c/o N2 & Bonza Bay Rd Beacon Bay, East London, 5241
PO Box 15402, Beacon Bay, 5205 SOUTH AFRICA

client : SKG PROPERTIES

LOWER GROUND FLOOR PROPOSED CEILING LAYOUT		
Drawing Name		
UL Drawn by	04 Dwg Number	
RLSP Designed by	0001 Project Number	1 Revision
1 : 50 Scale	12/07/2023 Date	
A1		