



SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

PART C: TENDER EVALUATION PROCESS - SAT TENDER NUMBER SAT 229/23 DIGITAL & TECHNOLOGY PANEL OF SERVICE PROVIDERS.

Summary of the Evaluation Phases (table below):

Table 1: Evaluation Summary

Phase 1 Administrative and Mandatory bid requirements	Phase 2 Functional Technical Evaluation & Pitch Presentation	Phase 3 inclusion of the appointment of a panel of service providers.
Bidders' responses will be evaluated based on Table 2 below.	<p>Only bidder(s) who score 70% and above on Phase 2 will proceed to Phase 3.</p> <p>Technical functional evaluation (functionality) is divided into two (2) phases (Phase 2A: Desktop technical functional evaluation and Phase 2B: Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation with a total points of 100)</p> <p>1. Phase 2.A: Desktop technical functional evaluation = 100 points minimum threshold:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A bidder must meet a minimum threshold of 70% from desktop 	<p>Qualifying bidders on Phase 2B will be appointed for inclusion on the non-exclusive panel of digital and technology service for a period of 36 months.</p> <p>The Price & Specific goals will be applicable during specific briefs or project during the panel duration.</p> <p>NB: Depending¹ on the specific project, functionality may be utilised to address the areas that may not have been considered during the</p>

¹ On functional evaluation, percentage (%) or points may be used interchangeably throughout this bid.

	<p>technical functional evaluation in order to be considered for the next phase of evaluation, Phase 2.B (Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation Failure to meet the minimum points threshold will result to disqualification on this phase.</p> <p>2. Phase 2. B Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation = 70% minimum threshold:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A bidder must meet the minimum threshold of Phase 2 B functional evaluation in order to be considered for the next phase of evaluation, Phase 3 (Price and BEE) <p><i>Failure to meet the minimum threshold of 70% will result in disqualification in this phase.</i></p>	<p>panel evaluation and appointment.</p>
--	--	--

Phase 1: Administrative and mandatory bid requirements

All documents must be completed and signed by the duly authorised representative of the prospective bidder(s). During this phase, bidders' responses will be evaluated based on compliance with the listed administrative and mandatory bid requirements.

Table 2: Phase 1 Compliance

Documents that must be submitted	YES/NO	Non-submission will result in disqualification
Annexure A-Invitation (SBD 1)		Complete and sign the supplied pro forma document
Annexure B- Registration on Central Supplier Database (CSD)		All agencies, including proposed partner/subcontractor agencies, must be registered as a service provider on the National Treasury's Central Supplier Database (CSD). If you are not registered, proceed to complete the registration of your company before submitting your proposal. Visit https://secure.csd.gov.za/ to obtain your vendor number. Submit proof of registration.
Annexure E-Declaration of Interest – SBD 4)		Complete and sign the supplied pro forma document

Phase 2 A + 2 B: Desktop Functional Technical Evaluation and Pitch presentation points

All bidders are required to respond to the technical evaluation criteria scorecard and provide information/portfolio of evidence that they unconditionally hold the available capacity, ability, experience, and qualified staff to provide the requisite business requirements to South African Tourism under this tender.

The technical functional evaluation (functionality) will comprise two (2) phases:

Phase 2.A will measure the responsiveness of proposals as per submission on or before the closing date and time and **Phase 2.B** will comprise of presentation Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation

Phase 2 A Desktop technical functional evaluation- Bidders will be evaluated out of 100 points and are required to score a minimum threshold of 70% to qualify for Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation in Phase 2. B.

Phase 2 B Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation. A bidder will be evaluated out of 100 points and are required to score a minimum threshold of 70% to be evaluated further on the next phase of price and preference (specific goals) evaluation.

Table 3: Phase 2 A Desktop Technical Functional Evaluation

Evaluation description (The below evaluation criteria will be evaluated based on the technical functional matrix as per Table 5)	Weight Allocated	Reference pages in the bidder's proposal.
Evaluation criteria		
<p>PHASE 2 A: Desktop Technical Functional Evaluation</p> <p>1. Company experience in delivering digital transformation and/or data collection where applicable, roadmaps for global brands, shown through past work not older than work produced from 2020 to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Show previous digital assessment conducted and/or data collection where applicable, including a before and after view of work done showing enterprises that were digitally transformed • Show previous examples of digital and technology and/or data collection where applicable frameworks designed and implemented • Showcase the change management programme used • Show certifications relevant to the skills required to deliver digital transformation and/or data collection where applicable, i.e., Microsoft certifications, Oracle certifications, others. <p>2. Company capabilities and experience in delivering on the 360 digital and technology requirements indicated in the scope of work</p> <p>Bidders must submit reference letters and supporting case study where their services can be verified in relation to the scope of work identified in this bid document. References should be presented in the form of a written letter on an official</p>	33	
	33	

<p>letterhead from clients where similar services have been provided.</p> <p>Show breakdown of services provided by the company</p> <p>Show breakdown of experience in the different service areas provided (including at least 1 case study for each competency).</p> <p>Show proposed working model with SA Tourism to deliver on the full scope of work.</p> <p>Points allocation:</p> <p>1 reference and Case study for each competency = 1 point</p> <p>2 reference and case study for each competency = 2 point</p> <p>3 or more references and case study for each competency = 3 point</p> <p>Non-qualifying/non-scoring.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No submission ● Submission of appointment letter(s) ● Reference letter that does not have match/have a corresponding case study. ● Reference and case study does not relate to the scope of work. <p>NB: The Case study must cover the problem statement, analysis, recommendations, roadmap, and cost implications.</p>		
<p>3. Company vision & digital thinking and/or data collection where applicable.</p> <p>Indicate, conceptually, what you think is the future state of a DMO is name 4 key initiatives that SA Tourism can do to champion the digital outlook for the Tourism sector.</p> <p>This criterion will be evaluated using the below evaluation matrix.</p>	14	

<p>4. Cyber-Security & Security Frameworks (strategy and policy)</p> <p>Bidder should demonstrate experiential knowledge and expertise in setting up and managing all forms of cyber-attacks, and advanced attacks, and assuring a secure framework for major global organisations. Indicate how you would assess the security framework needs for SA Tourism and subsequently indicate the proposed framework for SA Tourism, inclusive of the system design and technologies that would apply.</p> <p>Bidders should show previous security frameworks designed and implemented.</p> <p><i>This criterion will be evaluated using the below evaluation matrix.</i></p>	20	
Total Weight	100	

A bidder must meet a minimum threshold of 70% from desktop technical functional evaluation in order to be considered for the next phase of evaluation, Phase 2.B (Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation Failure to meet the minimum points threshold will result to disqualification on this phase.

Table 4: Phase 2. B Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo) evaluation

<p>PHASE 2B – Presentation & Demo</p> <p>5. Automated Data Processing & Innovative Thinking (Presentation & Demo)</p> <p>Presentation of a compelling technology framework and system design for SA Tourism that enables the collection, management, and usage of all forms of data in near-real-time to achieve its mandate, strategic objectives champion the digital outlook for the sector. This should be done in the form of a presentation</p>	100	
---	-----	--

that showcases the framework and includes a demo of the suggested systems.		
<i>This criterion will be evaluated using the below evaluation matrix.</i>		
Total Weight	100	

The minimum threshold for 2.B is 70%. Only the qualifying bidders on Phase 2.B will be appointed for inclusion on the non-exclusive Digital & Technology Panel of Service Providers for 36 months.

- Bids proposals will be evaluated strictly according to the bid evaluation criteria stipulated in this section.
- As part of their bid documents, bidders must submit supporting documentation for all functional requirements as indicated in the Terms of Reference. The panel responsible for scoring the respective bids will evaluate and score all bids based on information presented in the bid proposals in line with the RFP.
- The score for functionality will be calculated in terms of the table below, where each Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) member will rate each criterion on the bid evaluation score sheet using the following value scale/matrix:

Table 5: Technical Functional Evaluation Matrix

Rating	Definition	Score
Excellent	Exceeds the requirement. Exceptional demonstration by the supplier of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources, and quality measures required to provide the goods/services. Response identifies factors that will offer potential value, with supporting evidence.	3
Acceptable	Satisfies the requirement with minor additional benefits , above average demonstration by the supplier of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources, and quality measures required to provide the goods/services. Response identifies factors that will offer potential required services, with supporting evidence.	2
Average	Submission meets the minimum requirement with major reservations . Considerable reservations of the supplier's relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resource, and quality measures required to provide the goods/services, with little or no supporting evidence.	1
Unacceptable	Does not meet the requirement . Does not comply and/or insufficient information provided to demonstrate that the supplier has the ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources & quality measures required to provide the goods/services, with little or no supporting evidence.	0

Phase 3: Price and Preference (specific goals) Evaluation (80/90+20/10) = 100 points

Only qualifying bidders on Phase 2B will be appointed for inclusion on the non-exclusive panel of digital and technical service for a period of 36 months.

The Price and Specific goals will be applicable during specific briefs or projects during the panel duration.

NB: Depending on the specific project, the functionality may be utilised to address the areas that may not have been considered during the panel evaluation and appointment.

Table 6: Price and Preference (specific goals)

1. LIST OF RETURNABLES			
BIDDERS SHOULD PLEASE ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS			
<p>a) TICK APPLICABLE BOX</p> <p>b) ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SUBMITTED AND SIGNED WHERE APPLICABLE</p>			
SUPPLIER IS REQUIRED TO USE THE PRESCRIBED SEQUENCE IN ATTACHING THE ANNEXURES THAT COMPLETE THE BID OR RFQ DOCUMENT			
ANNEXURES	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
PART A & B	IS BID INVITATION FORM , TERMS, AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING COMPLETED, SIGNED, AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE A	IS THE STANDARD BID DOCUMENT (SBD4) FORM BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE B	IS BIDDER'S SWORN AFFIDAVIT - EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME) - OR QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE) - STILL VALID (FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS) FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER SUBMITTED TO CLAIM POINTS FOR SMME'S?		
ANNEXURE C	IS THE BIDDER'S QUOTED PRICE OR FINANCIAL OFFER SUBMITTED AND ALIGNED WITH THE SCOPE OF WORK? OR STATED IN THE BELOW TABLE OF DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE/GOODS?		
ANNEXURE D	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK WOMAN ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)		
ANNEXURE E	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK PERSON (S) IN THE FORM OF, (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE		

	COMPANY WITH WHICH THE BLACK OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, AND (B) COPY OF IDENTITY DOCUMENTS.		
ANNEXURE F	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK YOUTH ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK YOUTH.		
ANNEXURE G	IS THE LATEST REPORT FROM CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) SUBMITTED? THE REPORT WILL BE USED AMONGST OTHERS TO VERIFY TAX COMPLIANT AND BANKING DETAILS. TO FURTHER CONFIRM IF THE SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY ARE BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH OR BLACK-OWNED. INFORMATION AND DETAILS ON BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH AND BLACK OWNERSHIP SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED ON ANNEXURES B, C,D,E AND F ABOVE.		

2. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

4.1 DEFINITIONS

HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDI) IS DEFINED AS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN -

- a) WHO, DUE TO THE APARTHEID POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE, HAD NO VOTING RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1983 (ACT NO. 100 OF 1983) OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1993 (ACT NO. 200 OF 1993) ("THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION) AND OR
- b) WHO IS A WOMAN AND/OR
- c) YOUTH

4.2 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY PERSON WHO RECEIVED SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZENSHIP ON OR BEFORE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION, WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE HDI.

4.3 ANY REFERENCE TO WORDS "BID" OR "BIDDER" HEREIN AND/OR IN ANY OTHER DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO HAVE THE SAME MEANING AS THE WORDS "TENDER" OR "TENDERER".

4.4 "A WOMAN" REFERS TO A FEMALE PERSON WHO IS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN

4.5 “HDI EQUITY OWNERSHIP” REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF A PARTNERSHIP OR BUSINESS THAT IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS, OR IN THE CASE OF A COMPANY, THE PERCENTAGE OF SHARES WHICH IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR BUSINESS AND WHO EXERCISES CONTROL IN THE BUSINESS IN RELATION TO THEIR OWNERSHIP AT THE CLOSE OF TENDER. WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE BUSINESS AND WHO DOES NOT EXERCISE CONTROL IN RELATION TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP, EQUITY OWNERSHIP POINTS CANNOT BE AWARDED.

4.6 “BLACK PEOPLE” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS AFRICANS, COLOURED AND INDIANS WHO ARE CITIZENS OF THE RSA BY BIRTH OR DESCENT OR BY NATURALISATION BEFORE 27 APRIL 1994 OR AFTER.

4.7 “SMALL ENTERPRISE” MEANS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUSINESS ENTITY, TOGETHER WITH ITS BRANCHES OR SUBSIDIARIES, IF ANY, INCLUDING COOPERATIVE ENTERPRISES, MANAGED BY ONE OWNER OR MORE PREDOMINANTLY CARRIED ON IN ANY SECTOR OR SUBSECTOR OF THE ECONOMY.

4.8 “YOUTH” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS PERSONS BETWEEN 14 TO 35 YEARS OF AGE. (THE MAXIMUM AGE OF PERSON/DIRECTOR/SHAREHOLDER ETC MUST BE BELOW OR 35 YEARS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME OF THE RFQ)

4.9 “EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME)” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF R 10 MILLION OR LESS.

4.10 “QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE)” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF BETWEEN R 10 MILLION AND R 50 MILLION

4.11 “SPECIFIC GOALS ”REFERS TO CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS, OR CATEGORIES OF PERSONS, HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED BY UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, GENDER OR DISABILITY AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMME AS PUBLISHED IN THE GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 16085 DATED 23 NOVEMBER 1994.

4.12 80 / 20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

TENDERERS WILL BE AWARDED POINTS AS FOLLOWS:

The points must be allocated and awarded as follows:

			Specific	Goals
i.	Total Tendered Price	:	80 points	
ii.	Black Women Ownership	:	04 points	
iii.	Black Ownership	:	10 points	
	(Maximum points)			
iv.	Black Youth	:	02 points	
v.	Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises	:	04 points	
	(SMME's)			
	Total	:	100 points	

4.13 THE POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL MUST BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST 2 DECIMAL PLACES

4.14 TENDER PRICE

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE POINTS OUT OF 80 FOR PRICE IN RESPECT OF TENDER WITH A RAND VALUE NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER MUST SCORE 80 POINTS FOR PRICE, AND OTHER TENDERS WHICH ARE HIGH IN PRICE MUST SCORE FEWER POINTS, ON PRO RATA BASIS.

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$$

WHERE -

PS = POINTS SCORED (AWARDED) FOR PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION

PT = PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION; AND

PMIN = PRICE OF THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER

4.15 SPECIFIC GOALS

4.15.1 % OWNED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE BLACK WOMEN (WO)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (04) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK WOMAN. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK WOMEN WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED

BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBER/S WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK WOMEN -----%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $4 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} = 14 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT (S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK WOMAN IS LISTED

4.15.2 % OWNED BY BLACK PEOPLE (BO)

A MAXIMUM OF TEN (10) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK AND DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF AN HDI. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACKS WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK PERSON(S) WHO DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $10 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} = 4 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF ID DOCUMENT.
- b) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION ON THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- c) LATEST CSD REPORT WITH BLACKS AS SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

4.15.3 SMALL, MEDIUM AND MICRO ENTERPRISES (SMME'S)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (4) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS SMME

IS THE COMPANY CLASSIFIED AS EME OR QSE?

YES = 4 POINTS

=

NO = 0 POINT

PROOF OF DOCUMENTATION MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) SWORN AFFIDAVID THAT IS VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER.

4.15.4 % OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH

A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS BLACK YOUTH. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK YOUTH WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED : $2 \times \frac{\% DO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) A COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) A COPY OF ID DOCUMENT;
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK YOUTH IS LISTED.

TABLE B: OWNERSHIP

NAME AND SURNAME / ENTITY NAME	GENDER (MALE OR FEMALE)	AGE i.e.,	CITIZENSHIP (RSA, OR SPECIFY OTHER)	ETHNIC GROUP (BLACK, WHITE, ETC.)	NUMBER OF SHARES PER SHAREHOLDER	PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP (%) PER SHAREHOLDER

Total						

(To be completed by bidder)

TABLE- C: SPECIFIC GOALS

OWNERSHIP	TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP	SPECIFIC GOALS POINTS CLAIMED
Black Woman ownership		
Black Ownership		
Black Youth Ownership		
Total		

4.16 THE SAT CAN ONLY AWARD POINTS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE CORRECTLY COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSALS IN LINE WITH LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS ON PARAGRAPH THREE (3) ABOVE. POINTS OBTAINED FOR PRICE SHOULD BE ADDED TO POINTS OBTAINED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS.

4.17 TENDER MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER SCORING THE HIGHEST POINTS. HOWEVER, A CONTRACT MAY BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER THAT DID NOT SCORE THE HIGHEST POINTS ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA 05 OF 2000.

3. CRITERIA FOR BREAKING DEADLOCK IN SCORING

- a) IF TWO OR MORE OF THE TENDERERS HAVE SCORED EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS, THE CONTRACT WILL BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER THAT SCORED THE HIGHEST POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS;
- b) IF TWO OR MORE TENDERERS SCORE EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN ALL RESPECTS, THE AWARD WILL BE DECIDED BY THE DRAWING OF LOTS

4. DELIVERIES

- a. ALL DELIVERIES MAY BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DELIVERY NOTE OR AN INVOICE OF AN OFFICIAL PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER AGAINST WHICH THE DELIVERY HAS BEEN AFFECTED
- b. DELIVERIES NOT COMPLYING WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER FORM MAY BE RETURNED TO THE SUPPLIER(S) AT THE SUPPLIER'S EXPENSE. THE SAT WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PAYMENT OF INCORRECTLY DELIVERED GOODS OR SERVICE

- c. BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE PLANNED DELIVERY PERIOD (IN DAYS) FROM THE DATE AN ORDER IS ISSUED

5. POPIA DISCLAIMER**7.1 COMPLIANCE WITH PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013**

PERSONAL INFORMATION SHARED WITH THE SAT SHALL BE TREATED WITH CONFIDENTIALITY AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA) AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCLAIMER, "PERSONAL INFORMATION" SHALL BE DEFINED AS DETAILED IN THE PROMOTION OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, ACT 2 OF 2000 (PAIA) AND POPIA, AND "PROCESSING" AND "FURTHER PROCESSING" SHALL BE READ, INTERPRETED AND UNDERSTOOD AS DETAILED AND DEFINED IN POPIA.

7.2 CONSENT TO PROCESSING AND FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

THE SAT MAY PROCESS AND FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION, INTERNALLY OR EXTERNALLY, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND/OR AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE SAT MAY

SHARE PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ITS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, LEGAL AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS AUTHORISED TO PROCESS THIS INFORMATION. THE SATMAY THUS PLACE RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN DUE TO THE NATURE AND REQUIREMENTS OF ITS WORK.

7.3 FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

YOU FURTHER GRANT THE SATEXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED PERMISSION TO FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION AND PLACE IT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS.

7.4 DUTY OF CARE

THE SAT VALUES YOUR PRIVACY AND SHALL TAKE ALL REASONABLE MEASURES TO PROTECT RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION.

7.5 EXEMPTION FROM LIABILITY

THE SAT (INCLUDING ITS OFFICIALS AND/OR EMPLOYEES) ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, FOR ANY LOSS, DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL), AND/OR EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER WHICH MAY ARISE AS A RESULT OF, OR WHICH MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FROM INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE HEREIN, OR ACTIONS OR TRANSACTIONS RESULTING THEREFROM

END