

	Strategy	Engineering
---	-----------------	--------------------

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy: Bullet proof guard offices**

Unique Identifier: HEN 01

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **11**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by	Functional Responsibility	Authorised by
.....
Date:	Date:	Date:

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES.....	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	4
2.2.1 Normative	4
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS.....	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....	5
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS.....	5
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	9
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	10
3.6.1 Risks.....	10
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions.....	10
4. AUTHORISATION.....	11
5. REVISIONS	11
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM.....	11
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	5
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	6
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities.....	9
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	10
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	10
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	10
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	10

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hendrina power station is a national key point, which consist of critical remote areas that contains highly valuable Eskom asset and staff members. A surge in crime related events have been reported in Hendrina power station and this can imply that security improvement is needed.

Bullet proof Guard houses shall be erected at manned Eskom sites where security officers are required and utilised 24/7 for site protection and where use of such facilities are deemed feasible.

This document establishes the technical evaluation strategy for the evaluation of tenders that will be received in response to the request to tender for the work to be done at Hendrina Power Station. This strategy is a high-level consideration of the key aspects that will give direction to the technical evaluation process. It is in accordance with the Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure (240-48929482) [1].

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document covers the technical evaluation strategy for the evaluation of the tenders for the work at Hendrina Power Station.

The aim of this document is to provide a technical evaluation strategy that shall be used for the technical evaluation of the tenders for the all the minor civil related work. Furthermore, it will ensure transparency in the evaluation process as per the requirements set out in the Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure (240-48929482)

This document covers the strategy that will be followed during the Technical Tender Evaluation (TTE) of the responses to Eskom's open/closed market enquiry.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

The document shall apply at Hendrina Power Station within Eskom Holdings Limited for bullet proof guard offices project.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 240-48929482: Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure
- [3] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [4] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Management Procedure

2.2.2 Informative

- [5] To assess whether the above-mentioned supplier/s submitted the required technical documentation as specified in the Enquiry referenced above, and that such quality documentation complies with the specified requirements.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CV	Curriculum Vitae
N/A	Not Applicable
QCP	Quality Control Plan
TET	TET Technical Evaluation Team
TTE	Technical Tender Evaluation
NHBRC	National Home Builders Registration Council
SANS	South African National Standards

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
	N/A	N/A	N/A

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	Criteria Weighting (%)
1.	Technical ability		Submit detailed CV, Certified copies of Qualifications, ID Copy, and relevant competency certificates. All certified documents not to be more than 6 months	35%	
	1.1	Site Supervisor or site Manager x 1	Site Supervisor: Minimum qualification National Diploma in Civil Engineering or Higher AND Minimum 3 years post qualification experience Qualification with 3 years' experience or more = 10 Qualification with experience less than 3 years = 5 No Qualification with experience= 0 Qualification with no experience = 0	15%	

	1.2	Skilled Workers Qualified Plumber x2	<p>Certified Plumber: Trade Certificate AND a minimum of three (3) years post qualification experience.</p> <p>Qualification with 3 years' experience or more = 10 Qualification with experience less than 3 years = 5 No Qualification with experience = 0 Qualification with no experience = 0</p>	10%	
	1.3	Electrician Qualified Electrician x2	<p>Certified Electrician: Trade Certificate AND a minimum of three (3) years post qualification experience.</p> <p>Qualification with 3 years' experience or more = 10 Qualification with experience less than 3 years = 5 No Qualification with experience = 0 Qualification with no experience = 0</p>	10%	
2.			Comprehensive Method Statement.	35%	
	2.2	Method Statement	<p>Contractor to submit a</p> <p>Comprehensive method statement related to the scope of work/ for executing scope of work/task. Detailed programme showing activities and estimated duration.</p> <p>Specific method statement with defined sequence and meet all the requirements = 35 Generic method statement = 17.5</p>		

			No method statement= 0		
3.	Company Completed contracts of similar nature/ projects			30%	
	1.1	Completed works of similar nature/building construction	List of completed works with reference letter in the Tenderer’s client letter head. 5 or more similar projects successfully completed 30 3 or 4 similar projects successfully completed 25 2 similar projects successfully completed 15 1 similar project successfully completed 5 0 similar projects successfully completed 0		
Minimum Qualifying Weighted Average Score				70%	
				TOTAL: 100%	

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X
3	X	X	X	X
4	X	X	X	X
5	X	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X
3	X	X	X	X
4	X	X	X	X
5	X	X	X	X
6	X	X	X	X
7	X	X	X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A
2.	

Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A
2.	

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A
1.	

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A
2.	

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
Feb 2026	1		Strategy Revised

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.