

Quality Evaluation Criteria for Enquiry: E2372GXNOU Q4/L3 - Batch 1 Plastic

Requirement	Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Receipt Inspection, Purchasing, etc.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
	Manufacturer Quality Control Process Documents	Returnable is an example of a QCP or Quality Project Plan for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required .	100% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with all intervention points and verification of completed steps. 75% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with no intervention points identified. 50% - Quality Control Process procedure only submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
	Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process.	100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. 50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
	Supplier Monitoring Process, including Source Verification and Receipt Inspection.	Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Monitoring Processes.	100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Monitoring procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Source Verification or Receipt Inspection. 75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Monitoring procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. 50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for verification and inspection of product. 0% - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis									
1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS				30%	0.0%				
TOTAL				30%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: L. Sityata

Signature: 

Date: 2025/12/15