

# Strategy

Engineering

Title: Tender Technical Evaluation
Strategy for supply and delivery
of fuel oil plant spares at
Hendrina Power Station

Unique Identifier: TBC

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: Auxiliary

Engineering

Documentation Type: Strategy

Revision: 0

Total Pages: 11

Next Review Date: N/A

Disclosure Classification: CONTROLLED

DISCLOSURE

Revision: 0
Page: 2 of 11

# **CONTENTS**

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	
2.2.1 Normative	
OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT (OHSA) ACT 85 OF 1993	
DEFINITIONS	
2.2.3 Classification	_
2.3 ABBREVIATIONS	•
2.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	
2.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	
3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS	
3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	
3.6.1 Risks	
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions	10
4. AUTHORISATION	11
5. REVISIONS	11
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	11
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
TABLES	
Table 1: TET Members	4
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	
Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities	
Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks	
Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	
Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	

Revision: 0
Page: 3 of 11

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The works is supply and delivery of fuel oil plant spares at Hendrina Power Station. Fuel oil forms an integral part of combustion process at Eskom. It is therefore important to maintain the maximum stock levels to have sufficient quantities available on site for immediate use.

#### 2. SCOPE

The scope is supply and delivery of fuel oil plant spares at Hendrina Power Station.

## 2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

# 2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable to Hendrina Power Station.

### 2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

#### 2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [3] 32-1034 Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure

#### 2.2.2 Informative

Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) Act 85 of 1993

#### **DEFINITIONS**

## 2.2.3 Classification

**Controlled Disclosure:** Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

Revision: 0
Page: 4 of 11

#### 2.3 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
N/A	Not Applicable
QCP	Quality Control Plan
QIP	Quality Inspection Plan
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
TTE	Technical Tender Evaluation
SANS	South African National Standards
CV	Curriculum Vitae
GA	General Arrangement
PO	Purchase Order

## 2.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 32-1034 Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

#### 2.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

#### 2.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

#### 3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY

## 3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

#### 3.2 TET MEMBERS

**Table 1: TET Members** 

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1		
TET 2		

## **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for supply an	d
delivery of fuel oil plant spares at Hendrina Power	
Station	

Revision: 0

Page: **5 of 11** 

# 3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria** 

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	N/A	N/A	N/A

0

Revision:

Page: **6 of 11** 

# 3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

No.	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1	"Provide detailed quality inspection plan for the proposed scope of work	Quality inspection Plan with intervention points	30	
	Non submittal of the quality inspection plan		0	0
	Submitted the quality inspection plan with missing activities		24	80
	Submitted the quality inspection plan with all activities		30	100
2	pdf Gantt chart programme	pdf Gantt chart programme	30	
	Non submittal		0	0
	Submitted the project program that has the start date and the end date of spares delivery with missing activities		24	80
	Submitted the project program that has the start date and the end date of spares delivery with all activities		30	100
3	Previous similar work, at least 3 purchase orders/contracts	The completion certificates for previous orders of similar work	40	

# Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for supply and delivery of fuel oil plant spares at Hendrina Power Station

Unique Identifier:

240-168966153

Revision:

0

Page:

7 of 11

Not submitted	0	0
Proof submitted with one referral	16	40
Proof submitted with two referrals	32	80
Proof submitted with three letters of referrals	40	100

Revision: 0

Page: **8 of 11** 

# 3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

**Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities** 

TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5	TET 6
Х	X				
Х	Х				
Х	Х				
Х	Х				
Х	Х				
	X X X	x x x x x x x x x x	x	X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X

Revision: 0

Page: **9 of 11** 

# 3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

# 3.6.1 Risks

**Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks** 

Risk	Description
1.	Alternative solution with similar specified material
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	

# **Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks**

Risk	Description
1.	Copy of requested certificate with expired dates
2.	None specified products/components
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	

Revision: 0

Page: **10 of 11** 

# 3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

# **Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

Risk	Description
1.	Acceptable deviation with technical justification
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	

# Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation without technical justification
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	

Unique Identifier:

Revision: 0

Page: 11 of 11

## 4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature

## 5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
August 2024	0		

# **6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Nhlanhla Mabila

# 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**