

Functional Evaluation Criteria for Plant Enquiry No: WCKBG1042KH						
Requirements	Criteria	Deliverables	Weighting	% Rating	% Rating	Exem Comments
1. Supply of Product	Supplier must meet the delivery date as per the Koeberg requirements and initial commitment date as per the tender. All supporting documentation/manuals, spares, hardware and software must be available upon delivery of the items.	The returnable is the Date to be provided by supplier.	50%	0%		
	<b>TOTAL WEIGHTING</b>	The returnable is a letter from the supplier committing to the deliverable.	50%	0%		
2. Technical Ability	Demonstrate ability to comply with Specifications and Requirements and user specification provided.	The returnable is a letter from the supplier indicating how each specification is met and any waivers are required.	100%	FALSE		
	The Transmitter need to be fully qualified in accordance with IEEE 323 and IEEE 344 as stated in specifications 240-127007144.	The returnable is a letter how this will be performed.	30%	0%		
3. Quality Management System (QMS)	<b>TOTAL WEIGHTING</b>		70%	0%		
	A mature implemented Management System with certification for ISO 9001:2015 and audit reports indicating compliance with ASME NQA-1 and IAEA GS-R Part 2 or equivalent.	Copies of Management System Certification and supporting audit reports	100%	FALSE		
4. Quality Planning	<b>TOTAL WEIGHTING</b>		100%	0.00%		
	Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan : A supplier document specifying the work or production activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (238-102 Revision 0.	Returnable is an example of a QCP or Qualification Plan to 1E for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required.	100%	FALSE		
5. Management Responsibility	<b>TOTAL WEIGHTING</b>		100%	0%		
	Demonstrate management responsibility with respect to leadership: 1: organisational structure to show roles, reporting lines and authority. Demonstrate that change control process is managed in the organization on areas such as the company structure, staffing levels and resources that can adversely affect quality.	The returnable is the retained or maintained documented information for demonstrating criteria implementation. 1: Organogram demonstrating key personnel with their roles 2: KPI's and latest management review report. The returnable is the retained documented information or records demonstrating criteria implementation, e.g. Changes have been planned and risk assessment performed to determine potential consequences and impact vrt the integrity of the QMS. The returnable is the maintained documented information or method statement demonstrating criteria implementation.	20%	0%		
6. Monitoring	Demonstrate that measures exist to control internal and external interfaces to the organisation and that adequate oversight measures are implemented. Demonstrate that measures exist to control externally provided processes, products and service as well as that adequate oversight measures have been implemented. Demonstrate management commitment and accountability with respect to the achievement of QMS objectives. Provide evidence that the management review process ensures that the Quality Management System is suitable and effective with respect to quality.	The returnable is the maintained documented information or method statement demonstrating criteria implementation, e.g. process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers as well as verification of purchased products and services. The returnable is the latest management review report	20%	0%		
	<b>TOTAL WEIGHTING</b>		100%	FALSE	0%	
6. Monitoring	Demonstrate implementation of reviews to measure process effectiveness and opportunities for improvement with respect to quality management.	The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g Internal audit or self assessment report.	35%	0%		
	Demonstrate implementation of non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition with provisions for customer notification and acceptance. Demonstrate that adequate measures are in place to ensure that audit results and corrective actions are being resolved satisfactorily and are closed out within agreed timeframe.	The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g A corrective action plan accomplished (closed-out) as scheduled.	35%	0%		
6. Monitoring	<b>TOTAL WEIGHTING</b>		30%	0%		
			100%	FALSE	0%	
<b>FINAL ANALYSIS</b>			100%	0.0%		
1. Supply of Product			10%	0.0%		
2. Technical Ability			50%	0.0%		
3. Quality Management System (QMS)			10%	0.0%		
4. Quality Planning			10%	0.0%		
5. Management Responsibility			10%	0.0%		
6. Monitoring			10%	0.0%		
<b>TOTAL</b>			100%	0.0%		
<p>The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:</p> <p>A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:</p> <p>1 - 75% - Partial meet 2 - 100% - Meet The overall score for Functionality criteria is analysed as follows: 0% - 75% - Does not meet 76% - 100% - Meet</p>						
			NOT MEET		CONCLUSION	
			Comply By (POE): V. Dzhangwe		2022-07-06	
			Reviewed By (POE): N. Mponge		2022/7/6	
			Comply By (Technical): D. Jurgensen		2022-07-07	
			Reviewed By (Technical): V. Dzhangwe		PP L Thomas	