



PetroSA

The Petroleum Oil and Gas
Corporation of South Africa SOC Ltd
Reg. No. 1970/008130/30
151 Frans Conradie Road,
Parow, 7500
Private Bag X 5 Parow, 7499
Republic of South Africa
Tel +27 21 929 3000
Fax +27 21 929 3266

TENDER BULLETIN 2

ENQUIRY NO: CTT26927

**DESCRIPTION: THE PROVISION OF A COMPREHENSIVE FEASIBILITY
ENGINEERING STUDY FOR A GAS-TO-POWER PROJECT**

QUESTION 1

The Tenderer requests that the Employer provide the SBD 1 Form and SBD 4 Form, as these documents are required to prepare and submit a fully compliant tender response.

ANSWER 1

Please note that the requested SBD 1 and SBD 4 forms are available on the tender portal under Documents 7 and 8, respectively. For ease of reference, the documents are also attached hereto.

Furthermore, please note that the tender closing date will not be revised. The applicable closing date remains **09 September 2026**, as stipulated on the tender website and portal.

QUESTION 2

Please define the accuracy requirement of the Cost estimate given the 4 months to complete the study and whether it must conform to AACE. If the definition of an AACE Cost 3 estimate remains, the engineering deliverables are substantial, and an extension of 8 months to complete the study is requested.

ANSWER 2

PetroSA agrees that, given the requirement to deliver a robust feasibility study and an AACE Class 3 cost estimate, an **8-month study duration** is more realistic and achievable. The AACE Class 3 requirement remains unchanged, as it is required to support the Project Business Case and provide sufficient information to develop the

Directors:

Interim Chairman: Mr Tembinkosi Bonakele;
Ms Brenda Moagi; Mr Llewellyn Delpoit; Ms Ditsietsi Morabe
Group Chief Executive Officer (acting): Ms Nombulelo Tyandela
Group Chief Financial Officer (acting): Mr Linda Nene
Group Company Secretary: Ms Marlene Khumalo

minimum functional specification for future EPC tendering. The extended duration will allow adequate engineering definition, risk assessment, and cost estimation to deliver a credible and defensible study outcome.

STATEMENT 3

Tenderers are hereby advised that the final date for the submission of clarification questions relating to this tender is **03 September 2026**. No further questions or requests for clarification will be accepted after this date. Tenderers are therefore requested to submit all outstanding queries on or before 03 September 2026.

QUESTION 4

Identification of geotechnical studies and delivery schedule:

The RFQ specifies a delivery schedule of four (4) months. Further, the RFP is requesting to achieve an AACE Class 3 capital cost estimate within this timeframe. The bidder would like to highlight that to achieve the AACE Class 3 level of cost estimate, a substantial level of engineering will need to be undertaken, including preparation of:

- Pdf's
- High-level P&IDs
- Heat & Mass balance
- Equipment list
- Plot Plan/GA
- Civil quantities including earthworks and major civil BOQ's
- Equipment data sheets including specifications
- SLD's, load list
- Control philosophy, etc.

Further, as informed in the MOM dated 20 Aug 2026, no project-specific geotechnical investigations have been completed. How will the successful bidder undertake civil design to achieve the stated level of estimate accuracy? Therefore, the Consultant proposes that the Client review the requested completion schedule. The bidder recommends a more realistic schedule of approximately 8-9 months.

ANSWER 4

Question and answer 2 above. The matter relating to the geotechnical study has already been addressed in the Minutes of Meeting (MoM) dated **20 August 2026**, under **Section 7, second bullet point**. Please refer to the attached MoM for details and the agreed discussion outcome.

QUESTION 5

Description: Assessment of existing cooling water tower to provide cooling needs for: Gas Power Plant, including required supply to other external units in operation, e.g. the existing Demineralised water plant and air supply plant.

Please clarify whether the cooling tower is existing or cooling water is available; the consultant needs to assess the additional cooling water required for CCPP and evaluate whether the available cooling water is adequate or not. Integrating with the existing cooling tower is also possible, but it needs more interface engineering.

ANSWER 5

The cooling tower that will be used for the supply of cooling water to the Gas Power Plant is existing.

QUESTION 6

Description: All other utility systems and supporting facilities required for the Gas Power Plant, including, but not limited to, the fire water system, laboratory facilities, potable water, utility water, and any additional utilities necessary for the safe and reliable operation of the plant.

Please clarify whether the existing fire water system can be used for the CCPP fire fighting system. Alternatively, a dedicated fire water system can be considered for CCPP alone with new equipment and system.

ANSWER 6

The on-site firewater treatment system exists. The existing fire water ring main does not cover the site of the gas power plant, so a new firewater system for the gas power plant can be assumed for the feasibility phase.

MINUTES OF THE SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING TENDER NO: CTT26927

PROVISION

Meeting No.:	CTT26927/01	Date:	20/08/2026
Present: PetroSA	Bheki Nkalitshana (BN) - (Technical Lead); Craig Beaument (CB) - (Process Engineer); Emile Engel (EE) - (Electrical Engineer); Peter Nelson (PN) - (Project Development Manager); Andretta Tsebe (AT) - (GM: Power Generation); Hennie Fortuin (HF) - Procurement Consultant.		
Apologies:			
Copies to:	All Tenderers		
Chair	Hennie Fortuin (HF)	Scribe:	Hennie Fortuin (HF)
DISCUSSION			
1.	WELCOME & INTRODUCTION HF welcomed attendees and advised that the purpose of the meeting was to provide an overview of the project and allow prospective tenderers to seek clarification on the scope of work and tender requirements.		
2.	PROJECT OVERVIEW BN provided an overview of the project, highlighting the following: - PetroSA intends to develop a Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) power plant at the Mossel Bay GTL Refinery. - The facility is expected to generate approximately 300 MW to 360 MW net electrical power. - The design operating life is anticipated to be at least 20 years. - The project will utilise PetroSA's indigenous offshore gas reserves and existing gas infrastructure. - The primary objective of the current scope is to develop a feasibility-level engineering design and Class 3 cost estimate.		
3.	CLARIFICATION QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES		
3.1	OEM Selection and Previous Studies Question: Has an OEM been selected and will previous studies be made available? Response: A preferred OEM and configuration have been identified through a prior techno-		

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 1 of 8

	economic assessment. Relevant studies, including the pre-feasibility study and supporting information, will be made available to the successful bidder following contract award
3.2	RAM Study Question: What is the purpose and expected level of detail for the Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability (RAM) study? Response: - The RAM study will support PetroSA's bankable economic model and provide availability and outage assumptions for the selected configuration. - Availability data obtained from OEMs will be used as inputs to the RAM evaluation.
3.3	Class 3 Cost Estimate Question: Why is a Class 3 estimate required during feasibility? Response: - PetroSA's project governance framework requires a Class 3 estimate at the feasibility stage to support gate approval. - PetroSA confirmed that this requirement aligns with its internal governance and Treasury-related processes. Action: - PetroSA to confirm the required Class 3 estimate accuracy range via a formal tender clarification.
3.4	Project Funding and Offtake Arrangements Question: How will the project be funded and who are the anticipated offtakers? Response: - The objective of the project is to monetise PetroSA's indigenous offshore gas reserves. - Various potential offtakers have expressed interest but require a bankable feasibility study before entering into firm offtake agreements. - A parallel commercial workstream will address project funding structures and financing models.
3.5	LNG Integration Question: Will LNG form part of the current project scope?

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 2 of 8

	Response: - No. The current project is based solely on indigenous offshore natural gas. - LNG import infrastructure is not part of the current feasibility study scope.
3.6	Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Question: What is the status of the EIA process? Response: - An EIA consultant has been appointed. - The EIA process will be restarted with a newly appointed consultant.
3.7	OEM Engagement and Budget Pricing Question: Which OEMs have been engaged? Response: - Gas turbine OEMs were engaged during the techno-economic study. - Budget quotations have already been obtained for gas turbines. - Steam turbine and HRSG suppliers were not engaged during the previous study and would require engagement during this feasibility study.
3.8	P&IDs Question: Are preliminary P&IDs mandatory? Response: - Preliminary P&IDs are not mandatory if bidders can satisfactorily develop a Class 3 estimate without them. - Any exclusions or deviations should be clearly stated within the proposal.
3.9	Electricity Evacuation Options Question: What electrical evacuation configurations should be considered? Response: Two options are to be assessed: - Eskom-proposed transmission infrastructure and collector station arrangement. - The feasibility study must assess and compare both alternatives - Investigate alternative electricity evacuation options such as direct connection from the Gas Power station to Eskom grid.

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 3 of 8

3.10	Cost Estimate Letter Question: What is the status of the Eskom Cost Estimate Letter? Response: • The latest Cost Estimate Letter was issued in July 2025. • This information will be made available to the successful bidder.
3.11	Geotechnical Information Question: Is geotechnical information available? Response: • No project-specific geotechnical investigation has been completed. • The site is a previously prepared area intended for refinery facilities. • Further geotechnical requirements may be identified during the feasibility study.
3.12	Design Basis Assumptions Question: Can assumptions from the previous WSP study be shared? Response: • PetroSA will assess what information may be shared through formal clarification. • Any response will be communicated through a tender bulletin.
3.13	Fuel Supply Question: Will there be secondary fuel firing capability? Response: • No. • The project is currently based solely on indigenous natural gas supply.
3.14	Bidder Experience Requirements Question: Is the requirement for five power generation projects exceeding 100 MW mandatory? Response: • PetroSA confirmed that the stated experience requirements remain applicable as

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 4 of 8

	specified in the tender documentation.
3.15	Existing Utility Integration Question: Must existing refinery utilities be considered? Response: - Existing utilities may be reused where feasible. - Shared utility assumptions and capacities will be defined during project development.
3.16	Power Block Configuration Question: What is the selected configuration? Response: - The selected configuration comprises: - Four Gas Turbines - Four HRSGs - One Steam Turbine - Configuration: 4 x 1 CCGT arrangement.
3.17	Future Project Participation Question: Will the successful feasibility consultant be excluded from subsequent project phases? Response: - No. - Successful participation in the feasibility phase will not preclude participation in future project phases.
4.	PROCUREMENT CLARIFICATIONS a) Tender Closing Date - Current tender closing date remains 02 September 2026. b) Additional Clarification Questions - Tenderers may submit further clarification questions in writing. - Technical questions should preferably be submitted by 28 August 2026. c) Tender Bulletins - Responses to clarification questions will be issued via formal tender bulletins and

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 5 of 8

	circulated to all interested parties. d) Extension Requests - Any request for extension must be submitted formally to Procurement. - PetroSA will consider requests and, where approved, communicate any extension through an official tender bulletin. e) General - Tenderers must submit their tenders timeously to allow assistance if support is required. - Tenderers must comply strictly with all instructions in the Tender Document to facilitate an efficient evaluation process. - All companies conducting business with PetroSA must be registered on the National Treasury's Central Supplier Database (CSD). - Tenderers must pay careful attention to detail. Failure to provide any information requested in the Technical Questionnaire and Returnable Schedules will result in disqualification. - Tenderers must regularly check the PetroSA website for updates relating to the Tender (e.g., minutes, tender bulletins, clarifications, etc.). - For all updates and additional information, visit: http://www.procurement.petrosa.com/tenders/tenders/default.aspx - It remains the sole responsibility of the Tenderer to monitor the website regularly.
5.	ACTION ITEMS a) Confirm required Class 3 estimate accuracy range via tender bulletin – PetroSA b) Consider whether assumptions from the WSP study can be shared – PetroSA c) Submit any further clarification questions in writing to the responsible Procurement Consultant – Tenderers d) Issue meeting minutes and subsequent clarification bulletins - Procurement
6.	Closure The meeting was adjourned at approximately 15:21, with attendees thanked for their participation and encouraged to submit any additional clarification questions through the procurement process
7.	PETROSA ACTION ITEM FEEDBACK PetroSA reviewed the clarification requests relating to the Feasibility Engineering Study, specifically the requirements for P&IDs and the availability of geotechnical information for cost estimating purposes. Following internal discussions, it was confirmed that the current Scope of Work remains aligned with PetroSA's Feasibility gated framework engineering deliverable requirements and does not require amendment. It was further agreed that: Preliminary P&IDs are only a required deliverable if needed by successful bidder

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 6 of 8

	to generate the Class 3 feasibility estimate (as per the statement in the Scope of Work Document). - The 1988/89 Mossel Bay GTL refinery site geotechnical study will be made available to the successful bidder to support feasibility-level civil and foundation cost estimates. If this geotechnical study is found not to have sufficient data/detail for the plot selected for the gas power plant, the bidder shall make reasonable estimating assumptions and clearly document all assumptions, exclusions, and allowances forming part of the Class 3 cost estimate. - A geotechnical study is not a required deliverable during the Feasibility phase according to PetroSA Gated framework engineering deliverables (it is expected to be undertaken during FEED). It is expected that the feasibility study includes identifying if additional geotechnical studies will be required to be undertaken during a subsequent project phase (as per statement in the Scope of Work Document) Resolution: The existing Scope of Work is considered fit for purpose and remains unchanged. The successful bidder will receive existing geotechnical information to support feasibility-level cost estimating.
--	---

ATTENDEES:

Craig Beaument <craig.beaument@petrosa.co.za>; Peter Nelson <PETER.Nelson@petrosa.co.za>; phinda.vilakazi@vilgro.com <phinda.vilakazi@vilgro.com>; Saiuree Ramnarain <Saiuree.Ramnarain@tneigroup.com>; bertrand.pairon@tractebel.engie.com <bertrand.pairon@tractebel.engie.com>; sedick.davis@external.tractebel.engie.com <sedick.davis@external.tractebel.engie.com>; davin.bowles@external.tractebel.engie.com <davin.bowles@external.tractebel.engie.com>; anton.frantz@tractebel.engie.com <anton.frantz@tractebel.engie.com>; jb.cvw <jb.cvw@cvw-e.com>; Alex <aspratt.cvw@cvw-e.com>; Gugu Masilela <GuguM@motagane.co.za>; Alain Bonfrer <Alain.Bonfrer@zutari.com>; Heskin Mzungu <Heskin.Mzungu@zutari.com>; Yvette Freeman <Yvette.Freeman@lesedins.co.za>; Ekaterina Zdobnyakova <e.zdobnyakova@cme-international.com>; Cameron" <McCoyC@bv.com>; Arno Bakker <Arno.Bakker@lesedins.co.za>; Pierre Duvenage <pierre.duvenage@megchem.com>; Ueckermann, Izolde <izolde.ueckermann@thyssenkrupp.com>; Corne Welgemoed <Corne.Welgemoed@lesedins.co.za>; Sam" <sam.sefati@woodgroup.com>; Vincenzo" <vincenzo.tota@woodgroup.com>; Pearl Morai <pearl@bitstech.co.za>; Molatjana, Boitumelo <Boitumelo.Molatjana@wsp.com>; Martin, Julie <julie.martin2@woodgroup.com>; Alex van Dyk <Alex.vanDyk@proconics.co.za>; Paul Botha <paul.botha@proconics.co.za>; Kgofu Koape <kgofu@kelani.co.za>; arun.verma@tractebel.engie.com <arun.verma@tractebel.engie.com>; Yorke, Ian <Yorkel@bv.com>; Pieter.rosslee@proconics.co.za <Pieter.rosslee@proconics.co.za>; Inge-Marie Yssel <ingey@epcmholdings.com>; Kaylee Kitching <kayleek@epcmholdings.com>; Karen Joubert <karenj@epcmholdings.com>; Jason Tee <jason.tee@proconics.co.za>; Francois Smal <francois.smal@proconics.co.za>; Bernard Sello <Bernard.Sello@proconics.co.za>; Rafael Coronel Martinez <RCoronel@slb.com>; Harding, Vernon <Vernon.Harding@wsp.com>; Jaco <jaco.cvw@cvw-e.com>; van Antwerp, Tanya <Tanya.van.Antwerp@woodgroup.com>; Jacques Truter <jacques.truter@megchem.com>; sven.goethals@tractebel.engie.com <sven.goethals@tractebel.engie.com>; Jansen Jansen van Rensburg

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 7 of 8

<jansen.jvr@megchem.com>; Gerda Neuhoﬀ <gneuhoﬀ@prdw.com>; Elmien" <elmien.booyse@woodgroup.com>; Bheki Nkalitshana <BHEKI.Nkalitshana@petrosa.co.za>; Wessel Kruger <WESSEL.Kruger@petrosa.co.za>; sumish.naidoo@vilgro.com <sumish.naidoo@vilgro.com>; adaniels@prdw.com <adaniels@prdw.com>; ashish.pandey@tractebel.engie.com <ashish.pandey@tractebel.engie.com>; Ina <admin.cvw@cvw-e.com>; siya <siya.cvw@cvw-e.com>; Zoë Lincoln <Zoe.lincoln@tneigroup.com>; Kobus van der Merwe <Kobus.vanderMerwe@zutari.com>; Tshepang Mathibe <Tshepang.Mathibe@zutari.com>; Evgeniy Drykin <e.drykin@cme-international.com>; Denis Drozdovsky <d.drozdovsky@cme-international.com>; Moremi, Madikana (Johannesburg) <madikana.moremi@worley.com>; Tamunonye (Tammy) Ejimadu <tnumero@slb.com>; Andrew" <Andrew.Hill@thyssenkrupp.com>; Donald, Yolanda (Johannesburg) <yolanda.donald@worley.com>; Flower, Mark (Johannesburg) <Mark.Flower1@Worley.com>; Coulter, Mark (Cape Town) <mark.coulter@worley.com>; Skidmore, Matthew (London) <matthew.skidmore@worley.com>; Pillay, Venasan (Cape Town) <venasan.pillay@worley.com>; info@bv.com <info@bv.com>; Jean-Pierre Manuel <Jean-Pierre.Manuel@lesedins.co.za>; Tharissa Manikum <Tharissa.Manikum@lesedins.co.za>; dewan.smit@hatch.com <dewan.smit@hatch.com>; charles.jooste@hatch.com <charles.jooste@hatch.com>; eben.conradie@hatch.com <eben.conradie@hatch.com>; Dewan Smit <dewansmit01@gmail.com>; didier.bourdeaux@tractebel.engie.com <didier.bourdeaux@tractebel.engie.com>; Lerato Vilakazi <lerato@bitstech.co.za>; Seafeld, Keenan <Keenan.Seafeld@wsp.com>; Abram Keyser <abram.keyser@proconics.co.za>; Ndivhuwo Nemakonde <NNemakonde@slb.com>; Nicki McGee <Nicki.McGee@semane.com>; Jared Joseph <Jared.Joseph@semane.com>; Barell Magi <barell.magi@semane.com>; Vincent Vikaya Moyo <vincent@kelani.co.za>; info@kelani.co.za <info@kelani.co.za>; Emile Engel <EMILE.Engel@petrosa.co.za>; Andretta Tsebe <Andretta.Tsebe@petrosa.co.za>; Khetha Masango <khetham@kettleconsulting.co.za>; john.sichinga@vilgro.com <john.sichinga@vilgro.com>; kurt.jurgens@external.tractebel.engie.com <kurt.jurgens@external.tractebel.engie.com>; joshua.afrika@tractebel.engie.com <joshua.afrika@tractebel.engie.com>; Carlo <cvw@cvw-e.com>; Gerard du Plessis <Gerard.duPlessis@lesedins.co.za>; Maleking, Bianca <bianca.maleking@thyssenkrupp.com>; tenders1@kuhlemceboeng.co.za <tenders1@kuhlemceboeng.co.za>; Pepler Stander <peplers@epcmholdings.com>; Martinus Beumer <martinus.beumer@proconics.co.za>; mlamuli@ottechnologies.co.za <mlamuli@ottechnologies.co.za>; aliyah@ottechnologies.co.za <aliyah@ottechnologies.co.za>; pumim@ottechnologies.co.za <pumim@ottechnologies.co.za>; francois.malvaux@tractebel.engie.com <francois.malvaux@tractebel.engie.com>

DOCUMENT NO.	SUB DIRECTORY	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
01	MINUTES OF SCOPE CLARIFICATION MEETING	20/08/2026	Page 8 of 8

PART A INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE (NAME OF DEPARTMENT/ PUBLIC ENTITY)					
BID NUMBER:	CTT26927	CLOSING DATE:	09/09/2026	CLOSING TIME:	11:00
DESCRIPTION	THE PROVISION OF A COMPREHENSIVE FEASIBILITY ENGINEERING STUDY FOR PetroSA's GAS-TO-POWER PROJECT				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE DEPOSITED IN THE BID BOX SITUATED AT (STREET ADDRESS)					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	Hennie Fortuin		CONTACT PERSON	Hennie Fortuin	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	+27 21 929 3211		TELEPHONE NUMBER	+27 21 929 3211	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	Martinhennie.fortuin@petrosa.co.za		E-MAIL ADDRESS	Martinhennie.fortuin@petrosa.co.za	
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
FACSIMILE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE	TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No		B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL SWORN AFFIDAVIT	[TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No	
[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE/ SWORN AFFIDAVIT (FOR EMES & QSEs) MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE POINTS FOR B-BBEE]					
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		ARE YOU A FOREIGN-BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER THE QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW]	
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS					
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.					

PART B TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:
1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED–(NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.
1.3. THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2017, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
1.4. THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).
2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS
2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA.
2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED, EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
2.6 WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:
(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

- 1.1 Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Constitution), and further expressed in the various applicable legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.
- 1.2 If a person is listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and/or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. DECLARATION ON EMPLOYMENT BY ORGAN OF STATE

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of the directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners of the bidder employed by an organ of state, as defined in section 239 of the Constitution? **YES/NO**
- 2.2 If YES, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, in the table below:

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of organ of state

- 2.3 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

2.4 Does the bidder or any of its directors/trustees/shareholders members/partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise, whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.4.1 If so, indicate all companies registered in the CSD in the table below:

Supplier registration number (MAAA)	Status (active/inactive/deleted)

Failure to disclose all CSD-registered active companies linked to all Directors will lead to disqualification.

3 GENERAL DECLARATION

I,, the undersigned, in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure.
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found to be false.
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.5 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the

contract.

- 3.6 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.7 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998) and or may be referred to law enforcement agencies for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the state for a period not exceeding 10 years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, 2004 (Act No. 12 of 2004) or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE PROCURING INSTITUTION MAY REJECT THE BID OR TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION AGAINST ME IF THIS DECLARATION IS FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Designation

.....
Name of bidder