Eskom	Strategy	Medupi Power Station

Title:	**Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Medupi Power Station Supply and Delivery of Mill Ancillary Spares**	Unique Identifier:	**241-20221420**
		Alternative Reference Number:	**N/A**
		Area of Applicability:	**Generation Engineering**
		Documentation Type:	**Strategy**
		Revision:	**1**
		Total Pages:	**11**
		Next Review Date:	**2028/11/17**
		Disclosure Classification:	**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by	Functional Responsibility	Authorised by
.....	.....	..... SPHlongwa .....
Siya Kuzwayo	**Bernard Matanda**	**Sithokozile Hlongwa**
Mills and PF Burners System Engineer	**Boiler Engineering Manager (Acting)**	**Group Engineering Manager (Acting)**
Date: 2025/11/17	Date: 2025/11/19	Date: 2025/11/19

CONTENTS

Page

TABLES

1. INTRODUCTION

This document outlines the technical specifications to be employed during the technical evaluation process for the tender of the Medupi Various Mill Ancillary Spares Supply Contract. The contract pertains to the provision of spare parts on an as-needed basis, with a duration of five (5) years.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This tender technical evaluation strategy specifies the criteria to be used for the selection of the contractor to supply Medupi with the Milling Plant Various ancillary Spares on an as-and-when-required basis. The spares will include the mechanical parts that are external to the mill (i.e Seal Air fan components; Mill Reject components; Lubrication oil system etc.). The following criteria will be used for the technical evaluation as part of this strategy:

a) Mandatory evaluation criteria

b) Qualitative evaluation criteria

c) TET member responsibilities

d) Acceptable and unacceptable qualifications

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document is intended for, and shall be applicable to, Medupi Power Station Generation Division. This document is applicable to all relevant stakeholders involved with the technical tender evaluation process for the supply and delivery of mill ancillary spares.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

[2] 241-20221290 Medupi Power Station Supply and Delivery of Mill Ancillary Spares Scope of Work

[3] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems

[4] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure

[5] 32-1033: Eskom's Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy

2.2.2 Informative

[6] 240-105658000: Quality Control Plans

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
MPS	Mill Pendulum Bowl (translated from Germany to English)
QCP	Quality Control Plan
SOW	Scope of Work
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure for Generation

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

[7] 240-53716746: Tender Technical Evaluation Report Template

[8] 240-53716712: Tender Technical Evaluation Results Form Template

[9] 240-53716726: Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form Template

2.8 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

2.9 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Siya Kuzwayo	System Engineer: Mills
TET 2	Phuti Mashita	Senior Supervisor: Mills
TET 3	Tshepo Sethosa	Maintenance Manager: Boiler
TET 4	Bernard Matanda	Senior Advisor - Engineering

2.10 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	ISO 9001: Quality management systems	Proof of current ISO 9001 certification	To ensure a constant supply of quality components on time and an auditable quality process.
2.	The tenderer possesses a certified quality management system relevant to ISO 3834.	Proof of current ISO 3834 certification	Quality assurance

2.11 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The qualitative technical criteria contain main criteria with sub-criteria. Each main criterion has a weighting towards the final technical score calculation. Each sub-criterion has a weighting towards the calculation of the main criterion. See the definitions below.

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	**COMPLIANT** • Meet technical requirement(s) AND; • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	**COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS** Meet technical requirement(s) with; • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	**NON-COMPLIANT** • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	**TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE**
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. **Note 2**: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	**Delivery and Logistics**			**20%**	
	1.1	The tenderer is to demonstrate the record of meeting the committed delivery targets. .	Provide five (5) previous task orders with expected delivery dates and the corresponding delivery notes. **100% (5)** – *5 orders delivered on time* **80% (4)** – 4 *orders delivered on time* **40% (2)** – 3 *orders delivered on time* **0% (0)** – *2 or fewer orders delivered on time* *OR No Submission* *OR Dates not sufficiently provided*		20%
2.	**Relevant Industry Experience**			**30%**	
	2.1 Years of experience in supplying industrial mechanical spares (**must include supply of Valves**, **couplings and hydraulic/lubrication spare parts as a minimum)**		The tenderer must provide a company registration document indicating the number of years it has been registered and the type of service offered.		20%

			100% (5) - *More than 5 years of relevant experience* **80% (4)** – *3 to 5 years of relevant experience* **0% (0)** – less than 3 years of relevant experience OR *irrelevant experience* OR *No submission* .		
	2.2 Customer feedback Tenderers are required to submit a minimum of three (3) customer feedback letters from previous or current clients for whom similar mechanical industrial spares have been supplied.		The tenderer is to provide three reference letters from clients where they have supplied similar mechanical spares. **100% (5)** – *3 or more reference letters provided* **80% (4)** – *2 reference letters provided* **40% (2)** – *1 reference letter provided* **0% (0)** – *No reference letter submitted*		10%
3.	**Supply of Mill Ancillary Spares**			**50%**	
	4.1	Mill Reject Spares The tenderer must demonstrate approval and the ability to supply the original Mill reject spares as specified in the scope of work.	Provide a letter of authorisation from the OEM or local distributor confirming approval for supplying Mill reject spares as per the SoW.		25%

			100% (5) – *Compliant* **0% (0)** – *Non-Compliant*		
	4.2	Lubrication Oil and Hydraulic System Spares The tenderer must demonstrate approval and the ability to supply the original Mill lube oil and hydraulic system spares as specified in the scope of work.	Provide a letter of authorisation from the OEM or local distributor confirming approval for supplying lube oil and hydraulic spares as per the SoW. **100% (5)** – *Compliant* **0% (0)** – *Non-Compliant*		25%
				TOTAL: 100	

2.12 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIESTABLE

Mandatory Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**	**TET 3**	**TET 3**	**TET 4**
1	X	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**	**TET 3**	**TET 3**	**TET 4**
1	X	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X	X
3	X	X	X	X	X

2.13 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

2.13.1 Risks

Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	**Experience in the non-Power station industry.**
2.	

Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	**None**

2.13.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	**None**

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	**None**

3. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Tshepo Sethosa	Milling Plant Maintenance Manager	
Phuti Mashita	Snr Supervisor – Mechanical Maintenance	
Bernard Matanda	Snr. Advisor Boiler Engineering	

4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
Nov 2025	1	S. Kuzwayo.	First Issue – New Contract

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Tshepo Sethosa
- Phuti Mashita
- Siya Kuzwayo
- Bernard Matanda

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None