

### REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

Terms of Reference

# APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO DEVELOP A BIODIVERSITY INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SANPARKS

#### REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

You are hereby invited to submit a price quotation for:

## APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO DEVELOP A BIODIVERSITY INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SANPARKS

RFQ NUMBER:	CRC01/2023-24
ADVERTISEMENT DATE:	26 July 2023
CLOSING DATE:	18 August 2023
CLOSING TIME:	12h00
RFQ DOCUMENT DELIVERY	dian.spear@sanparks.org (Please note that any submissions
ADDRESS:	made to any other email other than the designated email will not be accepted)
RFQ VALIDITY PERIOD:	90 days (commencing from the RFQ Closing Date)
TECHNICAL RELATED QUERIES	Judith Botha  Tel: 0137354309  Judith.Botha@sanparks.org
SCM RELATED QUERIES	Meagan du Plessis (meagan.duplessis@sanparks.org)

Bidders should ensure that bids are delivered timeously to the correct address. If the bid is late, it will not be accepted for consideration.

Bidders shall submit proposal responses in accordance with the prescribed manner of submissions as specified above. Bids received after the time stipulated shall not be considered.

Where applicable, the successful bidder will be required to fill in and sign a written Contract Form (SBD 7).

Bidders are not allowed to contact any other SANParks staff in the context of this RFQ other than the indicated officials under SBD 1 or as indicated above.

NB: No proposal shall be accepted by SANPARKS if submitted in any manner other than as prescribed above.

# PART A INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED	TO BID FOR REQUIR	EMENTS OF THE SOL	<u>JTH AI</u>	-RICAN NATIONAL	L PAI	RKS	
BID NUMBER:	CRC01/2023-24	CLOSING DATE:	;	30 June 2023	CI	LOSING TIME:	12h00
DESCRIPTION		OF A SERVICE F			LOP	A BIODIVERS	ITY
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENT	S MUST BE SENT TO	THE DESIGNATED E	MAIL	ADDRESS			
Farhaana.Damon@sanparks	.org						
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:							
CONTACT PERSON	Meagan du Ple	essis	CON	ITACT PERSON		Judith Botha	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	021 712 0527		TELI	EPHONE NUMBER	}	Tel: 01373543	09
E-MAIL ADDRESS	Meagan.duples	sis@sanparks.org	E-M	AIL ADDRESS		Judith.Botha@s	anparks.org
SUPPLIER INFORMATION							
NAME OF BIDDER							
POSTAL ADDRESS							
STREET ADDRESS		I					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE			NUMBER	$\perp \perp$		
CELLPHONE NUMBER							
E-MAIL ADDRESS							
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBE							
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	M.	AAA	
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE		LICABLE BOX]	1	BEE STATUS LEVE DRN AFFIDAVIT	<u>L</u>	[TICK APPLICA	BLE BOX]
	☐Yes	□No				Yes	☐ No
[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VI QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE P		ATE/ SWORN AFFIDA	/IT (FO	R EMES & QSEs) I	MUST	T BE SUBMITTED IN	ORDER TO
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED			ARE	YOU A FOREIGN	$\Box$	Yes	□No
REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTI	H Yes	□No	1	ED SUPPLIER FOR		_	_
AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	[IF YES ENCLO	SE PROOF]		GOODS /SERVICE RKS OFFERED?		[IF YES, ANSWER QUESTIONNAIRE	
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS							
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT	OF THE REPUBLIC O	F SOUTH AFRICA (RS	SA)?				ES NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?					 ES □NO		
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?  NO  YES  YES  YES							
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?				YES 🗌			
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?  IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.							

# PART B TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

#### 1. BID SUBMISSION:

- 1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
- 1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED-(NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.
- 1.3. THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
- 1.4. THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).

#### 2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
- 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
- 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA.
- 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
- 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED, EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
- 2.6 WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
- 2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:
CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:
(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution
DATE:

#### 1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this RFQ is to appoint a suitable service provider to develop a Biodiversity Information System for South African National Parks.

#### 2. BACKGROUND

South African National Parks (SANParks) is a public entity functioning under National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act 57 of 2003 (Act 57 of 2003); with the mandate to conserve; protect; control; and manage national parks and other defined protected areas and their biological diversity (Biodiversity). As a public entity, SANParks is also governed by the Public Finance Management Act, Act 1 of 1999 (as amended by Act 29 of 1999), and it is listed as Schedule 3 Part A: public entities.

SANParks' operations are totally guided by its vision statement and mission statement. SANParks requires an up-to-date biodiversity information management system to inform the conservation of biodiversity in South Africa's national parks. SANParks endeavours to prevent the extinction of species in national parks. Doing this requires knowing what species are in parks and where different species are found. The proposed biodiversity information management system will collate biodiversity occurrence data for national parks and make this data available to inform conservation activities and decisions.

#### 3. SCOPE OF WORK

South African National Parks (SANParks) invites software developers with relevant demonstrable experience to develop a biodiversity information system with spatial visualization. The purpose of SANParks' proposed Biodiversity Information Management System (BIMS) is to collate relevant data to inform conservation research, management and decision making for South Africa's National Parks.

#### **Requirements of the Biodiversity Information Management System**

The biodiversity information management system must include the following functionality:

- Online system with prepopulated spatial layers (e.g., vegetation layers and layers from the National Biodiversity Assessment e.g., ecosystem threat etc.; a detailed list will be provided) and the ability to add additional spatial layers by users.
- Ability to select and visualize occurrence data for selected taxonomic groups, including plants, birds, mammals, insects, herpetofauna, fish, marine species and be able to download the selected data.
- Ability for SANParks employees to register as users with SANParks email address on the system and be granted different levels of access by system administrators.
- Ability to output checklists for selected parks and taxonomic groups with selected additional information, such as conservation status and occurrence status (endemism etc.).
- Ability for users to input data with the option of field mapping or the selection of specific data types with templates and consistent fields.
- Linking to GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility) API (Application Programming Interface) to access taxonomic backbones and match names as well as incorporate species occurrence data into the biodiversity information management system.
- Linking to IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) API to access Red List status of species and linking to CITES API to access CITES status of species.
- Linking to FBIS (Freshwater Biodiversity Information system) to both access data and submit selected data.
- Ability to filter (conduct searches of) occurrence data temporally by selecting year and month and spatially using GIS layers.
- Ability for data to be categorized for different levels of access. i.e., different data will have different rules, e.g., sensitive data, such as cycad and rhino locality data, will only be available to some people. Some data will also need to have a time embargo, e.g., researcher data for which the research project has not finished and research data within two years of the project finishing. The most recent years of a long-term dataset may be embargoed or only be available to certain users.

- Ability to upload a boundary for a specific area and access GBIF and other data for that boundary (geospatial layer).
- The system should also include a validation system. There is different levels of confidence in the accuracy of identification or locality or reliability of sources. Therefore, the system should include functionality to score the reliability of data points.
- The system should also include taxonomic information for different species i.e., order, family, phylum etc. and the ability to add common names for species.
- Ability to export selected data to various file formats.

#### RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SERVICE PROVIDER

- Provide company profile highlighting relevant experience.
- Provide evidence of developing biodiversity information management systems with spatial visualization using geographic information systems and importing and exporting data using APIs.
- Provide budget and timeline for software development.
- Deliver against the RFQ.
- Demonstrate design and planning for system once appointed.
- Provide opportunities for testing the system.
- Provide training on use of the system.

#### **RESPONSIBILITIES OF SANPARKS**

- Provide feedback on proposed design and planning for the system.
- Test the system and provide feedback on functionality.

# 4. COMPLIANCE AND GOVERNANCE VERIFICATION DOCUMENTS (Standard Bidding Documents)

The verification during this phase is to assess the bid responses for purposes of verifying compliance with RFQ requirements, whereby a bidder may be disqualified if they do not fully comply which requirements as stipulated below:

- Submission of fully completed SBD1 (Invitation to Bid).
- Submission of a quotation on the company letterhead.
- Submission of fully completed SBD 4 (Bidder's disclosure).
- Submission of fully completed SBD6.1 (Preferential points claim form).

- Submission of fully completed SBD6.2 (Local content form) in case of local content.
- Submission of fully completed SBD7.1 (Contract Form) in case of a contract.

#### **5. EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Methodology (Implementation Plan)   Please provide a comprehensive Methodology	Score	EVALUATION CRITERIA		MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORING
Methodology (Implementation Plan)   Please provide a comprehensive Methodology   15   1 - The methodology is poorly described and/or does no provide any information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [3]   2 - The methodology is very briefly described and provides little information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [6]   3 - The methodology is satisfactorily described and provides very basic information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensive information. [9]   4 - The methodology is adequately described and provides sufficient information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project. [15]   5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides under the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project. [15]   5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensively described and provides under the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project. [15]   5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides under the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the projec			눞	TOINTO	
Methodology (Implementation Plan)   Please   provide   a   comprehensive Methodology			VEIG		
(Implementation Plan) Please provide a comprehensive Methodology  2 - The methodology is very briefly described and provides little information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [3]  2 - The methodology is very briefly described and provides little information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [6]  3 - The methodology is satisfactorily described and provides very basic information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensive information. [9]  4 - The methodology is adequately described and provides adequately described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [12]  5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [15]  0 - No demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems.  [BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management systems.  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant experience [16]  1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]		Methodology		5	1 - The methodology is poorly described and/or does not
2 - The methodology is very briefly described and provides little information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [6]   3 - The methodology is satisfactorily described and provides very basic information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensive information. [9]   4 - The methodology is adequately described and provides sufficient information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [12]   5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [15]   5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information negarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [15]   5 - The methodology is carely and provides under the project, however, lacks minor information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [15]   5 - The methodology is adequately described and provides sufficient information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information provides sufficient information provides detailed information information provides detailed informati		(Implementation Plan)			provide any information regarding the relevant aspects of
of the project. [6] 3 - The methodology is satisfactorily described and provides very basic information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensive information. [9] 4 - The methodology is adequately described and provides sufficient information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [12] 5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [15] 5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [15] 5 - No demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems. [15] 5 - Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems. [15] 5 - Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems. [15]  1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]					2 - The methodology is very briefly described and
provides very basic information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensive information. [9]  4 - The methodology is adequately described and provides sufficient information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [12]  5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, [15]  0 - No demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems  (BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system abiodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]					
aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensive information. [9]  4 - The methodology is adequately described and provides sufficient information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [12]  5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [15]  Demonstratable provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [15]  0 - No demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems.  5 - Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems. [15]  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]					3 - The methodology is satisfactorily described and
provides sufficient information regarding the relevant aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [12]  5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [15]  Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems (BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management systems. [15]  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  A 1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]					aspects of the project, however, lacks comprehensive information. [9]
aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information on certain aspects. [12]  5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and provides detailed information regarding the relevant aspects of the project. [15]  Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems (BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data    August					4 - The methodology is adequately described and provides sufficient information regarding the relevant
Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems (BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data    Sidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data    Sidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data    Sidder's experience relevant experience relevan					aspects of the project, however, lacks minor information
Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems (BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data    Au					5 - The methodology is comprehensively described and
experience of developing biodiversity information management systems (BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  biodiversity information management systems. [15]  1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]					aspects of the project. [15]
biodiversity information management systems (BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  The provided HTML representation and the provided HTML represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  biodiversity occurrence data  5 - Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity information management systems. [15]  1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]			15	5	0 – No demonstratable experience of developing
(BIMS) represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data    Au		biodiversity information			5 – Demonstratable experience of developing biodiversity
sharing biodiversity occurrence data  Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data    Au		(BIMS) represented as a			information management systems. [15]
Bidder's experience relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]		sharing biodiversity			
relevant to developing a biodiversity information management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]	•		40	5	1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [8]
management system represented as a spatial platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  2 - 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]		relevant to developing a			
platform for sharing biodiversity occurrence data  3 - 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]		management system			2 – 2 - 3 years relevant experience [16]
data		platform for sharing			0.0.4
4 – 4 - 5 years relevant experience [32]					3 – 3 - 4 years relevant experience [24]
					4 – 4 - 5 years relevant experience [32]
5 – 5 or more years relevant experience [40]					5 – 5 or more years relevant experience [40]
Human Resource 30 5 1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [6]		Human Resource	30	5	1 - Less than 2 years relevant experience [6]
Capability (bidders employee experience)  2 - 2 - 4 years relevant experience [12]					2 - 2 - 4 years relevant experience [12]
(Please provide detailed		(Please provide detailed			
and team members who will 3 - 4 - 8 years relevant experience [18]		and team members who will			3 - 4 – 8 years' relevant experience [18]
be involved in this project. The CV's must indicate the		The CV's must indicate the			
number of years' relevant experience. 4 - 8 - 12 years' relevant experience [24]		,			1 1
5 - 12 or more years' relevant experience [30]			400		5 - 12 or more years' relevant experience [30]
TOT			100		
FUN CTIO	FUN				
NAL	NAL				
SCO RE					

#### 6. CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE INFORMATION

Bidders are required to be registered on the Central Supplier Database (CSD) of National Treasury. Failure to submit the requested information may lead to disqualification. (Please provide proof of registration on the Central Supplier Database).

#### 7. PRICING

APPOII	APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO DEVELOP A BIODIVERSITY INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SANPARKS					
Item No	Item Description	Unit Price	Price Inclusive of VAT			
1.						
	R					
		R				
		R				

#### 7. PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA)

SANParks adheres to the Protection of Personal Information Act, 4 of 2013 (POPIA) requirements regarding personal information which came into effect 1 July 2021.

As SANParks, we are committed to protecting your privacy and ensuring that personal information collected is used properly, lawfully and transparently.

#### 8. TIMELINES

The Successful supplier will be required to deliver the goods immediately after appointment as per the terms of reference on the RFQ, failure to deliver SANParks reserve the right to cancel the Purchase Order.

#### 9. FINANCIAL PAYMENT

Payment will be made in accordance with the PFMA (within 30 days of receipt of invoice) after the service has been rendered.

#### 10. FINAL AWARD

SANParks recommends the bidder who has quoted on all the items as required in terms of the RFQ for the contract award, subject to the bidder having supplied the relevant administrative documentation and complied in all aspects with the terms and conditions and requirements of the RFQ.

#### **BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE**

#### 1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

#### 2. Bidder's declaration

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest1 in the enterprise, employed by the state? YES/NO
- 2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

11

<sup>1</sup> the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.2	Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? <b>YES/NO</b>
2.2.1	If so, furnish particulars:
2.3	Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? <b>YES/NO</b>
2.3.1	If so, furnish particulars:
3 D	ECLARATION
J D	I, the undersigned, (name) in
	submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following
	statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect.
3.1	I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
3.2	I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
3.3	The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium2 will not be construed as collusive bidding.
3.4	In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
3.4	The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be,

<sup>2</sup> Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.

- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

Signature	Date
Position	Name of bidder

This preference form must form part of all bids invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB:

BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022.

1.	GENERAL CONDITIONS				
1.1	The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to te	ender:			
1.1.1	the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50,000,000.00 (all applicable taxes included); and				
1.1.2	the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50,000,00	0.00 (all appl	icable taxes included).		
1.2	To be completed by the organ of state				
a)	The applicable preference point system for this tender is the 80/20 preference point system.				
1.3	Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating	g contracts) s	hall be awarded for:		
	(a) Price; and				
	(b) Specific Goals				
1.4	To be completed by the organ of state:				
	The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:				
		POINTS			
	PRICE	80			
	B-BBEE Procurement Recognition Level – 5 maximum     Black ownership/ shareholding – 5 points maximum     Black woman ownership/ shareholding – 5 points maximum     EME/ QSE – 5 points maximum	20			
	Total points for Price and Specific Goals	100			
1.5	Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation requir goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points		·		
1.6	The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either be subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any				
2.	DEFINITIONS				
(a)	"tender" means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering plegislation;				
(b)	"price" means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, ar all unconditional discounts;	nd includes al	l applicable taxes less		

- (c) rand value" means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) "tender for income-generating contracts" means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) "the Act" means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

#### FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

#### 3.1 **POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE**

3.

#### 3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

Or

90/10

$$Ps = 80 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P\min}{P\min} \right)$$

Or

$$Ps = 90 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P\min}{P\min} \right)$$

Where:

Ps = Points scored for price of bid under consideration

Pt = Price of bid under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable bid

## FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATTE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

#### THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80\left(1 + \frac{pt - p_{max}}{p_{max}}\right) \qquad \qquad Or \qquad Ps = 90\left(1 + \frac{pt - p_{max}}{p_{max}}\right)$$

Where:

Ps = Points scored for price of bid under consideration

	Pt = Price of bid under consideration  Pmax = Price of highest acceptable bid		
4.	POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS		
4.1	In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:		
4.2	In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—		
	a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or		
	b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the		

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

point system.

lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

	The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system)
		5 points maximum:	
B-BBEE Procure		Level 1: 20/20 x5=5.00	
		Level 2: 18/20 x 5= 4.50 Level 3: 14/20 x 5= 3.50 Level 4: 12/20 x 5= 3.00	
	B-BBEE Procurement Recognition Levels)		
		Level 5: 8/20 x 5 = 2.00	
		Level 6: 6/20 x 5= 1.50	
		Level 7: 4/20 x 5 = 1.00 Level 8: 2/20 x 5 = 0.50	

	Black Ownership/Shareholding		5 points maximum:	
			% Shareholding and Points allocation out of total of 5 points;	
			76% to 100% = 5	
			61% to 75% = 4	
			51% to 60% = 3	
			40% to 50% = 2	
			20% to 39% = 1	
			0% to 19% = 0	
	Black Woman Ownership/Shareholding		5 points maximum:	
			% Shareholding and Points allocation out of total of 5 points;	
			76% to 100% = 5	
			61% to 75% = 4	
			51% to 60% = 3	
			40% to 50% = 2	
			20% to 39% = 1	
			0% to 19% = 0	
	EMEs/QSEs		5 points maximum:	
			EMEs = 5	
			QSE = 3	
			Enterprises with turnvover above R50m = 1	
DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM			ARD TO COMPANY/FIRM	
	[			
4.3	Name of Company / Firm:			
4.4	Company Registration Number:			
7.7	Company Registration Number.			
4.5	Type of Company / Firm (Tick applicable bo	x)	1	
	Partnership / Joint Venture / C	onsortium	Personal Liability C	ompany
	One-person business / sole propriety		(Pty) Limited	
	Close corporation		Non-Profit Company	
	Public	Company	State Owned C	ompany
4.6	I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowle that:			
	(i) The information furnished is true	and correct;		

	In the ever	nt of a contract hoing awarded as a recult of points alaimed as above in paragraphs 1.4 and
(iii)		nt of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4 may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claim
(iv)	·	ific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contra- ulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have:-
(	a) di	isqualify the person from the tendering process;
(	b) re	ecover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
(	•	ancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to ma avourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
(	di fo	ecommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholder irectors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ or a period not exceeding 10 years, after the <i>audi alteram partem</i> (hear the other side) rule happlied; and
(	e) fo	orward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.
((	e) fo	prward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.
((	e) fo	orward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.  SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERRER(S)
	e) fo	SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERRER(S)
SURN	AME AND N	SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERRER(S)
SURN.	AME AND N	SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERRER(S)
SURN	AME AND N	SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERRER(S)
SURN.	AME AND N	SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERRER(S)