

**Tender technical evaluation for Electric Fence Maintenance
at Eskom Majuba power station**

Unique Identifier:

Revision: **3**

Page: **8 of 13**

4. Letters of support from Fence OEM/ availability of Stock holding	Provide a letter of Support from Fence OEM, to provide equipment/spares or service level agreement with manufacturing Companies.		Applicable to all service providers
5. Letter of response time in alignment with contract Scope of Work. The service provide must be with the 50km radius of site All breakdowns and normal work execution (working hours)	Commitment to be made in writing / team to be available		Applicable to all service providers
6. Training on Electrical fences with OEM/ manufacturers to maintain the Electrical fence	Proof of Training (valid and current non expired certificates), only the fence installer.		Applicable to all service providers
Note: A response of “No” to any of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria will result in a “No”.			

3.3.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria for Part 1

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria for Part 1

Section B - QUALITATIVE CRITERIA								
KPI - Criteria Evaluation Indicator	Weight (%)	Minimum Criteria Evaluation Requirements	Unit	0 Non-Responsive 0% 2 Non-Compliant 4.175% 4 Compliant with associated qualifications 12.125% 5 Compliant 16.7%				TOTAL RATING
3.3.2.1 Company Profile	14.3	The contractor to submit proof in a form of a CV of the appointed/employed individuals that will be executing line stop. CV as proof indicating the following individuals/disciplines: 1. Minimum 2 years maintenance experience (entity doing the work) 2. Min 3 years experience – Supervisor 3. Min 1 years experience - Technician/Tradesperson	Number	0	2	4	5	
3.3.2.2 Company Processes	14.3	Evaluation of the established <i>Contractor</i> implementation of processes The <i>Contractor</i> must submit proof of the following: 1. Equipment check sheets	Number	0	2	4	5	

**Tender technical evaluation for Electric Fence Maintenance
at Eskom Majuba power station**

Unique Identifier:

Revision: **3**

Page: **10 of 13**

3.3.2.3 Established safety systems	14.3	<p>Companies must provide proof of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Electric Fence Maintenance safe work procedure 2. Safe for use inspection sheets for the equipment 3. PPE used during the work 	Number	0	2	4	5	
3.3.2.4 Engineering Involvement	14.3	<p>Engineering involvement during the process</p> <p>Proof of engineering involvement during the line stopping process</p> <p>The following needs to be provided and complied with:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Demonstrable proof that the design engineer has a minimum of 5 years of experience in the maintenance of electrical equipment. 2. Demonstrable Proof that the Supervisor are professionally registered. (Pr Eng, Pr Tech, Pr Cert Eng) 	Number	0	2	4	5	
3.3.2.5 Quality	14.3	<p>The contractor to submit quality documentation that will demonstrate proficiency and capability to execute line stop work to high levels of quality and safety.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Two Examples QCP's from similar projects in the last 2 years. 	Number	0	2	4	5	
	14.3		Number	0	2	4	5	

TET Member Responsibilities for Part 1

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities for Part 1

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
Company to submit a portfolio of evidence of previous experience.	X	X	X	X	X
Method statement	X	X	X	X	X
Tooling capacity					
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
3.3.2.1 Company Profile	X	X	X	X	X
3.3.2.2 Company Processes	X	X	X	X	X
3.3.2.3 Established safety systems	X	X	X	X	X
3.3.2.4 Engineering Involvement	X	X	X	X	X
3.3.2.5 Quality	X	X	X	X	X
3.3.2.6 Welding Requirements	X	X	X	X	X

3.4 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.4.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Inadequate or less than required number of core team.

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Technically unacceptable or inadequate method statement

3.4.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None