

Tender Technical Evaluation Criteria

Distribution

Technical Evaluation Title: **Criteria for Meyerton asbestos**

paintwork

Unique Identifier: 240-153022226

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: **Gauteng Operating**

Unit

Technical Documentation Type:

Evaluation Criteria

Revision: 1

Total Pages: 8

Next Review Date: To be advised

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED**

DISCLOSURE

Requested by

Supported by

Approved by

Senior Supervisor

Vusi Nyembe

Date:17/11/2022

Project Manager

Phumzile Mlangeni

Date: .16/11/2022

Regional Manager

Mdu Ndawo

Date: .18.11.2022

Unique Identifier: 240-15322226

Revision:

Page:

2 of 8

1

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative	
2.2.2 Informative	
2.3 DEFINITIONS	
2.3.1 Classification	
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	
3.2 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	
3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	5
4. AUTHORISATION	
5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	8
TABLES	
Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	5
Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Meyerton asbestos Unique Identifier: 240-15322226 **Paintwork** 1 Revision:

> 3 of 8 Page:

1. INTRODUCTION

Seven years circle for Asbestos paintwork and keep the structure safe at Meyerton Eskom Building roof walls internal and external including ceiling.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document covers the different aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation of Paintwork

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and Technical Evaluation requirement for Building Paintwork

2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable to Meyerton building paintwork.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy

2.2.2 Informative

2.3 DEFINITIONS

N/A

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Meyerton asbestos Unique Identifier: 240-15322226 Paintwork Revision: 1

Paintwork Revision: 1 Page: 4 of 8

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
GOU	Gauteng Operating Unit
BR	Building Regulations
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
TES	Technical Evaluation Strategy

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted or point scored, but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether or not the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Meyerton asbestos Unique Identifier: 240-15322226 **Paintwork**

Revision:

1

Page:

5 of 8

3.2 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	The Supplier must possess accredited Building or Training on work and paintwork on asbestos with NHBRC Registration.		The Supplier must submit proof of qualification and registration.
	The Suppliers must be a registered asbestos Contractor in terms of Asbestos Regulation 155 of 2001.	Submit a valid copies of registration.	Submit a valid copies of registration.

3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting	Evaluator Scoring
		(%)	

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Meyerton asbestos Unique Identifier: 240-15322226 **Paintwork**

Revision:

1

Page: 6 of 8

1.	Project execution Approach and Methodology	Submit detailed method explaining how the paintwork of Asbestos building will be provided.	50%	Detailed method statement – 10%
		Specific Service structure that will be responsible to provide for the Service.		Specific structure to provide the scope off work – 15%
		Provide CV and accredited qualification of key employees that will be involved in a contract.		Record of a CV with qualifications – 15 %
		Provide Company Profile.		Company Profile - 5%
		Submit Equipment / plant etc to deliver the service.		List of equipment – 5%
2.	Accredited National Diploma as a minimum qualification in building services or structural and civil engineering	Submit valid copy of qualification	20%	Proof of registration – 20%
3.	Relevant Asbestos painting Service experience with	Provide contact details of previous Client/	20%	Two years - 5%,
	minimum of two years,	references where similar service was provided.		Three years and more - 8%
	The Supplier must provide contactable references.			Four years and more - 10%
				Two references - 5%,
				Three references and more - 8%

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Meyerton asbestos Unique Identifier: 240-15322226 **Paintwork**

Revision:

1

Page: 7 of 8

				Four references and more - 10%
4.	Submit a schedule for the works, using Primevera/ MS Project format	Submit a schedule in Prime-vera or MS Project	10%	Schedule submitted – 10%
	TOTAL			TOTAL 100

Unique Identifier:

Revision: 1

Page: **8 of 8**

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Mdu Ndawo	Regional Manager	Ad No
Phumzile Mlangeni	Project Manager	Payen -
Vusi Nyembe	Senior Site Supervisor	

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS