


**APPOINTMENT OF A SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME IN THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**INDEX**

1. MBD1 - Part A
  - 1.1 Invitation to Bid
  - 1.2 Advert
  - 1.3 Bidder information
  - 1.4 Joint Venture Certificate
  - 1.5 Consortium Certificate
  - 1.6 Subcontracting Certificate
  - 1.7 Partnership Certificate
  
2. MBD 1 - Part B
  - 2.1 Important Notice
  - 2.2 Conditions of Bid
  - 2.3 Terms and Conditions of Bid – Tax Compliance Requirements
  - 2.4 Tax Matters
  - 2.5 General Terms and Conditions of the Contract
  
3. Section 1
  - 3.1 Conditions of Award
  
4. Section 2
  - 4.1 Bid specifications/terms of reference
  - 4.2 Form of Bid
  - 4.3 Proposal Price Adjustment



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF A SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE  
AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION  
AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME IN THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

## 5. Section 3


5.1	Invitation to bid	<b>MBD 1</b>
5.2	Pricing Schedule – Firm Prices (Purchases)	<b>MBD 3.1</b>
5.2	Price Schedule [Professional Services]	<b>MBD 3.3</b>
5.3	Declaration of interest	<b>MBD 4</b>
5.4	Declaration for Procurement above R10 Million (Vat Included)	<b>MBD 5</b>
5.5	Preference Points Claim Form	<b>MBD 6.1</b>
5.7	Declaration of Supply Chain Practices	<b>MBD 8</b>
5.8	Certificate of Independent Bid Determination	<b>MBD 9</b>
5.9	Declaration of municipal accounts	
5.10	Declaration in Terms of terms of Regulation 21(d) (ii) of the Municipal Finance Management Act (NO.56OF 2003)	<b>MBD 10</b>

## 6. Section 4

6.1 Technical data, omissions, variations and company details.

## 8. Section 5

8.1 Check list



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF A SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME IN THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**MBD 1**


**PART A**  
**INVITATION TO BID**

<b>YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR [REQUIREMENTS] OF THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG</b>					
<b>BID NUMBER:</b>	<b>COJ/GSPCR004/22-23</b>	<b>CLOSING DATE:</b>	<b>07 DECEMBER 2022</b>	<b>CLOSING TIME:</b>	<b>10:30AM</b>
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>APPOINTMENT OF A SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME IN THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG</b>				
<b>THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (MBD7).</b>					

**BID DOCUMENTS MUST BE DEPOSITED IN THE TENDER BOX SITUATED AT:**

GROUND FLOOR, METROPOLITAN CENTRE  
158 CIVIC BOULEVARD  
BRAAMFONTEIN, JOHANNESBURG.


<b><u>NON- COMPULSOTY BRIEFING SESSION</u></b>					
DATE 25 NOVEMBER 2022					
TIME: 10:00 AM					
VENUE: 16 <sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, A-BLOCK, 158 METROPOLITAN CENTRE, BRAAMFONTEIN JOHANNESBRUG					
<b>SUPPLIER INFORMATION</b>					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
FACSIMILE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF A SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME IN THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS	TCS PIN :		OR	CSD No:	
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE [TICK APPLICABLE BOX]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL SWORN AFFIDAVIT		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE/ SWORN AFFIDAVIT (FOR EMES &amp; QSEs) MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE POINTS FOR B-BBEE]</b>					
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]	ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [IF YES, ANSWER PART B:3 ]		
TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS OFFERED		TOTAL BID PRICE	R		
SIGNATURE OF BIDDER		DATE			
CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED					
<b>BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:</b>			<b>TECHNICAL INFORMATION MAY BE DIRECTED TO:</b>		
DEPARTMENT	GSPCR	CONTACT PERSON	HLULANI CHAUKE		
CONTACT PERSON	HLULANI CHAUKE	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<a href="mailto:HlulaniC@joburg.org.za">HlulaniC@joburg.org.za</a>	E-MAIL ADDRESS	<a href="mailto:HlulaniC@joburg.org.za">HlulaniC@joburg.org.za</a>		



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23


**APPOINTMENT OF A SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME IN THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**Proposals are invited for:**

BID/ PROPOSAL	DESCRIPTION	BRIEFING SESSION DATE & TIME	BRIEFING SESSION VENUE	TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES	TENDER DOCUMENT	CIDB GRADING	CLOSING DATE & TIME	EVALUATION CRITERIA
COJ/GSPCR004/22-23	Appointment of a Suitably Qualified Service Provider to Undertake an Evaluation Study on the Implementation of the City's Separation at Source (S@S) Programme in the City of Johannesburg	25 November 2022 10:00 am	<b>Non Compulsory Briefing</b> 16 <sup>th</sup> Floor, A-Block, 158 Metropolitan Centre, Braamfontein Johannesburg	Hlulani Chauke Email: <a href="mailto:HlulaniC@joburg.org.za">HlulaniC@joburg.org.za</a>	Can be Downloaded for free from: <a href="http://www.joburg.org.za">www.joburg.org.za</a> <a href="http://www.etenders.gov.za">www.etenders.gov.za</a>	N/A	07 December 2022 10:30 am	Functionality and 80/20 Point System

- Bids will be evaluated in terms of the above **stipulated** preference point system in line with Preferential Procurement Regulations 2017.
- Bidders are required to, together with their Bids/Proposals, submit original and valid BBB-EE Status Level Verification Certificates or certified copies thereof to substantiate their BBB-EE rating claims. Bidders who do not submit their BBB-EE Status Level Verification Certificates **will not be** disqualified from the bidding process however they will score zero (0) out of maximum points allocated respectively for BBB-EE.
- Copies of the abovementioned Bids/Proposal will be available from **18 November 2022** and can be downloaded from the City of Johannesburg's website as [www.joburg.org.za](http://www.joburg.org.za) →tender documents Or [www.etenders.gov.za](http://www.etenders.gov.za) →tender documents.
- **BID/PROPOSAL SUBMISSIONS MUST BE IN THE ORIGINAL, AND MUST INCLUDE ALL INFORMATION AS REQUESTED IN THE BID/PROPOSAL DOCUMENT**



**BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**

**APPOINTMENT OF A SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME IN THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


---

- Sealed Bids/Proposals marked with the Bid/Proposal number and addressed to the Municipal Manager, City of Johannesburg must be deposited in to tender box at the main entrance, ground floor, Main Administration Building, Metropolitan Centre, 158 Civic Boulevard, Braamfontein not later than 10:30am on the closing date stated above.
- **ANY BID/PROPOSAL RECEIVED AFTER 10:30 AM WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.**
- The prescribed reading of Bidders names will commence in public in the vestibule (Main Entrance) Ground Floor Main Admin Building, Metropolitan Centre.
- **NO TELEGRAPHIC, TELEPHONIC, E-MAIL AND FACSIMILE BIDS/PROPOSALS WILL BE ACCEPTED**
- **In response to the Covid-19 principles of social distancing, The Tender Box is located on the walkthrough section of the Building and as such bidders do not require to enter the Main building to submit their Bids in the Tenders Box.**
- **Should Bidders wish to enter the main building, they shall do so only after complying with the City's Covid-19 measures, i.e. (temperature reading, sanitization, screening etc.)**
- In keeping with the requirement for social and physical distancing, and to avoid congestion, bidders or their representatives will be required to queue, one Metre apart, and wait for the turn to deposit bid documents. Due to the foregoing requirement, bidders or their representatives may experience delays and should therefore plan and give themselves sufficient time to submit bids, in order to avoid missing the stipulated bid closing times. The City will not be liable for failure to deposit bids within the stipulated times, even if the bidders or their representatives were already on the queue before closing time.

**NB: It is the prospective bidders' responsibility to obtain documents in time so as to ensure responses reach the City of Johannesburg timeously.**

- **The City of Johannesburg reserves the right to cancel or withdraw any item published on this day.**

CITY MANAGER




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

<b>BUSINESS STRUCTURE</b>	
Individual	
Joint Venture	
Company	
Consortium	
Sub – Contractor	
Other	
<b>If individual</b>	
Name of Bidder	
Contact Person	
Registration number	
Vat number	
CIDB number	
CSD registration number	
Business Address [not postal address]	

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

Telephone	
Facsimile/Email address	
<b>COMPANY</b>	
Name of Bidder	
Contact Person	
Registration number	
Vat number	
CIDB number	
CSD registration number	
Business address [not postal address]	
Telephone	
Facsimile/fax	
E mail	
<b>If Joint Venture</b>	
Name of Bidder	

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

Contact Person	
Registration number	
Vat number	
CIDB number	
CSD registration number	
Business address [not postal address]	
Telephone	
Facsimile/fax	
E mail	
<b>If consortium</b>	
Name of Bidder	
Contact Person	
Registration number	
Vat number	
Business address [not postal address]	

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

Telephone	
Facsimile/fax	
E mail	
<b>If subcontracting</b>	
Name of Sub-contractor	
Contact Person	
Registration number	
Vat number	
CIDB registration	
CSD registration number	
Business address [not postal address]	
Telephone	
Facsimile/fax	
E mail	

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

If other	
Name of Bidder	
Contact Person	
Registration number	
Vat number	
CIDB number	
CSD registration number	
Business address [not postal address]	
Telephone	
Facsimile/fax	
E mail	

MUNICIPAL DETAILS	
SUPPLIER NUMBER [if applicable]	

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---


**JOINT VENTURE CERTIFICATE**

We, the undersigned member firms in the Joint Venture \_\_\_\_\_ [Name of the Joint Venture], hereby authorize Mr./Ms. \_\_\_\_\_ to sign this bid document as well as any contract resulting from this Bid number \_\_\_\_\_ and any other documents and correspondence in connection with this Bid and / or contract for and on behalf of the Joint Venture.

NAME OF THE FIRM	REPRESENTATIVE OF THE FIRM	DESIGNATION OF THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE FIRM	SIGNATURE

**NOTE: Copy of the Joint Venture Agreement must be attached to this Joint Venture Certificate indicating the percentage contribution of each firm to the Joint Venture and the allocation responsibilities.**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---


**CONSORTIUM CERTIFICATE**

We, the undersigned consortium partners, hereby authorize \_\_\_\_\_ [Name of entity] to act as lead consortium partner and further authorize Mr./Ms. \_\_\_\_\_ to sign this offer as well as any contract resulting from this Bid number \_\_\_\_\_ and any other documents and correspondence in connection with this Bid and / or contract for and on behalf of the consortium.

CONSORTIUM PARTNER	FULL NAME OF CONSORTIUM MEMBER	PARTICIPATION %	SIGNATURE

**NOTE: Copy of the Consortium Agreement must be attached to this Consortium Certificate indicating the allocation of responsibilities of consortium partner to the Consortium.**

Initial



**BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---


**SUBCONTRACTING CERTIFICATE**

I/We, the undersigned bidder undertakes to subcontract \_\_\_\_\_% of the total bidding value to the below subcontractor/s.

<b><i>NAME OF SUBCONTRACTOR</i></b>	<b><i>CONTACT MEMBER OF THE SUB CONTRACTOR</i></b>	<b><i>% TO BE SUBCONTRACTED</i></b>	<b><i>% TO BE SUBCONTRACTED TO OWN SUBSIDIARIES</i></b>	<b><i>SIGNATURE</i></b>

**NOTE: Copy of the Subcontract Agreement must be attached indicating the allocation of responsibilities of each subcontractor. Subcontracting of the work to the bidder's own subsidiaries must be declared.**

Initial



**BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---


**PARTNERSHIP CERTIFICATE**

We, the undersigned partners in the business trading as \_\_\_\_\_ hereby authorize Mr/Ms \_\_\_\_\_ to sign this bid as well as any contract resulting from the bid and any other documents and correspondence in connection with this bid and /or contract for and on behalf of the abovementioned partnership.

<i><b>NAME OF PARTNER</b></i>	<i><b>IDENTITY NO.</b></i>	<i><b>SIGNATURE</b></i>

**NOTE: Copy of the Partnership Agreement must be attached to this Partnership indicating the allocation of responsibilities of each firm partner to the Partnership.**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---


**PART B**

**1. IMPORTANT NOTICE**

A bidder is required to take notice of the following in the preparation and submission of this Bid. **THIS IMPORTANT NOTICE APPLIES TO THE BID AS A WHOLE AND MUST BE READ AS PART OF EVERY SECTION AND ANNEXURE TO THIS BID DOCUMENT.**

- 1.1 The bidder must read this bid document diligently and where possible take advice or refer to the relevant legislation and regulation applicable to procurement.
- 1.2 The bid document must be completed in black ink and in full, correctly and truthfully. Provided that it's not relevant to the bidder, it must be marked "N/A."
- 1.3 Bid documents must be sealed when submitted. The submission must be at the correct address as it reads from this bid document on or before the closing date and before the closing time. The correct time to be used will be Telkom time. No submission shall be accepted other than the manner described in this paragraph.
- 1.4 Information requested must be provided. Such information must be genuine. Should information be a copy of the original, such copy must be commissioned as the true copy of the original in terms of the relevant laws.
- 1.5 Documents requested [including those under "returnable documents" under specifications/terms of reference] must be attached at the end of that particular annexure requesting such information. Should the information exceed the provided space, an addendum must be attached at the end of that particular annexure requesting such information.
- 1.6 Any requirement for signature must be signed at the appropriate space provided. It shall be deemed that the signatory is the bidder or a duly authorized person of the bidder.
- 1.7 Briefing session must be attended if compulsory. If not, the municipality highly recommends that they be attended.

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- 1.8 **Error/s made must be scratched and signed next to the error by the same person who signs the bid document. Therefore, no tipex allowed. In addition, error/s made and relating to price must be accompanied by a letter [in the bidder's letterhead] pointing out the error made and acknowledging that it is his or her signature and was signed by the bidder for reasons of correcting the error.**
- 1.9 In the event that the bidder:
- 1.9.1 fails to complete fully this bid document or to provide the information requested, or to sign the bid at the appropriate spaces provided or next to errors, his/her/ its bid may be rejected due to non-compliance or being invalid.
- 1.9.2 is found to be a role player or commits criminal act/s including fraud, price rigging, corruption, collusion, or forgery, the bidder shall be rejected. In the case of an award already made, the award or contract shall be terminated.
- 1.9.3 **or any of its directors or those of the subcontractor or partner:**
- 1.9.3.1 **owe municipal charges and is in arrears for more than three months,**
- 1.9.3.2 **had during the last five years failed to perform satisfactory on previous contract with municipality or municipal entity or organ of the state after written notice given to perform satisfactorily;**
- 1.9.3.3 **abused the supply chain management of the municipality or municipal entity or committed an improper conduct; and**
- 1.9.3.4 **has been listed in the register of tender defaulters, and**
- 1.9.3.5 **if you are in the service of the state.**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---


**THE BID MAY BE REJECTED**

- 1.10 Any steps taken because the bidder has failed to comply as provided in terms of this important notice or breached terms and condition of the bid, shall not prevent the municipality from taking any steps available in law in addition to the remedies taken because of none compliance or breach.
- 1.11 **Failure to take note of the advises and recommendations made under this important notice, it shall be at his/her/its own risk.**
- 1.12 Bidders shall provide full and accurate answers to all (including mandatory) questions posed in this document, and, are required to explicitly indicate either "Comply/Accept (with a )" or "Do not comply/Do not accept (with an X)" where required in this bid document. Where necessary, the bidder shall substantiate their response to a specific question.

**2 CONDITIONS OF BID**

- 2.1 The bidder must read the specifications/Terms of Reference, important notice, the conditions of the bid, the general conditions diligently. It is advised that the bidder takes relevant advice on all the matters relating to the bid and any relevant legislation that impacts on public procurement – ignorance is and will not be an excuse.
- 2.2 Bid documents must be collected at the stipulated address, date and time as advertised. Or be downloaded from the appropriate internet address.
- 2.3 No bid will be accepted from persons in the service of the state as it is defined in the Municipal Finance Management Act and Regulations.
- 2.4 The bid terms and conditions remain binding to the bidder for the duration of the validity period or any other extended period.

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- 2.5 A consideration of this bid is subject to the preferential laws and policies including those relating to BBEE, Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act 5 of 2000 and its Regulations as amended, local content, subcontracting and commissioning of documents. Specifically, and not solely:
- 2.5.1 relating to economic transformation;
  - 2.5.2 that the tender may not necessarily be awarded to the bidder with the highest point;
  - 2.5.3 about price scoring on **90/10** or **80/20**;
  - 2.5.4 about historically disadvantaged individuals [HDI] South African citizens. That a person who obtains SA citizenship after the Interim Constitution came into effect is **NOT** considered an HDI; and
  - 2.5.5 Subcontracting and local content.
    - 2.5.5.1 Main contractors/ suppliers are discouraged from subcontracting with their subsidiary companies as this may be interpreted as subcontracting with themselves and / or using their subsidiaries for fronting. Where primary contractor subcontracts with a subsidiary this must be declared in tender documents.
- 2.6 The bidder is prohibited from participating in any form of price manipulation or bid rigging including those in MBD 9. If found, the bidder will be disqualified, or award be terminated
- 2.7 Bid documents will be opened after the closing time and all bidders and their prices published in the municipality's website
- 2.8 Negotiations will only be conducted with selected bidder/s.
- 2.9 The bid award shall be published in the municipal websites.
- 2.10 An award may be made to more than one bidder.
- 2.11 If considered necessary, the municipality reserves the right to visit the bidder's place of business and/or its customers.
- 2.12 The specifications are the copyright of the municipality.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


---

- 2.13 The municipality reserves the right to cancel the requests for bids at any time or stage before the award or not to accept any bid.
- 2.14 Should it be found that the bidder has not been truthful and/or dishonest, then municipality shall cancel the award and negotiate with the next best bidder.
- 2.15 Any material submitted by the bidder which it considers confidential must be marked as such by the bidder.
- 2.16 Any dispute arising out of or relating to the bid must first be referred to the Accounting Officer for resolution. Such resolution to be made within 60 days of referral.
- 2.17 The tender may be divisible and be awarded to more than one bidder.
- 2.18 The City reserves the right to award the BID in full, partially or not at all.

**3. TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING [ Part B – Tax Compliance Requirements]**

- 3.1 Bidders must ensure compliance with their tax obligations.
- 3.2 Bidders are required to submit their unique personal identification number (pin) issued by Sars to enable the organ of state to view the taxpayer's profile and tax status.
- 3.3 Application for the tax compliance certificate (TCC) or pin may also be made via e-filing. In order to use this provision, taxpayers will need to register with Sars as e-filers through the website [www.sars.gov.za](http://www.sars.gov.za).
- 3.4 Foreign suppliers must complete the pre-award questionnaire in 3.7 below.
- 3.5 Bidders may also submit a printed TCC certificate together with the Bid. .In bids where consortia / joint ventures / sub-contractors are involved, each party must submit a separate TCC certificate / pin / and CSD number.
- 3.6 Where no TCC is available but the bidder is registered on the central supplier database (CSD), a CSD number must be provided.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23


**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

3.7 If the answer is "no" to all of the below, then it is not a requirement to register for a tax compliance status system pin code from the South African Revenue Service (SARS) and if not register as per 3.3 above.

<b>Question</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Is the entity a resident of the republic of South Africa (RSA)?		
Does the entity have a branch in the RSA?		
Does the entity have a permanent establishment in the RSA?		
Does the entity have any source of income in the RSA?		
Is the entity liable in the RSA for any form of taxation?		

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**4. TAX MATTERS**

**It is a condition of bid that the taxes of the successful bidder must be in order as at the date of award, or that satisfactory arrangements have been made with South African Revenue Service (SARS) to meet the bidder's tax obligations as at the the date of award. The annexure must be read in conjunction with the important notice.**

- 4.1 The bidder must submit the tax compliance status PIN/ CSD in order to enable verification of the tax status of the bidder.
- 4.2 The bidder, upon being called to, must submit a valid Tax Clearance Certificate issued by any SARS branch office in order to determine tax compliance. The Tax Clearance Certificate Requirements are also applicable to foreign bidders / individuals who wish to submit bids.
- 4.3 The tax affairs of the bidder, as at the date of award, must be tax compliant.
- 4.4 Provided that the bid has Consortia / Joint Ventures / Sub-contractors involved, the conditions in 4.2 and 4.3 above equally apply to each member of the Consortia/Joint Ventures /Sub - contractor.


\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Capacity**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Name of Bidder**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---


**5. GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT**

- a) In this document words in the singular also mean in the plural and vice versa and words in the masculine also mean in the feminine and neuter.
- b) The General Conditions of Contract will form part of all bid documents and may not be amended.
- c) Special Conditions of Contract (SCC) relevant to a specific bid, should be compiled separately for every bid (if (applicable) and will supplement the General Conditions of Contract. Whenever there is a conflict, the provisions in the SCC shall prevail.
- d) Wherever the following words appear, they will have interchangeable meaning: "purchaser" refers to the "municipality" and "supplier" refers to the "bidder".

Definitions

- 1. The following terms shall be interpreted as indicated:
  - 1.1 "Closing time" means the date and hour specified in the bidding documents for the receipt of bids.
  - 1.2 "Contract" means the written agreement entered into between the purchaser and the supplier, as recorded in the contract form signed by the parties, including all attachments and appendices thereto and all documents incorporated by reference therein.
  - 1.3 "Contract price" means the price payable to the supplier under the contract for the full and proper performance of his contractual obligations.
  - 1.4 "Corrupt practice" means the offering, giving, receiving, or soliciting of anything of value to influence the action of a public official in the procurement process or in contract execution.

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- 1.5 "Countervailing duties" are imposed in cases where an enterprise abroad is subsidized by its government and encouraged to market its products internationally.
- 1.6 "Country of origin" means the place where the goods were mined, grown or produced or from which the services are supplied. Goods are produced when, through manufacturing, processing or substantial and major assembly of components, a commercially recognized new product results that is substantially different in basic characteristics or in purpose or utility from its components.
- 1.7 "Day" means calendar day.
- 1.8 "Delivery" means delivery in compliance of the conditions of the contract or order.
- 1.9 "Delivery ex stock" means immediate delivery directly from stock actually on hand.
- 1.10 "Delivery into consignees store or to his site" means delivered and unloaded in the specified store or depot or on the specified site in compliance with the conditions of the contract or order, the supplier bearing all risks and charges involved until the supplies are so delivered and a valid receipt is obtained.
- 1.11 "Dumping" occurs when a private enterprise abroad market its goods on own initiative in the RSA at lower prices than that of the country of origin and which have the potential to harm the local industries in the RSA.
- 1.12 "Force majeure" means an event beyond the control of the supplier and not involving the supplier's fault or negligence and not foreseeable. Such events may include, but is not restricted to, acts of the purchaser in its sovereign capacity, wars or revolutions, fires, floods, epidemics, quarantine restrictions and freight embargoes.
- 1.13 "Fraudulent practice" means a misrepresentation of facts in order to influence a procurement process or the execution of a contract to the detriment of any bidder, and includes collusive practice among bidders (prior to or after bid submission) designed to establish bid prices at artificial non-competitive levels and to deprive the bidder of the benefits of free and open competition.
- 1.14 "GCC" means the General Conditions of Contract.

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- 1.15 "Goods" means all of the equipment, machinery, and/or other materials that the supplier is required to supply to the purchaser under the contract.
- 1.16 "Imported content" means that portion of the bidding price represented by the cost of components, parts or materials which have been or are still to be imported (whether by the supplier or his subcontractors) and which costs are inclusive of the costs abroad, plus freight and other direct importation costs such as landing costs, dock dues, import duty, sales duty or other similar tax or duty at the South African place of entry as well as transportation and handling charges to the factory in the Republic where the supplies covered by the bid will be manufactured.
- 1.17 "Local content" means that portion of the bidding price which is not included in the imported content provided that local manufacture does take place.
- 1.18 "Manufacture" means the production of products in a factory using labour, materials, components and machinery and includes other related value-adding activities.
- 1.19 "Order" means an official written order issued for the supply of goods or works or the rendering of a service.
- 1.20 "Project site," where applicable, means the place indicated in bidding documents.
- 1.21 "Purchaser" means the organization purchasing the goods.
- 1.22 "Republic" means the Republic of South Africa.
- 1.23 "SCC" means the Special Conditions of Contract.
- 1.24 "Services" means those functional services ancillary to the supply of the goods, such as transportation and any other incidental services, such as installation, commissioning, provision of technical assistance, training, catering, gardening, security, maintenance and other such obligations of the supplier covered under the contract.
- 1.25 "Written" or "in writing" means handwritten in ink or any form of electronic or mechanical writing.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

2. Application

- 2.1 These general conditions are applicable to all bids, contracts and orders including bids for functional and professional services, sales, hiring, letting and the granting or acquiring of rights, but excluding immovable property, unless otherwise indicated in the bidding documents.
- 2.2 Where applicable, special conditions of contract are also laid down to cover specific supplies, services or works.
- 2.3 Where such special conditions of contract are in conflict with these general conditions, the special conditions shall apply.

3. General

- 3.1 Unless otherwise indicated in the bidding documents, the purchaser shall not be liable for any expense incurred in the preparation and submission of a bid. Where applicable a non-refundable fee for documents may be charged.
- 3.2 Invitations to bid are, in addition to the purchaser's website and/or newspapers, are published in the Government Tender Bulletin. The Government Tender Bulletin may be obtained directly from the Government Printer, Private Bag X85, Pretoria 0001, or accessed electronically from [www.treasury.gov.za](http://www.treasury.gov.za)


4. Standards

- 4.1 The goods supplied shall conform to the standards mentioned in the bidding documents and specifications.

5. Use of contract documents and information; inspection.

- 5.1 The supplier shall not, without the purchaser's prior written consent, disclose the contract, or any provision thereof, or any specification, plan, drawing, pattern, sample, or information furnished by or on behalf of the purchaser in connection therewith, to any person other than a person employed by the supplier in the performance of the

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- contract. Disclosure to any such employed person shall be made in confidence and shall extend only so far as may be necessary for purposes of such performance.
- 5.2 The supplier shall not, without the purchaser's prior written consent, make use of any document or information mentioned in GCC clause 5.1 except for purposes of performing the contract.
- 5.3 Any document, other than the contract itself mentioned in GCC clause 5.1 shall remain the property of the purchaser and shall be returned (all copies) to the purchaser on completion of the supplier's performance under the contract if so required by the purchaser.
- 5.4 The supplier shall permit the purchaser to inspect the supplier's records relating to the performance of the supplier and to have them audited by auditors appointed by the purchaser, if so required by the purchaser.
6. Patent rights
- 6.1 The supplier shall indemnify the purchaser against all third-party claims of infringement of patent, trademark, or industrial design rights arising from use of the goods or any part thereof by the purchaser.
7. Performance security
- 7.1 Within thirty (30) days of receipt of the notification of contract award, the successful bidder shall furnish to the purchaser the performance security of the amount specified in SCC.
- 7.2 The proceeds of the performance security shall be payable to the purchaser as compensation for any loss resulting from the supplier's failure to complete his obligations under the contract.
- 7.3 The performance security shall be denominated in the currency of the contract, or in a freely convertible currency acceptable to the purchaser and shall be in one of the following forms:

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- (a) a bank guarantee or an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a reputable bank located in the purchaser's country or abroad, acceptable to the purchaser, in the form provided in the bidding documents or another form acceptable to the purchaser; or
- (b) a cashier's or certified cheque
- 7.4 The performance security will be discharged by the purchaser and returned to the supplier not later than thirty (30) days following the date of completion of the supplier's performance obligations under the contract, including any warranty obligations, unless otherwise specified in SCC.
8. Inspections, tests and analysis
- 8.1 All pre-bidding testing will be for the account of the bidder.
- 8.2 If it is a bid condition that supplies to be produced or services to be rendered should at any stage during production or execution or on completion be subject to inspection, the premises of the bidder or contractor shall be open, at all reasonable hours, for inspection by a representative of the purchaser or an organization acting on behalf of the purchaser.
- 8.3 If there are no inspection requirements indicated in the bidding documents and no mention is made in the contract, but during the contract period it is decided that inspections shall be carried out, the purchaser shall itself make the necessary arrangements, including payment arrangements with the testing authority concerned.
- 8.4 If the inspections, tests and analyses referred to in clauses 8.2 and 8.3 show the supplies to be in accordance with the contract requirements, the cost of the inspections, tests and analyses shall be defrayed by the purchaser.
- 8.5 Where the supplies or services referred to in clauses 8.2 and 8.3 do not comply with the contract requirements, irrespective of whether such supplies or services are accepted or not, the cost in connection with these inspections, tests or analyses shall be defrayed by the supplier.

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- 8.6 Supplies and services which are referred to in clauses 8.2 and 8.3 and which do not comply with the contract requirements may be rejected.
- 8.7 Any contract supplies may on or after delivery be inspected, tested or analyzed and may be rejected if found not to comply with the requirements of the contract. Such rejected supplies shall be held at the cost and risk of the supplier who shall, when called upon, remove them immediately at his own cost and forthwith substitute them with supplies which do comply with the requirements of the contract. Failing such removal the rejected supplies shall be returned at the suppliers cost and risk. Should the supplier fail to provide the substitute supplies forthwith, the purchaser may, without giving the supplier further opportunity to substitute the rejected supplies, purchase such supplies as may be necessary at the expense of the supplier.
- 8.8 The provisions of clauses 8.4 to 8.7 shall not prejudice the right of the purchaser to Cancel the contract on account of a breach of the conditions thereof, or to act in terms of Clause 23 of GCC.
9. Packing
- 9.1 The supplier shall provide such packing of the goods as is required to prevent their damage or deterioration during transit to their final destination, as indicated in the contract. The packing shall be sufficient to withstand, without limitation, rough handling during transit and exposure to extreme temperatures, salt and precipitation during transit, and open storage. Packing, case size and weights shall take into consideration, where appropriate, the remoteness of the goods' final destination and the absence of heavy handling facilities at all points in transit.
- 9.2 The packing, marking, and documentation within and outside the packages shall comply strictly with such special requirements as shall be expressly provided for in the contract, including additional requirements, if any, specified in SCC, and in any subsequent instructions ordered by the purchaser.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

10. Delivery and documents

- 10.1 Delivery of the goods shall be made by the supplier in accordance with the terms specified in the contract. The details of shipping and/or other documents to be furnished by the supplier are specified in SCC.
- 10.2 Documents to be submitted by the supplier are specified in SCC.

11. Insurance

- 11.1 The goods supplied under the contract shall be fully insured in a freely convertible currency against loss or damage incidental to manufacture or acquisition, transportation, storage and delivery in the manner specified in the SCC.

12. Transportation


- 12.1 Should a price other than an all-inclusive delivered price be required, this shall be specified in the SCC.

13. Incidental services

- 13.1 The supplier may be required to provide any or all of the following services, including additional services, if any, specified in SCC:

- (a) Performance or supervision of on-site assembly and/or commissioning of the supplied goods;
- (b) Furnishing of tools required for assembly and/or maintenance of the supplied goods;
- (c) Furnishing of a detailed operations and maintenance manual for each appropriate unit of the supplied goods;
- (d) performance or supervision or maintenance and/or repair of the supplied goods, for a period of time agreed by the parties, provided that this service shall not relieve the supplier of any warranty obligations under this contract;
- and

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- (e) Training of the purchaser's personnel, at the supplier's plant and/or on-site, in assembly, start-up, operation, maintenance, and/or repair of the supplied goods.
- 13.2 Prices charged by the supplier for incidental services, if not included in the contract price for the goods, shall be agreed upon in advance by the parties and shall not exceed the prevailing rates charged to other parties by the supplier for similar services.
14. Spare parts
- 14.1 As specified in SCC, the supplier may be required to provide any or all of the following materials, notifications, and information pertaining to spare parts manufactured or distributed by the supplier:
- (a) such spare parts as the purchaser may elect to purchase from the supplier, provided that this election shall not relieve the supplier of any warranty obligations under the contract; and
- (b) in the event of termination of production of the spare parts:
- (i) Advance notification to the purchaser of the pending termination, in sufficient time to permit the purchaser to procure needed requirements; and
- (ii) following such termination, furnishing at no cost to the purchaser, the blueprints, drawings, and specifications of the spare parts, if requested.
15. Warranty
- 15.1 The supplier warrants that the goods supplied under the contract are new, unused, of the most recent or current models, and that they incorporate all recent improvements in design and materials unless provided otherwise in the contract.
- The supplier further warrants that all goods supplied under this contract shall have no defect, arising from design, materials, or workmanship (except when the design and/or material is required by the purchaser's specifications) or from any act or

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- omission of the supplier, that may develop under normal use of the supplied goods in the conditions prevailing in the country of final destination.
- 15.2 This warranty shall remain valid for twelve (12) months after the goods, or any portion thereof as the case may be, have been delivered to and accepted at the final destination indicated in the contract, or for eighteen (18) months after the date of shipment from the port or place of loading in the source country, whichever period concludes earlier, unless specified otherwise in SCC.
- 15.3 The purchaser shall promptly notify the supplier in writing of any claims arising under this warranty.
- 15.4 Upon receipt of such notice, the supplier shall, within the period specified in SCC and with all reasonable speed, repair or replace the defective goods or parts thereof, without costs to the purchaser.
- 15.5 If the supplier, having been notified, fails to remedy the defect(s) within the period specified in SCC, the purchaser may proceed to take such remedial action as may be necessary, at the supplier's risk and expense and without prejudice to any other rights which the purchaser may have against the supplier under the contract.
16. Payment
- 16.1 The method and conditions of payment to be made to the supplier under this contract shall be specified in SCC.
- 16.2 The supplier shall furnish the purchaser with an invoice accompanied by a copy of the delivery note and upon fulfillment of other obligations stipulated in the contract.
- 16.3 Payments shall be made promptly by the purchaser, but in no case later than thirty (30) days after submission of an invoice or claim by the supplier.
- 16.4 Payment will be made in Rand unless otherwise stipulated in SCC.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

17. Prices

- 17.1 Prices charged by the supplier for goods delivered and services performed under the contract shall not vary from the prices quoted by the supplier in his bid, with the exception of any price adjustments authorized in SCC or in the purchaser's request for bid validity extension, as the case may be.

18. Contract amendments

- 18.1 No variation in or modification of the terms of the contract shall be made except by written amendment signed by the parties concerned.

19. Assignment

- 19.1 The supplier shall not assign, in whole or in part, its obligations to perform under the contract, except with the purchaser's prior written consent.

20. Subcontracts


- 20.1 The supplier shall notify the purchaser in writing of all subcontracts awarded under this contract if not already specified in the bid. Such notification, in the original bid or later, shall not relieve the supplier from any liability or obligation under the contract.

21. Delays in the supplier's performance

- 21.1 Delivery of the goods and performance of services shall be made by the supplier in accordance with the time schedule prescribed by the purchaser in the contract.
- 21.2 If at any time during performance of the contract, the supplier or its subcontractor(s) should encounter conditions impeding timely delivery of the goods and performance of services, the supplier shall promptly notify the purchaser in writing of the fact of the delay, its likely duration and its cause(s).

As soon as practicable after receipt of the supplier's notice, the purchaser shall evaluate the situation and may at his discretion extend the supplier's time for

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

- performance, with or without the imposition of penalties, in which case the extension shall be ratified by the parties by amendment of contract.
- 21.3 No provision in a contract shall be deemed to prohibit the obtaining of supplies or services from a national department, provincial department, or a local authority.
- 21.4 The right is reserved to procure outside of the contract small quantities or to have minor essential services executed if an emergency arises, the supplier's point of supply is not situated at or near the place where the supplies are required, or the supplier's services are not readily available.
- 21.5 Except as provided under GCC Clause 25, a delay by the supplier in the performance of its delivery obligations shall render the supplier liable to the imposition of penalties, pursuant to GCC Clause 22, unless an extension of time is agreed upon pursuant to GCC Clause 21.2 without the application of penalties.
- 21.6 Upon any delay beyond the delivery period in the case of a supplies contract, the purchaser shall, without canceling the contract, be entitled to purchase supplies of a similar quality and up to the same quantity in substitution of the goods not supplied in conformity with the contract and to return any goods delivered later at the supplier's expense and risk, or to cancel the contract and buy such goods as may be required to complete the contract and without prejudice to his other rights, be entitled to claim damages from the supplier.
22. Penalties
- 22.1 Subject to GCC Clause 25, if the supplier fails to deliver any or all of the goods or to perform the services within the period(s) specified in the contract, the purchaser shall, without prejudice to its other remedies under the contract, deduct from the contract price, as a penalty, a sum calculated on the delivered price of the delayed goods or unperformed services using the current prime interest rate calculated for each day of the delay until actual delivery or performance. The purchaser may also consider termination of the contract pursuant to GCC Clause 23.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23


**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

23. Termination for default

- 23.1 The purchaser, without prejudice to any other remedy for breach of contract, by written notice of default sent to the supplier, may terminate this contract in whole or in part:
- (a) if the supplier fails to deliver any or all of the goods within the period(s) specified in the contract, or within any extension thereof granted by the purchaser pursuant to GCC Clause 21.2;
  - (b) if the supplier fails to perform any other obligation(s) under the contract; if the supplier, in the judgment of the purchaser, has engaged in corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for or in executing the contract.
- 23.2 In the event the purchaser terminates the contract in whole or in part, the purchaser may procure, upon such terms and in such manner as it deems appropriate, goods, works or services similar to those undelivered, and the supplier shall be liable to the purchaser for any excess costs for such similar goods, works or services. However, the supplier shall continue performance of the contract to the extent not terminated.
- 23.3 Where the purchaser terminates the contract in whole or in part, the purchaser may decide to impose a restriction penalty on the supplier by prohibiting such supplier from doing business with the public sector for a period not exceeding 10 years.
- 23.4 If a purchaser intends imposing a restriction on a supplier or any person associated with the supplier, the supplier will be allowed a time period of not more than fourteen (14) days to provide reasons why the envisaged restriction should not be imposed. Should the supplier fail to respond within the stipulated fourteen (14) days the purchaser may regard the intended penalty as not objected against and may impose it on the supplier.
- 23.5 Any restriction imposed on any person by the Accounting Officer / Authority will, at the discretion of the Accounting Officer / Authority, also be applicable to any other enterprise or any partner, manager, director or other person who wholly or partly exercises or

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

exercised or may exercise control over the enterprise of the first-mentioned person, and with which enterprise or person the first-mentioned person, is or was in the opinion of the Accounting Officer / Authority actively associated.

- 23.6 If a restriction is imposed, the purchaser must, within five (5) working days of such imposition, furnish the National Treasury, with the following information:
- (i) the name and address of the supplier and / or person restricted by the purchaser;
  - (ii) the date of commencement of the restriction
  - (iii) the period of restriction; and
  - (iv) the reasons for the restriction.


These details will be loaded in the National Treasury's central database of suppliers or persons prohibited from doing business with the public sector.

- 23.7 If a court of law convicts a person of an offence as contemplated in sections 12 or 13 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004, the court may also rule that such person's name be endorsed on the Register for Tender Defaulters. When a person's name has been endorsed on the Register, the person will be prohibited from doing business with the public sector for a period not less than five years and not more than 10 years. The National Treasury is empowered to determine the period of restriction and each case will be dealt with on its own merits. According to section 32 of the Act the Register must be open to the public. The Register can be perused on the National Treasury website.

24. Anti-dumping and countervailing duties and rights

- 24.1 When, after the date of bid, provisional payments are required, or antidumping or countervailing duties are imposed, or the amount of a provisional payment or anti-dumping or countervailing right is increased in respect of any dumped or subsidized import, the State is not liable for any amount so required or imposed, or for the amount of any such increase.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

When, after the said date, such a provisional payment is no longer required or any such anti-dumping or countervailing right is abolished, or where the amount of such provisional payment or any such right is reduced, any such favourable difference shall on demand be paid forthwith by the contractor to the State or the State may deduct such amounts from moneys (if any) which may otherwise be due to the contractor in regard to supplies or services which he delivered or rendered, or is to deliver or render in terms of the contract or any other contract or any other amount which may be due to him.

25. Force Majeure


25.1 Notwithstanding the provisions of GCC Clauses 22 and 23, the supplier shall not be liable for forfeiture of its performance security, damages, or termination for default if and to the extent that his delay in performance or other failure to perform his obligations under the contract is the result of an event of force majeure.

25.2 If a force majeure situation arises, the supplier shall promptly notify the purchaser in writing of such condition and the cause thereof. Unless otherwise directed by the purchaser in writing, the supplier shall continue to perform its obligations under the contract as far as is reasonably practical, and shall seek all reasonable alternative means for performance not prevented by the force majeure event.

26. Termination for insolvency

26.1 The purchaser may at any time terminate the contract by giving written notice to the supplier if the supplier becomes bankrupt or otherwise insolvent. In this event, termination will be without compensation to the supplier, provided that such termination will not prejudice or affect any right of action or remedy which has accrued or will accrue thereafter to the purchaser.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23


**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

27. Settlement of Disputes

- 27.1 If any dispute or difference of any kind whatsoever arises between the purchaser and the supplier in connection with or arising out of the contract, the parties shall make every effort to resolve amicably such dispute or difference by mutual consultation.
- 27.2 If, after thirty (30) days, the parties have failed to resolve their dispute or difference by such mutual consultation, then either the purchaser or the supplier may give notice to the other party of his intention to commence with mediation. No mediation in respect of this matter may be commenced unless such notice is given to the other party.
- 27.3 Should it not be possible to settle a dispute by means of mediation, it may be settled in a South African court of law.
- 27.4 Mediation proceedings shall be conducted in accordance with the rules of procedure specified in the SCC.
- 27.5 Notwithstanding any reference to mediation and/or court proceedings herein,  
(a) the parties shall continue to perform their respective obligations under the contract unless they otherwise agree; and  
(b) the purchaser shall pay the supplier any monies due the supplier.
- 28.1 Except in cases of criminal negligence or willful misconduct, and in the case of infringement pursuant to Clause 6;  
(a) the supplier shall not be liable to the purchaser, whether in contract, tort, or otherwise, for any indirect or consequential loss or damage, loss of use, loss of production, or loss of profits or interest costs, provided that this exclusion shall not apply to any obligation of the supplier to pay penalties and/or damages to the purchaser; and  
(b) the aggregate liability of the supplier to the purchaser, whether under the contract, in tort or otherwise, shall not exceed the total contract price, provided that this limitation shall not apply to the cost of repairing or replacing defective equipment.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

29. Governing language

29.1 The contract shall be written in English. All correspondence and other documents pertaining to the contract that is exchanged by the parties shall also be written in English.

30. Applicable law

30.1 The contract shall be interpreted in accordance with South African laws, unless otherwise specified in SCC.

31. Notices

31.1 Every written acceptance of a bid shall be posted to the supplier concerned by registered or certified mail and any other notice to him shall be posted by ordinary mail to the address furnished in his bid or to the address notified later by him in writing and such posting shall be deemed to be proper service of such notice

31.2 The time mentioned in the contract documents for performing any act after such aforesaid notice has been given, shall be reckoned from the date of posting of such notice.


32. Taxes and duties

32.1 A foreign supplier shall be entirely responsible for all taxes, stamp duties, license fees, and other such levies imposed outside the purchaser's country.

32.2 A local supplier shall be entirely responsible for all taxes, duties, license fees, etc., incurred until delivery of the contracted goods to the purchaser.

32.3 No contract shall be awarded to any bidder whose tax matters are not in order. A tax clearance certificate must be submitted and if a copy, such must be certified as the true copy of the original. Revenue Services.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

33. National Industrial Participation (NIP) Programme

33.1 The NIP Programme administered by the Department of Trade and Industry shall be applicable to all contracts that are subject to the NIP obligation.


34. Prohibition of Restrictive practices

34.1 In terms of section 4 (1) (b) (iii) of the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998, as amended, an agreement between, or concerted practice by, firms, or a decision by an association of firms, is prohibited if it is between parties in a horizontal relationship and if a bidder (s) is / are or a contractor(s) was / were involved in collusive bidding (or bid rigging).

34.2 If a bidder(s) or contractor(s), based on reasonable grounds or evidence obtained by the purchaser, has / have engaged in the restrictive practice referred to above, the purchaser may refer the matter to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties as contemplated in the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998.

34.3 If a bidder(s) or contractor(s), has / have been found guilty by the Competition Commission of the restrictive practice referred to above, the purchaser may, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided for, invalidate the bid(s) for such item(s) offered, and / or terminate the contract in whole or part, and / or restrict the bidder(s) or contractor(s) from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years and / or claim damages from the bidder(s) or contractor(s) concerned.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23


**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**SECTION 1**

<b>1.1</b>	<b>CONDITIONS OF AWARD</b> <b>Notwithstanding the suppliers' proposal being recommended for award, an award shall not be made to a supplier whose:</b>
1.1.1	A supplier whose tax matters are not in order, as confirmed in terms of the National Treasury's Centralized Supplier Database (CSD) and the SARS.
1.1.2	Municipal Rates and Taxes of the bidder and that of its Directors is in arrears for more than 90 days and there are no arrangements made with the relevant Municipality.
1.1.3	Directors and Principal members are in the Service of the State as defined in Regulation 1, of the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations.
1.1.4	Name of the bidder or that of its directors appear on the National Treasury's database of Restricted Suppliers.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23


APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

---

## **SECTION 2**

**TERMS OF REFERENCE**  
**PLEASE REFER TO APPENDIX A**  
**AT THE BACK OF THE DOCUMENT**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**FORM OF BID/PRICING SCHEDULE**

**NB. ERROR/S MADE MUST BE SCRATCHED AND SIGNED NEXT TO THE ERROR BY THE SAME PERSON WHO SIGNS THE BID DOCUMENT. THEREFORE, NO TIPEX ALLOWED. IN ADDITION, ERROR/S MADE AND RELATING TO PRICE MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A LETTER [IN THE BIDDER'S LETTERHEAD] POINTING OUT THE ERROR MADE AND ACKNOWLEDGING THAT IT IS THE DELIGATED SIGNATORY AND WAS SIGNED BY THE BIDDER FOR REASONS OF CORRECTING THE ERROR.**

**IN THE EVENT THAT THE BIDDER FAILS TO COMPLETE FULLY THIS FORM OF BID/PRICE SCHEDULE OR TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED, OR TO SIGN THE BID AT THE APPROPRIATE SPACES PROVIDED OR NEXT TO ERRORS, THE BID WILL BE REJECTED AS NONE RESPONSIVE**

**BIDDERS TO FILL IN THE FOLLOWING TABLE**

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL AMOUNT
1.	APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG	R

Prices include Value Added Tax

Rate of Value Added Tax Ø \_\_\_\_\_ %


Ø To be inserted by the Proposer

Total points brought forward in respect of B-BBEE Verification Level (to be inserted by the Proposer) \_\_\_\_\_

(If section 4: (Conditions pertaining to B-BBEE Verification Certificate) is not submitted NO POINTS pertaining to B-BBEE Verification Level shall be awarded).

**Name of Proposer (in full):** \_\_\_\_\_

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY’S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**PROPOSAL PRICE ADJUSTMENT**

Is this a firm price proposal? \_\_\_\_\_

**The answer to the above question must either be YES/NO**

**NB:** If neither Yes or No is inserted the Bid price will be taken to be firm and no adjustment will be allowed, notwithstanding anything to the contrary elsewhere contained.

If the answer to the above question is **No**, Proposers are to provide a detailed Annexure specifying components and percentages of the pricing elements that will fluctuate. An indication should be given of the escalation across the Bid period stipulating base rates that the Bid is premised upon and changes in the subsequent year. Failure to provide details in this regard will invalidate your claim for a Bid price adjustment.

Name of firm (in full) : \_\_\_\_\_

Company Registration Number : \_\_\_\_\_


VAT Registration Number : \_\_\_\_\_

Business address : \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Postal address : \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone number : \_\_\_\_\_

Initial



**BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

Name of Bidder (in full) : \_\_\_\_\_

Fax number : \_\_\_\_\_

Name of person authorized to sign this Bid : \_\_\_\_\_


(BLOCK LETTERS)

Signature of person authorized to sign this Bid \_\_\_\_\_

Date : \_\_\_\_\_ 2022

As witness : \_\_\_\_\_

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**SECTION 3**

**MBD 3.1**

**PRICING SCHEDULE – FIRM PRICES (PURCHASES)**

**NOTE: ONLY FIRM PRICES WILL BE ACCEPTED. NON-FIRM PRICES (INCLUDING PRICES SUBJECT TO RATES OF EXCHANGE VARIATIONS) WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED**

IN CASES WHERE DIFFERENT DELIVERY POINTS INFLUENCE THE PRICING, A SEPARATE PRICING SCHEDULE MUST BE SUBMITTED FOR EACH DELIVERY POINT

**NAME OF BIDDER: .....BID NUMBER: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**  
**CLOSING TIME: 10:30AM CLOSING DATE: 05 DECEMBER 2022**


OFFER TO BE VALID FOR 120 DAYS FROM THE CLOSING DATE OF BID.

*ITEM QUANTITY DESCRIPTION BID PRICE IN RSA CURRENCY NO.*

*\*\* (ALL APPLICABLE TAXES INCLUDED)*

- Required by : .....
- At: .....
- *Brand and Model* .....

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


- Country of Origin .....
  
- Does the offer comply with the specification(s)? \*YES/NO
- If not to specification, indicate deviation(s) .....
  
- Period required for delivery .....
- \*Delivery: Firm/Not firm
  
- Delivery basis .....

*Note: All delivery costs must be included in the bid price, for delivery at the prescribed destination.*

*\*\* "all applicable taxes" includes value- added tax, pay as you earn, income tax, unemployment insurance fund contributions and skills development levies.*

*\*Delete if not applicable*

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**MBD 3.3**

**PRICING SCHEDULE (Professional Services)**

**NAME OF BIDDER: ..... BID NUMBER: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**

**CLOSING TIME: 10:30AM**

**CLOSING DATE: 05 DECEMBER 2022**

OFFER TO BE VALID FOR 120 DAYS FROM THE CLOSING DATE OF BID.


ITEM DESCRIPTION BID PRICE IN RSA CURRENCY  
NO (ALL APPLICABLE TAXES INCLUDED)

- 1. The accompanying information must be used for the formulation of proposals.
- 2. Bidders are required to indicate a ceiling price based on the total estimated time for completion of all phases and including all expenses inclusive of all applicable taxes for the project. R.....

3. PERSONS WHO WILL BE INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT AND RATES APPLICABLE (CERTIFIED INVOICES MUST BE RENDERED IN TERMS HEREOF)

4. PERSON AND POSITION	HOURLY RATE	DAILY RATE
-----	R-----	-----
-----	R-----	-----

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

----- R-----

----- R-----

----- R-----

**5. PHASES ACCORDING TO WHICH THE PROJECT WILL BE COMPLETED, COST PER PHASE AND MAN-DAYS TO BE SPENT**

----- R----- days


----- R----- days

----- R----- days

----- R----- days

5.1 Travel expenses (specify, for example rate/km and total km, class of air travel, etc.). Only actual costs are recoverable. Proof of the expenses incurred must accompany certified invoices.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


DESCRIPTION OF EXPENSE TO BE INCURRED	RATE/QUANTITY	AMOUNT
-----	.....	R.....

\*\*"all applicable taxes" includes value-added taxes, pay as you earn, income tax, unemployment insurance fund contributions and skills development levies.

5.2 Other expenses, for example accommodation (specify, e.g. Three star hotel, bed and breakfast, telephone cost, reproduction cost, etc.). On basis of these particulars, certified invoices will be checked for correctness. Proof of the expenses must accompany invoices.

DESCRIPTION OF EXPENSE TO BE INCURRED	RATE/QUANTITY	AMOUNT
-----	.....	R.....
-----	.....	R.....

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

----- .....

R.....

----- .....

R.....

TOTAL:.....


6. Period required for commencement with project after acceptance of bid.....

7. Estimated man-days for completion of project .....

8. Are the rates quoted firm for the full period of contract?  
.....\*YES/ NO.

9. If not firm for the full period, provide details of the basis on which adjustments will be applied for, for example consumer price index .....  
.....

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**MBD 4**

**DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

1. No bid will be accepted from persons in the service of the state<sup>1</sup>.
2. Any person, having a kinship with persons in the service of the state, including a blood relationship, may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In view of possible allegations of favouritism, should the resulting bid, or part thereof, be awarded to persons connected with or related to persons in service of the state, it is required that the bidder or their authorised representative declare their position in relation to the evaluating/adjudicating authority.
3. **In order to give effect to the above, the following questionnaire must be completed and submitted with the bid.**

3.1 Full Name of bidder or his or her representative: .....

3.2 Identity Number: .....


3.3 Position occupied in the Company (director, trustee, shareholder<sup>2</sup>): .....

<sup>1</sup> **MSCM Regulations:** "in the service of the state" means to be –

- (a) a member of –
  - (i) any municipal council.
  - (ii) any provincial legislature; or
  - (iii) the national Assembly or the national Council of provinces.
- (b) member of the board of directors of any municipal entity.
- (c) an official of any municipality or municipal entity.
- (d) an employee of any national or provincial department, national or provincial public entity or constitutional institution within the meaning of the Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act No.1 of 1999);
- (e) a member of the accounting authority of any national or provincial public entity; or
- (f) An employee of Parliament or a provincial legislature.

<sup>2</sup> **Shareholder**" means a person who owns shares in the company and is actively involved in the management of the company or business and exercises control over

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

3.4 Company Registration Number: .....

3.5 Tax Reference Number: .....

3.6 VAT Registration Number: .....

3.7 The names of all directors / trustees / shareholders members, their individual identity numbers and state employee numbers must be indicated in paragraph 4 below.

3.8 Are you presently in the service of the state? **YES / NO**

3.8.1 If yes, furnish particulars. ....

3.9 Have you been in the service of the state for the past twelve months? **YES / NO**

3.9.1 If yes, furnish particulars.....

3.10 Do you have any relationship (family, friend, other) with persons in the service of the state and who may be involved with the evaluation and or adjudication of this bid? **YES / NO**

3.10.1 If yes, furnish particulars.....


3.11 Are you, aware of any relationship (family, friend, other) between any other bidder and any persons in the service of the state who may be involved with the evaluation and or adjudication of this bid? **YES / NO**

3.11.1 If yes, furnish particulars.....

---

the company.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

3.12 Are any of the company's directors, trustees, managers, principle shareholders or stakeholders in service of the state? **YES/NO**

3.12.1 If yes, furnish particulars.....

3.13 Are any spouse, child or parent of the company's directors, trustees, managers, Principle Shareholders or stakeholders in service of the state? **YES/NO**

3.13.1 If yes, furnish particulars.....


3.14. Do you or any of the directors, trustees, managers, principle shareholders, or stakeholders of this company have any interest in any other related companies or business whether or no they are bidding for this contract? **YES / NO**

3.14.1 If yes, furnish particulars:.....

4. Full details of directors / trustees / members / shareholders.

Full Name	Identity Number	State Employee Number

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---



\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Capacity**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Name of Bidder**

**Initial**



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY’S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


**Declaration of interest**

In order to give effect to the declaration of interest [MBD 4], the following questionnaire must be completed. The bidder is required to respond by yes or no to the declarations and furnish information in the format provided in the event that the response is YES. By appending signature at the end, the bidder confirms the declarations to be true and correct. The declaration must be read in conjunction with the important notice.

1. Have you been in the service of the state for the past twelve months? **YES / NO**
2. Are any of the bidder’s directors, trustees, managers, principle shareholders or stakeholders in service of the state? **YES/NO**
3. Is any spouse, child or parent of the bidder’s directors, trustees, managers, major shareholder/s or stakeholder/s in service of the state? **YES/NO**
4. Do you or any of the director/s, trustee/s, manager/s, major shareholder/s, or stakeholder/s of the bidder have any interest in any other related companies or business whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES / NO**
5. If yes in any or all of the above, furnish particular in the format below.

<i>Paragraph</i>	<i>Full Name</i>	<i>Identity number</i>	<i>State employee number</i>	<i>Status</i> <i>[currently or past employed]</i>	<i>Relationship to bidder</i>
1					

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

2					
3					
4					

---

**Signature**

---

**Date**


---

**Capacity**

---

**Name of Bidder**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

MBD 6.1

**PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2017**

This preference form must form part of all bids invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment (B-BBEE) Status Level of Contribution

**NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, BIDDERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF B-BBEE, AS PRESCRIBED IN THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2017.**

---

**1. GENERAL CONDITIONS**

1.1 The following preference point system is applicable to this bid:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included)


1.2

- a) The value of this bid is estimated not to exceed R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included) and therefore the 80/20 preference point system shall be applicable;

1.3 Points for this bid shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) B-BBEE Status Level of Contributor.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

1.4 The maximum points for this bid are allocated as follows:

	<b>POINTS</b>
<b>PRICE</b>	80
<b>B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR</b>	20
<b>Total points for Price and B-BBEE must not exceed</b>	<b>100</b>


1.5 Failure on the part of a bidder to submit proof of B-BBEE Status level of contributor together with the bid, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for B-BBEE status level of contribution are not claimed.

1.6 The purchaser reserves the right to require of a bidder, either before a bid is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the purchaser.

**2. DEFINITIONS**

- (a) **“B-BBEE”** means broad-based black economic empowerment as defined in section 1 of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (b) **“B-BBEE status level of contributor”** means the B-BBEE status of an entity in terms of a code of good practice on black economic empowerment, issued in terms of section 9(1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (c) **“bid”** means a written offer in a prescribed or stipulated form in response to an invitation by an organ of state for the provision of goods or services, through price quotations, advertised competitive bidding processes or proposals;
- (d) **“Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act”** means the Broad-Based

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY’S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

Black Economic Empowerment Act, 2003 (Act No. 53 of 2003);

- (e) **“EME”** means an Exempted Micro Enterprise in terms of a code of good practice on black economic empowerment issued in terms of section 9 (1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (f) **“Functionality”** means the ability of a tenderer to provide goods or services in accordance with specifications as set out in the tender documents.
- (g) **“prices”** includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (h) **“proof of B-BBEE status level of contributor”** means:
  - 1) B-BBEE Status level certificate issued by an authorized body or person;
  - 2) A sworn affidavit as prescribed by the B-BBEE Codes of Good Practice;
  - 3) Any other requirement prescribed in terms of the B-BBEE Act;
- (i) **“QSE”** means a qualifying small business enterprise in terms of a code of good practice on black economic empowerment issued in terms of section 9 (1) of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act;
- (j) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;

**3. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE**

**3.1 THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS**

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:


**80/20**

$$P_s = 80 \left( 1 - \frac{P_t - P_{\min}}{P_{\min}} \right)$$

Where

P<sub>s</sub> = Points scored for price of bid under consideration

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

Pt = Price of bid under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable bid

**4. POINTS AWARDED FOR B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR**

- 4.1 In terms of Regulation 6 (2) and 7 (2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded to a bidder for attaining the B-BBEE status level of contribution in accordance with the table below:


<b>B-BBEE Status Level of Contributor</b>	<b>Number of points (80/20 system)</b>
1	20
2	18
3	14
4	12
5	8
6	6
7	4
8	2
Non-compliant contributor	0

**5. BID DECLARATION**

- 5.1 Bidders who claim points in respect of B-BBEE Status Level of Contribution must complete the following:

**6. B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR CLAIMED IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPHS 1.4 AND 4.1**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

6.1 B-BBEE Status Level of Contributor:..... = .....(maximum of 20 points)  
 (Points claimed in respect of paragraph 7.1 must be in accordance with the table reflected in paragraph 4.1 and must be substantiated by relevant proof of B-BBEE status level of contributor.

**7. SUB-CONTRACTING**

7.1 Will any portion of the contract be sub-contracted?

*(Tick applicable box)*

YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

7.1.1 If yes, indicate:

- i) What percentage of the contract will be subcontracted.....%
- ii) The name of the sub-contractor.....
- iii) The B-BBEE status level of the sub-contractor.....
- iv) Whether the sub-contractor is an EME or QSE


*(Tick applicable box)*

YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

v) Specify, by ticking the appropriate box, if subcontracting with an enterprise in terms of Preferential Procurement Regulations,2017:

Designated Group: An EME or QSE which is at last 51% owned by:	EME √	QSE √
Black people		
Black people who are youth		
Black people who are women		
Black people with disabilities		
Black people living in rural or underdeveloped areas or townships		

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

<b>Cooperative owned by black people</b>		
<b>Black people who are military veterans</b>		
<b>OR</b>		
<b>Any EME</b>		
<b>Any QSE</b>		

**8. DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM**

8.1 Name of company/firm:.....

8.2 VAT registration number:.....

8.3 Company registration number:.....

**8.4 TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM**

- Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- One person business/sole propriety
- Close corporation
- Company
- (Pty) Limited

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

**8.5 DESCRIBE PRINCIPAL BUSINESS ACTIVITIES**

.....

.....


.....

.....

**8.6 COMPANY CLASSIFICATION**

- Manufacturer
- Supplier
- Professional service provider
- Other service providers, e.g. transporter, etc.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

**8.7 MUNICIPAL INFORMATION**

**Municipality where business is situated:**

.....

**Registered Account Number:** .....

**Stand Number:** .....


8.8 Total number of years the company/firm has been in business:

.....

8.9 I/we, the undersigned, who is / are duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the B-BBE status level of contributor indicated in paragraphs 1.4 and 6.1 of the foregoing certificate, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I / we acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 6.1, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the purchaser that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the B-BBEE status level of contributor has been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the purchaser may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
  - (a) disqualify the person from the bidding process;

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

- (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
- (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
- (d) recommend that the bidder or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted by the National Treasury from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
- (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution

WITNESSES

1. ....

2. ....

.....  
SIGNATURE(S) OF BIDDERS(S)


DATE: .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

.....

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


**MBD 8**

**DECLARATION OF BIDDER'S PAST SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT PRACTICES**

- 1 This Municipal Bidding Document must form part of all bids invited.
- 2 It serves as a declaration to be used by municipalities and municipal entities in ensuring that when goods and services are being procured, all reasonable steps are taken to combat the abuse of the supply chain management system.
- 3 The bid of any bidder may be rejected if that bidder, or any of its directors have:
  - a. abused the municipality's / municipal entity's supply chain management system or committed any improper conduct in relation to such system;
  - b. been convicted for fraud or corruption during the past five years;
  - c. willfully neglected, reneged on or failed to comply with any government, municipal or other public sector contract during the past five years; or
  - d. Been listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters in terms of section 29 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act (No 12 of 2004).
- 4 **In order to give effect to the above, the following questionnaire must be completed and submitted with the bid.**

Item	Question	Yes	No
4.1	Is the bidder or any of its directors listed on the National Treasury's database as a company or person prohibited from doing business with the public sector? <b>(Companies or persons who are listed on this database were informed in writing of this restriction by the National Treasury after the <i>audi alteram partem</i> rule was applied).</b>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.1	If so, furnish particulars:		

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

4.2	<p>Is the bidder or any of its directors listed on the Register for Tender Defaulters in terms of section 29 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act (No 12 of 2004)?</p> <p><b>(To access this Register enter the National Treasury's website, <a href="http://www.treasury.gov.za">www.treasury.gov.za</a>, click on the icon "Register for Tender Defaulters" or submit your written request for a hard copy of the Register to facsimile number (012) 3265445).</b></p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
4.2.1	<p>If so, furnish particulars:</p>		
4.3	<p>Was the bidder or any of its directors convicted by a court of law (including a court of law outside the Republic of South Africa) for fraud or corruption during the past five years?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
4.3.1	<p>If so, furnish particulars:</p>		
4.4	<p>Does the bidder or any of its directors owe any municipal rates and taxes or municipal charges to the municipality / municipal entity, or to any other municipality / municipal entity, that is in arrears for more than three months?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
4.4.1	<p>If so, furnish particulars:</p>		
4.5	<p>Was any contract between the bidder and the municipality / municipal entity or any other organ of state terminated during the past five years on account of failure to perform on or comply with the contract?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

4.5.1	If so, furnish particulars:
-------	-----------------------------

**CERTIFICATION**

**I, THE UNDERSIGNED (FULL NAME).....CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED ON THIS DECLARATION FORM TRUE AND CORRECT.**

**I ACCEPT THAT, IN ADDITION TO CANCELLATION OF A CONTRACT, ACTION MAY BE TAKEN AGAINST ME SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Capacity**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Name of Bidder**

**Initial**



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

MBD 9

**CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT BID DETERMINATION**


1. This Municipal Bidding Document (MBD) must form part of all bids<sup>3</sup> invited.
2. Section 4 (1) (b) (iii) of the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998, as amended, prohibits an agreement between, or concerted practice by, firms, or a decision by an association of firms, if it is between parties in a horizontal relationship and if it involves collusive bidding (or bid rigging).<sup>4</sup>Collusive bidding is a *pe se* prohibition meaning that it cannot be justified under any grounds.
3. Municipal Supply Regulation 38 (1) prescribes that a supply chain management policy must provide measures for the combating of abuse of the supply chain management system, and must enable the accounting officer, among others, to:
  - a. take all reasonable steps to prevent such abuse;
  - b. reject the bid of any bidder if that bidder or any of its directors has abused the supply chain management system of the municipality or municipal entity or has committed any improper conduct in relation to such system; and
  - c. cancel a contract awarded to a person if the person committed any corrupt or fraudulent act during the bidding process or the execution of the contract.
4. This MBD serves as a certificate of declaration that would be used by institutions to ensure that, when bids are considered, reasonable steps are taken to prevent any form of bid-rigging.

---

<sup>3</sup> Includes price quotations, advertised competitive bids, limited bids and BIDs.

<sup>4</sup> Bid rigging (or collusive bidding) occurs when businesses, that would otherwise be expected to compete, secretly conspire to raise prices or lower the quality of goods and / or services for purchasers who wish to acquire goods and / or services through a bidding process. Bid rigging is, therefore, an agreement between competitors not to compete.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

5. In order to give effect to the above, the attached Certificate of Bid Determination (MBD 9) must be completed and submitted with the bid:

I, the undersigned, in submitting the accompanying bid:

\_\_\_\_\_

(Bid Number and Description)

In response to the invitation for the bid made by:

\_\_\_\_\_

(Name of Municipality / Municipal Entity)

Do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:


I certify, on behalf of: \_\_\_\_\_ that:

(Name of Bidder)

**CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT BID DETERMINATION**

1. I have read and I understand the contents of this Certificate;
2. I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this Certificate is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
3. I am authorized by the bidder to sign this Certificate, and to submit the accompanying bid, on behalf of the bidder;
4. Each person whose signature appears on the accompanying bid has been authorized by the bidder to determine the terms of, and to sign, the bid, on behalf of the bidder;

Initial



**BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


---

5. For the purposes of this Certificate and the accompanying bid, I understand that the word "competitor" shall include any individual or organization, other than the bidder, whether or not affiliated with the bidder, who:
- (a) has been requested to submit a bid in response to this bid invitation;
  - (b) could potentially submit a bid in response to this bid invitation, based on their qualifications, abilities or experience; and
  - (c) Provides the same goods and services as the bidder and/or is in the same line of business as the bidder.
6. The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium<sup>5</sup> will not be construed as collusive bidding.
7. In particular, without limiting the generality of paragraphs 6 above, there has been no consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor regarding:
- (a) prices;
  - (b) geographical area where product or service will be rendered (market allocation)
  - (c) methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices;
  - (d) the intention or decision to submit or not to submit, a bid;
  - (e) the submission of a bid which does not meet the specifications and conditions of the bid; or
  - (f) Bidding with the intention not to win the bid.

---

<sup>5</sup> Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

8. In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
9. The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
10. I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No. 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

---

**Signature**

---

**Date**


---

**Capacity**

---

**Name of Bidder**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY’S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**DECLARATION ON STATE OF MUNICIPAL ACCOUNTS**

- A Any bid may be rejected if:
- Any municipal rates and taxes or municipal service charges owed by the bidder and any of the directors to the municipality or a municipal entity, or to any other municipality or municipal entity, are in arrears for more than three months.
  - In the case of International companies having South African Agencies and that business premises are leased, proof of lease agreements and / or monthly rental statements must be submitted.
  - The above will also be applicable for directors of the bidder/s who are leasing residential premises. Where the directors of the bidder/s reside outside the country, this requirement is not applicable.

B Bid Information


i. Name of bidder  
.....

ii. Registration Number  
.....

iii. Municipality where business is situated  
.....

iv. Municipal account number for rates  
.....

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

v. Municipal account number for water and electricity

.....

vi. Names of all directors, their ID numbers and municipal account number.

1. ....

2. ....

3. ....

4. ....

5. ....

C Documents to be attached.

i. A copy of municipal account mentioned in B (iv) & (v) (Not older than 3 months)

ii. A copy of municipal accounts of all directors mentioned in B (vi) (Not older than 3 months)

iii. Proof of directors


I/We declare that the abovementioned information is true and correct and that the following documents are attached to this form:

.....  
.....  
.....

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**SPECIMAN RELATING TO SUBMISSION OF PROOF OF MUNICIPAL ACCOUNTS, LEASE OR CONFIRMATION LETTER**

**MUNICIPAL ACCOUNT**

---

**AFFIDAVIT RELATING TO THE MUNICIPAL CHARGES/RATES/TAXEX**

---


I, the undersigned,

\_\_\_\_\_

do hereby make oath and say the following:

1. I am an adult female/male and the \_\_\_\_\_ [INSERT OCCUPATION] of the \_\_\_\_\_ [INSERT NAME OF BIDDER] ("**the bidder**"), and been authorised to depose to this affidavit whose main place of business is at \_\_\_\_\_
2. The facts contained in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge, save where otherwise stated or where the converse appears from the context, and are, to the best of my belief, both true and correct.
3. Briefly, this affidavit is to relate facts in conformity with the bid requests that the bidder provides municipal account as proof that it is not in arrears by more than three months.

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

4. The Bidder resides within the \_\_\_\_\_municipality. The following are the facts which make it not to be able to accede to the request for municipal account, they are:

4.1 \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4.2 \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

.....  
**DEPONENT**

I certify that this Affidavit was signed and sworn to before be at ..... on this the ..... day of \_\_\_\_\_, by the Deponent after (s)he declared that (s)he knew and understood the contents of this declaration, that (s)he had no objection to taking the prescribed oath and has taken the prescribed oath which (s)he considered binding on his/her conscience, having complied with the regulations contained in Government Notice R1258 of 21 July 1972, as amended.

.....  
**COMMISSIONER OF OATHS**


Full names:

Capacity:

Designation:

\_\_\_\_\_

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**LEASE AGREEMENT**

---

**AFFIDAVT RELATING TO LEASE AGREEMENT**

---


I, the undersigned,

\_\_\_\_\_

do hereby make oath and say the following:

5. I am an adult female/male and the \_\_\_\_\_[INSERT OCCUPATION] of the \_\_\_\_\_[INSERT NAME OF BIDDER] (“**the bidder**”), and been authorised to depose to this affidavit whose main place of business is at\_\_\_\_\_
  
6. The facts contained in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge, save where otherwise stated or where the converse appears from the context, and are, to the best of my belief, both true and correct.
  
7. Briefly, this affidavit is to relate facts in conformity with the bid requests that the bidder provides lease agreement as proof that it does not own the property it carries its business on.
  
8. The following are the facts which make it not to be able to produce and provide lease agreement in respect of the property it carries on business:

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

4.1 \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4.2 \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_


.....  
**DEPONENT**

I certify that this Affidavit was signed and sworn to before be at ..... on this the ..... day of \_\_\_\_\_, by the Deponent after (s)he declared that (s)he knew and understood the contents of this declaration, that (s)he had no objection to taking the prescribed oath and has taken the prescribed oath which (s)he considered binding on his/her conscience, having complied with the regulations contained in Government Notice R1258 of 21 July 1972, as amended.

.....  
**COMMISSIONER OF OATHS**

Full names:  
Capacity:  
Designation:  
Address:

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**CONFIRMATION LETTER OF MUNICIPAL RATES/TAXES WHERE ARRANGEMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE WITH THE MUNICIPALITY  
PROCUREMENT  
CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY  
JOHANNESBURG**

Dear Sir/Madam

**Re: Confirmation letter of municipal accounts/rates**


1. This serves to confirm that \_\_\_\_\_ resides within the \_\_\_\_\_ municipality.
2. That his/her/its municipal accounts are:
  - 2.1
  - 2.2
  - 2.3
3. It is hereby confirmed that the said municipal accounts above, are not in arrears for more than 3 months.
4. *Alternatively*, an acceptable arrangement has been concluded and exists with the \_\_\_\_\_ municipality. . Such arrangement appears more fully in the letter from the municipality/confirmation letter of municipal rates/ affidavit relating to the municipal rates/taxes.

**SIGNED AT \_\_\_\_\_ ON THIS \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_ 20 \_\_\_\_\_**

---

**SIGNATURE**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**MBD 10**

**DECLARATION IN TERMS OF CLAUSE 112(1) OF THE MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (NO.56OF 2003)**

**In order to give effect to the declaration in this annexure, it must be completed and signed. By appending signature at the end, the bidder confirms the declarations to be true and correct. The declaration must be read in conjunction with the important notice.**

1. I declare that I am duly authorised to act on behalf of \_\_\_\_\_  
(name of the firm) and hereby declare, that to the best of my personal knowledge, neither the firm nor any director/member/partner of said firm is in arrears on any of its municipal accounts with any municipality in the Republic of South Africa, for a period longer than 3 (three) months.


1. To the extent that the bidder or any director/member/partner of the bidder is in arrears for a longer period than 3 [three] months, an acceptable arrangement has been agreed. Such arrangement appears more fully in the letter from the municipality/confirmation letter of municipal rates/ affidavit relating to the municipal rates/taxes.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature**  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Capacity**  
  
Initial

**Name of Bidder**



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

**SECTION 4**

**Technical data, omissions, variations**

**1. Bank Details**

Name of Bankers: \_\_\_\_\_

Address of Bankers: \_\_\_\_\_


Branch of Bank: \_\_\_\_\_

Bank Account Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Details of Similar Work Recently Carried Out**

DESCRIPTION OF WORK	COMPLETION DATE	CLIENT NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS	VALUE (R)

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**3. Qualifications by Bidder**

Should the bidder desire to make any departures from or modifications to the General Conditions of Contract, Specification, Drawings, or in any other way to qualify this bid, he must set out his BIDs clearly hereunder, or alternatively state them in a covering letter attached to this bid and referred to hereunder, failing which the bid will be deemed to be unqualified.

If no departures or modifications are desired, the schedule hereunder is to be marked NIL and signed by the bidder.


PAGE	CLAUSE OR ITEM

**4. Work to be done by others**

Full details must be provided here of any work required from the Council or others to provide complete execution of the work to the satisfaction of the Council.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK	TO BE EXECUTED BY

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY’S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**5 Sub-Contractors**

Full details must be provided here of any work regarding sub-contractors which may be used for installation, maintenance, repair, supply of accessories and supply of parts.


DESCRIPTION OR WORK/EQUIPMENT	TO BE EXECUTED

**6 Plant, Transport and Staff Available**

Bidders must list all equipment available for use on the contract, and must fully describe the equipment and/or plant and must further state whether owned or leased.

DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT/PLANT	QUANTITY	OWNED/LEASED

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**7 Omissions and Variations from the Council's Specification**

Bids will be held to be entirely in accordance with the Council's specification except in the respects stated hereunder and the goods and/or services will be subject to rejection if it is found on delivery that they do not/it does not comply with the Council's specification on additional points which have not been approved in writing:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

If the bid is in accordance with the Council's specification in all respects, the bidder must state so here:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**8. Indemnity Clause**

I/We the undersigned, do hereby indemnify and hold harmless the Council in respect of all loss, cost, damage or injury that may be caused to any premises or to any person or animal by reason of the performance of this contract.

I/We, further indemnify the Council in respect of all legal and other expenses that may be incurred by the Council in examining, resisting or settling any damage, injury or loss that may in any way be occasioned by work necessary in terms of the contract.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Signature**

**Date**


\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Capacity**

**Name of Bidder**

Initial



BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23


**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**SECTION 5**

**DOCUMENT CHECKLIST**

<i>Part</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Comment</i>
<u>Part A:</u>				
Invitation to Bid - MBD	Complete the requested information using a black ink/pen			
Advert	<p><b>Attach:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Registration documents of the bidder</li> </ul>			
Bidder information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Affiliation certificates [ if belonging to professional body; if applicable]</li> <li>• CIDB certificate/grading certificate [if contractor; if applicable]</li> <li>• CSD registration summary report or MAAA number</li> <li>• Joint venture agreement or consortium agreement or other</li> </ul>			

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

<p>Certificates</p>	<p>agreement relevant to the structure of business [if applicable]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tax certificate</li> </ul> <p><u>All at the end of section 1 marked annexures to section 1</u></p> <p><b>Attach:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Related agreement to the certificate</li> </ul>			
<p><u>Part B:</u>            Important Notice             Conditions of Bid             Terms and Conditions of Bid – Tax Compliance Requirements             Tax Matters             General Terms and Conditions of the Contract</p>	<p>Read diligently and it is recommended that the bidder takes advise.</p>			

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

<b>SECTION 1</b>				
Returnable Documents				
Pre-qualification Criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Read diligently and it is recommended that the bidder takes advise.</li> <li>• Attach the referred documents</li> </ul>			
Disqualification Criteria				
Conditions of Award				
<b>SECTION 2</b>				
Bid Specifications/terms of reference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Read diligently and it is recommended that the bidder takes advise.</li> </ul>			
Form of Bid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Attach the referred documents</li> <li>• Provide the annexure</li> </ul>			
Proposal Price Adjustment				
<b>SECTION 3</b>				
MBD 3.2	Pricing Adjustment			
MBD 4	Declaration of interest			

Initial




BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

MBD 6.1	Preference Points Claim Form			
MBD 8	Declaration of Supply Chain Practices			
MBD 9	Certificate of Independent Bid Determination			
MBD 10	Declaration in Terms of Clause 112(1) of the Municipal Finance Management Act (NO.56 of 2003)			
<b>SECTION 4</b>				
Technical data, omissions, variations and company details.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Read diligently and it is recommended that the bidder takes advise.</li> <li>• Attach the referred documents Provide the annexure</li> </ul>			
<b>SECTION 5</b>				
Check List	Complete the information in the checklist			

Initial




**BID NO: COJ/GSPCR004/22-23**

**APPOINTMENT OF SUITABLY QUALIFIED SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION STUDY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CITY'S SEPERATION AT SOURCE (S@S) PROGRAMME ON THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

---

## **APPENDIX A**

Initial



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The City of Johannesburg Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Framework was approved by the Mayoral Committee in September 2012. The M&E Framework provides for evaluations and impact assessments to be conducted to assess the conceptualisation, implementation and impact of the City's key programmes. To facilitate this requirement the draft evaluation plan is recommended as a focus for priority evaluations of the City. Priority evaluations are large in nature, strategic, innovative, or of significant public interest, and those addressing aspects of the City's long-term strategies and the priorities.

#### **2. PURPOSE**


The purpose of these Terms of Reference is to obtain proposals from suitably qualified service providers to undertake an evaluation study on the implementation of the City's Separation at Source (S@S) Programme in the City of Johannesburg.

#### **3. PROGRAMME BACKGROUND**

The City of Johannesburg selected Separation at Source (S@S) Programme which is currently being implemented by Pikitup. Separation at Source programme involves separating domestic waste in accordance with their streams (recyclables, non-recyclables, organic and non-organic waste) at household levels.

The main aim of the programme is to inculcate a culture of separating waste at household level in an effort to minimise waste tonnages disposed into the City's landfill sites. The conceptualisation of Separation at Source programme was influenced by various factors (i.e. the rapid landfill airspace depletion, environmental sustainability and job creation).

One of the reasons to conceptualise S@S is the fact that the current landfill airspace is limited within the City of Joburg. Pikitup conducted a study on Landfill lifespan and tabled the 2017/18 Integrated Annual Report which shows that the existing four operational landfill sites have an aggregated lifespan of approximately five 5 years. The data information below is taken from the



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**


### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

Waste Treatment and Disposal and Infrastructure Plan March 2021. The report outlines the different landfills' with their remaining lifespan as follows:

- I. Robinson Deep – has years 1 month remaining life, with the anticipated end of life forecasted to be June 2026. The estimated life takes into consideration the height extension of 24m approved in 2009 by the Environmental Authority: the Gauteng Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Environment (GDACE). This also considers any other feasible lateral expansion that could be accommodated within the permitted perimeter of the site.
- II. Goudkoppies – has 4 years 11 months remaining life, with the anticipated end of life forecasted to be May 2027. This estimate incorporates all the cell developments within the current permitted perimeter. However, it should be noted that the site has a potential for height extension in the future, to maximize the feasible airspace available to its full potential.
- III. Ennerdale – has 1 year 11 months remaining life, with the anticipated end of life forecasted to be April 2024. The site has potential for re-engineering to maximize airspace feasible at the site. Same applies with this site, it has a potential for lateral and further height extension to increase the available lifespan. Permit amendment application has been submitted for approval to the regulatory authorities (GDARD).
- IV. Marie Louise – 5 months remaining life, with the anticipated end of life forecasted to be November 2022 This site has been fully developed, and is constrained by mining activities that were conducted in the vicinity of the site in the past as well as a water course traversing the north end of the site for it to be further developed. Therefore the feasibility to further develop the site has been exhausted. However it should be noted that the site has a potential for height extension in the future, to maximize the feasible airspace available to its full potential.

The second reason for the S@S programme is the environmental sustainability considerations. The programme is trying to inculcate and foster a culture by separating recyclables so that materials could be recycled and or re-used as far as it is possible.

Furthermore, also linked to the above is to use waste as a resource for poverty alleviation and to create job opportunities through selling of recyclables to recycling and organic waste processing industries. Buyback centres have been established in many parts of Joburg to support this initiative in the effort to enable households to sell their recyclables without having to travel long distance. Over and above the existing buyback centres, the City through Pikitup is



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

progressively upgrading the traditional garden waste disposal sites into integrated waste disposal facilities to enhance waste minimisation efforts.

Pikitup initiated the separation at source pilot project in the Waterval Depot area (Phase 1). The project started in November 2009 and officially ended in October 2010. The pilot project targeted 35 000 households resulting in voluntary participation rate of 20%. Pikitup decided to continue with the project in Waterval area and planned to extend this initiatives city wide with the emphasis to empower poorer communities. The roll out was planned to be completed throughout the City by 2017.


S@S is implemented in the following depot area:

- I. Waterval (2009)
- II. Zondi (2012-2013)
- III. Diepsloot (2012-2013)
- IV. Orange Farm (2012-2013)
- V. Southdale (2013-2014)
- VI. Marlboro (2013-2014)
- VII. Central Camp(2013-2014)
- VIII. Avalon(Lenasia) (2017)
- IX. Midrand (2017)
- X. Norwood(2017)

S@S is not implemented in the following depot areas:

1. Roodeport
2. Randburg
3. Selby

From the 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018 S@S was made compulsory (supported by Section 22 of COJ Waste Management By-laws 2013) for residents to separate their waste in the affected areas where S@S already rolled out. Provincial gazette published on 12<sup>th</sup> October 2018. There are no penalties applicable at this stage.



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**


### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

Pikitup role is to assist and support the buyback centres (Cooperatives) in the following areas:

- I. Marketing, communication, education and awareness
- II. Facilitation of Memorandum of Understanding (MoU)
- III. Provision of recycling bags
- IV. Provision of caged vehicles with Driver and Team Leader
- V. Construction of Buyback Centres/ Sorting facilities
- VI. Capacitation of Cooperatives/NPOs
- VII. Collection and disposal of residual waste
- VIII. Facilitation of Environmental Impact Assessment

It is worth noting that the programme is still being implemented in the City of Joburg, and in the current financial year the entity planned to have 50% participation rate in targeted areas where separation at source is implemented.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the programme is still in the implementation phase which will then also influence the type of evaluation to be conducted. It is, therefore, proposed that the process evaluation (formative) be conducted in order to provide the senior management with feedback loop on the implementation processes. This will look at the programme to ascertain if programme fidelities, activities and short-term outputs are in line with the plan.

Pikitup's annual reports for 2012/13 and 2013/14 on the performance of the programme shows that it did not attain the set participation rate targets. In 2012/13 separation at Source overall participation rate increased from 7% to 17% at the end of the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter. The target of 30% was not achieved, but it is considered an improvement as the education and awareness programmes has showed impact and more residents are participating. In 2013/14 separation at source has been implemented in 8 depots and the focus was to ensure increased participation by residents from 17% to 50%, through education and awareness activities. This increase in participation rate is assumed that it will result in increased tonnages of waste diverted from landfills. To date 339 jobs and 20 cooperatives were created through the Separation at Source programme. This excludes the 74 jobs created by Entrepreneurs at the drop off garden sites.



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

The separation at source project is part of the Pikitup's overall strategy to reduce waste to landfills, find solutions around converting waste to energy, and establishing a recycling economy through separation at source and methods of reducing, reusing and recycling waste. The separation at source project is a central project to enable Pikitup to transform its business model by 2016. The targets for the separation at source programme must therefore be tracked and realized as part of this roadmap implementation process. The separation at source project has committed that by 2016 every household in CoJ will have the receptacles and tools for separating their waste and this will be mandatory. A public announcement was done in the latter part of the fourth quarter of the preceding operational period (2017/18) for implementation of mandatory separation at source by 01 July 2018.


The timelines and targets for this programme are as follows:

- I. Short term intervention (ending in June 2014)  
Extend separation at source to additional areas such as Randburg, Southdale, Marlboro and Central Camp. Re-commission the Robinson Deep MRF. Upgrade 10 additional garden sites to accept recyclable waste.
- II. Medium term (ending in June 2015)  
  
Extend separation at source to lower income and informal areas. Extend the Waterval Separation at source model to more formal areas. Re-commission the Robinson Deep MRF. Upgrade all garden sites to receive recyclables. Upgrade four garden sites to centres of excellence. Upgrade all remaining garden site to accept recyclable waste.
- III. Long term (Next five Year)  
Extend separation at source to all Pikitup depot areas. Construct MRF at Linbro Park transfer station. Upgrade four garden sites to centres of excellence.

### **3. OBJECTIVE OF THE EVALUATION**

The objective of the evaluation is to assess how the City has been implementing the S@S programme, the results (achieved) and how it can be strengthened improved based on the learnings. In the process, the evaluation must achieve the following;

- I. assess the implementation of the S@S against its approved strategic outcomes;



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

- II. establish and document positive outcomes of the programme's implementation S@S best practice;
- III. identify challenges of the programme implementation;
- IV. develop a logic model and measurable results framework for S@S programme, including key outcome indicators.
- V. Provide findings and actionable recommendations useful to review the waste management strategy, policy and framework going forward.


As part of this process, the evaluation should also assist the City to enhance its understanding of the correct value chain of waste management as well as appropriate ways to measure and track such a programme.

#### **4. SCOPE OF WORK**

##### **4.1 Key evaluative questions**

The evaluator need to answer the following questions:

1. Is the S@S programme implementation working? (If not why not)
  - a. Describe the programme implementation during the period outlined in the scope?
  - b. To what extent is the programme being implemented as planned and what type of implementation is actually being delivered?
  - c. Was the S@S programme implemented the same way across multiple sites (standardisation)?
2. Evaluate the appropriateness of the S@S programme implementation (was the programme implemented as designed and planned). Is it implemented in line with the intended goals and vision of the strategy from a City strategic perspective?
  - a. Is it designed appropriately for the achievement of its institutional objectives and to what extent is the programme objective appropriate for the long-term strategic outcomes of the City?




## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

3. To what extent did the S@S programme adequately cover (Coverage) the intended beneficiaries/population (areas)?
4. Did the intended beneficiaries of the S@S programme receive the minimum tools/support to carry out the intervention?
5. What are the S@S programme's strengths and weakness? (Identify constraints in relation to the proper implementation, eg use of resources, activities, operational mechanisms that may limit/support the achievement of the intended outputs (to achieve the results/outcomes eventually).
6. Is the S@S programme being implemented in a coherent/co-ordinated manner? What has been the success of the implementation of the S@S Programme? What challenges have been experienced in implementing Waste Minimisation Strategy?
7. What recommendations can be made for the improvement of the implementation of the S@S programme?
8. Develop the programme theory /theory of change for the S@S programme and other waste programme.

#### **4.2 Intended users and stakeholders of the evaluation**

<b>User Stakeholder</b>	<b>Likely Use of the Evaluation</b>
Mayoral Committee	To determine contribution of S@S programme to the City's strategic direction.
Executive Management Team	Operationalisation S@S programme.
City Treasury	To prioritise funding and support for the intervention
City Departments and entities	To have a better understanding of the S@S programme and the establishment of interdependencies.
Pikitup and EISD	To improve design, implementation and performance of the intervention.
GSPCR	The evaluation findings should provide information on the successes and gaps of the current programme design and



## TERMS OF REFERENCE


### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

	implementation model. This will enable GSPCR to research best possible policy direction and how the programme could be strengthened.
Academic institutions, researchers	Continuing research, develop and design effective methods for implementation and outcome evaluations to engage in strengthening government support initiatives, promote activities within the community of scholarship, and learn from each other's evaluations.
Waste Pickers	Improve the day to day execution of activities
Strategic partners (Existing bus and taxi operators, private sector, NGOs etc.)	Have better understanding of the support packages and opportunities for collaboration available within S@S programme.
Communities, Households and Buy back centres	Have better understanding on the use of S@S, environmental sustainability, cleanliness and awareness.

#### 4.3 Expectations from the evaluation

In the process of answering the evaluation questions above, the evaluation must

- I. Document the impact of the City's implementation of the S@S programme;
- II. Document the outcome of the implementation of the programme towards stated IDP objectives and long-term outcomes;
- III. Document the successful instances of the implementation of the S@S programme strategy;
- IV. Identify weaknesses and challenges in the implementation of the S@S programme;
- V. Develop and recommend a logic model and results framework with key indicators for the S@S programme;
- VI. Make a determination of the value-for-money on the S@S programme; and
- VII. Recommend measures to improve or consolidate implementation of the programme.



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

#### **4.4 Evaluation Design and Methodology**

##### **4.4.1 Overview**

The evaluation design details the service provider's systematic plan including an analytical framework, to undertake the evaluation, the type of evaluation in this case is implementation, the methodological approach and specific methodology to be employed including, data collection methods and a data analysis plan. The methodology should include qualitative and quantitative data collection and analysis. The final methodology will be a result of discussion between the City and the successful applicant. The literature review should include comparison with international best practice relating to S@S programmes.

The process evaluation methodology should provide clear evidence of the link between programme results and the model of programme delivery. The evidence should be reliable enough to lead to confident recommendations on programme design to improve effectiveness, incorporating evidence from the benchmarking and cost effectiveness analyses. Evaluation teams are expected to suggest a suitable design and methodology in their proposal, and further develop it during the inception phase with focus on the following:


- Relevance of the design
- Coherence
- Effectiveness
- Efficiency
- Sustainability

##### **4.4.2 Sampling**

The sample will consist of households, waste pickers and buy back centres that have participated in the programme. The households and other participants to be used for the evaluation should be selected from a comprehensive list of all participants to be provided by Pikitup. Suitable service provider will be engaged and be advised by the City in determining sampling techniques and sample sizes.

##### **4.4.3 Document Analysis**

The evaluation must undertake a thorough review of all relevant City strategic plans and strategy implementation and programme administrative records. The service provider should analyse documents on the intervention, draw conclusions as well as formulate recommendations where appropriate. Sources or documents to be analysed will include, but will



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

not be limited to; the city's long-term strategy; CoJ Monitoring and Evaluation Framework, Integrated Development Plans (IDP); Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plans (SDBIPs); quarterly performance reports; Annual performance reports; Programme monitoring reports; etc.

#### **4.4.4 Data collection and analysis**

Data should be collected using multiple relevant methods including interviews, focus groups, questionnaires, etc. as required. Data triangulation of both quantitative and qualitative data should be used to validate the analysis and subsequent recommendations.

#### **4.4.5 Site visits and interviews**

The service provider will be expected to undertake site visits where projects directly linked to the S@S programme within the different regions and communities of the City for purposes of data collection and analysis of data on the success or otherwise of the intervention, drawing of conclusions and formulating recommendations where appropriate.

#### **4.4.6 Financial analysis**


The service provider should conduct a basic value for money analysis to assess the value of the financial cost of implementing the S@S programme noting its implementation within a public sector environment.

## **5. PROJECT DELIVERABLES**

### **Products/deliverables expected from the evaluation**

The deliverables include the following:

5.1 **Inception Report** by the service provider as a follow-up to the proposal with a revised evaluation plan, overall evaluation design and detailed methodology, including an analytical



## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

framework, and content structure for the final report. This forms the basis for judging the effective implementation of the intervention;

- I. Theory of change for the programme as it is currently operating and outline log frame - based on a discussion with S@S and its relevant stakeholders;
- II. Literature review and consultation (including comparisons with the strategy and practice) of similar, leading programmes of the world, undertaken in countries of similar socio-economic context such as Mexico, Brazil, China
- III. Comprehensive report and final data collection instruments and other tools;
- IV. Field work report;
- V. Case study report;
- VI. Results framework with relevant indicators for S@S programme


**5.2 Draft evaluation report** for review with findings, recommendations and proposed revised theory of change and log frame.

- I. A meeting with Pikitup/ EISD and relevant stakeholders to discuss the draft report and recommendations;
- II. A presentation of the 1<sup>st</sup> draft report to Pikitup/EISD management and GSPCR
- III. Final presentation to Executive Management Team (EMT) and Evaluation Technical Working Committee (Physical).
- IV. Submission of the 1st draft evaluation report, both full and in 1/5/25 format – in Word, PDF, Audio and presentation format.
- V. Photographs from the field visits and households indicating the activities of the programme/project visited.

**5.3 Final evaluation report** with findings, recommendations and proposed revised theory of change and log frame.

- Executive Summary
- Develop Final Evaluation Report
- Develop Final Evaluation Presentation

NB. Deliverables will be subject to peer review and post evaluation quality assessment process.



## TERMS OF REFERENCE


### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

#### 6. PROJECT PLAN AND TIMEFRAMES

The duration of the evaluation will be 6 months from the date of appointment of the service provider. The evaluation will start in January 2023 and should be completed by end June 2023. The service provider should produce an implementation plan indicating the milestones against the deliverables

<b>Deliverable</b>	<b>Indicative Milestone</b>
Inception meeting with the selected service provider	January 2023
Inception report submitted (including capacity development plan)	February 2023
Approval of inception report and service provider contact signed	February 2023
Theory of Change meeting	February 2023
Literature review with comparisons	February 2023
Approved report structure, analysis plan, final data collection instruments, and other tools	March 2023
Draft theory of change submitted for the programme as currently operating	March 2023
Submission of fieldwork and case study reports	March 2023
Meeting with Pikitup/EISD Programme Management	March 2023
Submission of the first draft full report	March 2023
Service provider presentation and stakeholder workshop to discuss 1st draft report	March 2023
Service provider presentation to GSPCR and Pikitup/EISD Management	March 2023
Submission of the 1st draft final full and 1/5/25 reports including proposed/revised theory of change and log-frame	May 2023
Approval of the 1st draft final full and 1/5/25 reports including proposed / revised theory of change and log-frame	May 2023
Submission of the final full and 1/5/25 reports	May 2023
Approval of the first full and 1/5/25 reports	May 2023
Service provider presentation to City EMT	June 2023
Project close out meeting and handover of all datasets and survey documentation, PowerPoint presentation, photographs etc.	June 2023

**NB: Compliance with these timeframes is a priority.**




## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

#### 7. PRICING SCHEDULE

Service providers are required to provide a complete cost breakdown in terms of deliverables and services that will be provided. **Please note that there shall be no upfront payment.**

Deliverable	Price excl VAT (hourly rate)	Total hours required	Total Price excl VAT
Review and analyse the key background documentation and other research undertaken in relation to the approved topics as identified by CoJ			
Development of data collection tools (Interview schedules, questionnaires, etc (to be signed off by CoJ))			
Conducting interviews <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hourly rate x No. of interviews</li> <li>• Number of interviews conducted with identified stakeholders,</li> <li>• Interview Transcript</li> <li>• Data capturing</li> <li>• Data analysis</li> </ul>			
Conducting focus groups <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hourly rate x No. of focus groups conducted</li> <li>• Data capturing</li> <li>• Data analysis</li> </ul>			
Administering questionnaires: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hourly rate x no. of questionnaires administered</li> <li>• Data capturing</li> <li>• Data analysis</li> </ul>			
Inception Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1/5/25 format – in Electronic</li> </ul>			



## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG


<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Word, PDF, and power point presentation format</li> </ul>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Evaluation Report</li> <li>• 1/5/25 format – in Electronic Word, PDF, and power point presentation format</li> </ul>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final Evaluation Report</li> <li>• Presentation to EMT</li> <li>• Presentation Technical Working Committee (Sustainable Service)</li> <li>• 1/5/25 format – in Electronic Word, PDF, and power point presentation format</li> </ul>			

#### 8. PROJECT CHAMPIONING

The project will be championed through the Director: Monitoring and Evaluation or his nominee and appropriate project leadership and support will be provided until the closing out of the project.

#### 9. REPORTING & PROJECT CLOSURE

Meetings will be held between the service provider and CoJ as and when required until project completion in a case whereby the service provider seek clarification. There will be inception meetings and then regular meetings with the Unit Head/ Nominee in the City of Johannesburg.



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**


#### **10. RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS**

The proposal should include:

- a) Profile of the institution demonstrating the team staff responsibilities specifically for this project;
- b) Form of bid fully completed;
- c) Pricing Schedule;
- d) Project plan;
- e) Curriculum vitae of project team specially allocated for this project;
- f) Certified copies of qualifications of project team and team leader;
- g) Completed and signed Declaration of state of municipal account;
- h) Central Suppliers Database (CSD) report or MAAA number;
- i) Valid one-time pin (OTP) for tender purposes from SARS;
- j) Municipal rates and taxes for company and all directors not in arrears for more than 90 days or affidavit or lease agreement not older than three (3) months (if in arrears must provide proof of acknowledgement to pay the account with the relevant Municipal Revenue Department);
- k) Original Valid B-BBEE accreditation certificate or certified copy issued by verification agencies accredited by SANAS; In the case of Exempted Micro Enterprises (EME's) and Qualifying Small Enterprises (QSEs), a sworn affidavit issued by the SAPS or Commissioner of Oath (A consolidated B-BBEE certificate must be provided for Joint Venture);
- l) Completed and signed MBD forms 1, 3.3, 4, 6.1, 8 and 9;
- m) Minimum of one (1) written and duly signed testimonials on referee letterhead outlining the similar projects previously undertaken.

#### **11. DIS- QUALIFYING CRITERIA (SCM to provide updated information)**

- 11.1 Form of bid fully completed;
- 11.2 Failure to submit letters that support alterations in the pricing schedule



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

#### **12. CONDITIONS OF AWARD**

Notwithstanding the suppliers' proposal being recommended for award, an award shall not be made to:

- a) A supplier whose tax matters are not in order, as confirmed in terms of the National Treasury's Centralised Supplier Database (CSD) and the SARS.
- b) Municipal Rates and Taxes of the bidder and that of its Directors is in arrears for more than 90 days and there are no arrangements made with the relevant Municipality.
- c) Directors and Principal members are in the Service of the State as defined in the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations.
- d) Name of the bidder or that of its directors appear on the National Treasury's database of Restricted Suppliers.

#### **13. MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS**

13.1 The project leader team must have the following:

- 13.1.1 One successfully completed project of similar project of similar nature
- 13.1.2 Bachelor Degree in Environmental Management or Social Sciences
- 13.1.3 Minimum 5 years' experience executing similar work.

13.2 Project members must have the following:

13.2.1 National Diploma in Social Sciences/ Policy Development and Management/Development Studies/Environmental Management/Monitoring and Evaluation


13.3 Minimum company experience of 5 years in conducting Evaluations.

#### **13. EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Proposals will initially be evaluated in terms of functionality and then in respect of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act using the 80:20 points system.

Only Proposals who score minimum of 65 points or more on functionality will be able to proceed to be further evaluated in terms of the price competitiveness.


Proposals will be evaluated in terms of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act No.2000 using the 80:20 points system of the revised Preferential Procurement Regulations 2017. Further evaluation will be based on the bidder's level of B-BBEE contribution.



## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG


Criteria	Guidelines	Points
1. Methodology	<p>An elaborate Project Plan outlining the process, activities, timeframes and how the methodology will meet project deadline to achieve this project</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No project implementation plan/or incomplete project plan = 0</li> <li>• Project implementation plan, with processes and activities aligned with timelines = 5 points</li> </ul>	05
2. Research	Demonstrate how the evaluation will be applied in line with the CoJ Monitoring and Evaluation Framework, Principles; Standards and Best Practice.	05
3. Track record	<p>Demonstrate relevant track record of conducting Evaluations by submitting duly signed testimonials on referee letterhead outlining the similar projects previously undertaken:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No similar project = 0</li> <li>• One similar project = 5</li> <li>• Two similar projects = 10</li> <li>• Three or more similar projects = 15</li> </ul>	15
4. Qualifications	<p>4.1 Service provider must demonstrate that the project leader (specified project leader) has the Bachelor Degree in Environmental Management/Social Sciences to execute the project by submitting qualifications and CV of project team leader with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Less than a bachelor's degree = 0</li> <li>• Bachelor's Degree or BTech = 5</li> <li>• Postgraduate qualification = 10</li> </ul>	10
	<p>4.2 Service provider must demonstrate that the project team has the requisite qualification(s) in any one of the following: Social Sciences/ Policy Development and Management/Development Studies/Monitoring and Evaluation to execute the project by submitting qualifications and CV of project team leader with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Less than a Diploma or no tertiary qualification= 0</li> </ul>	15



## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

Criteria	Guidelines	Points
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National Diploma = 5</li> <li>• Bachelor’s Degree or BTech= 10</li> <li>• Post graduate qualification = 15</li> </ul>	
5. Experience	5.1 Service provider must demonstrate that project leader has the minimum required experience in conducting Programme Evaluations/Policy/Development & Management/ Environment Management: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Less than 3 years = 0</li> <li>• 3 years = 10</li> <li>• 3 - 5 years = 15</li> <li>• 5 and more years = 20</li> </ul>	20
	5.2 Service provider must demonstrate that project team has the minimum required experience in conducting Policy and Evaluations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two staff members with similar experience of 3 years = 10</li> <li>• Three to five members with similar experience of 2 - 5 years = 15</li> <li>• More than three members with similar experience of 5 and more years = 15</li> </ul>	15
	5.3 Service provider must demonstrate that the company has the minimum required experience in conducting Evaluations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 years = 5</li> <li>• 3 - 5 years =10</li> <li>• 5 and more years=15</li> </ul>	15
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100</b>
<b>THRESHOLD</b>		<b>65</b>



## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

A bid that scores less than 65 points out of 100 allocated for functionality shall not be further considered.


#### 14. PRICE AND BBBEE CRITERIA

The bid will be further evaluated in terms of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act using 80:20 systems, 80 points will be awarded for price and 20 for BBBEE based on the table below:

Valid BBBEE Verification Certificate		Points Allocation
B-BBEE Status Level of Contributor	Number of Points	20
1	20	
2	18	
3	14	
4	12	
5	8	
6	6	
7	4	
8	2	
Non-Contributor	0	
Total		100
Point Category		Points allocation
Pricing		80
Valid BBBEE Certification or an original certified copy of BBBEE certificate		20
Total		100

#### 15. Advert, non-compulsory briefing and closing dates

Advertisement date: TBC  
 Non-compulsory briefing date: TBC  
 Time for non-compulsory briefing: TBC  
 Briefing venue: TBC  
 Closing date: TBC



## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG

#### 16. CONTRACTING ARRANGEMENTS

The successful service provider will enter into a service level agreement with the City of Johannesburg and is expected to liaise and report to the City of Johannesburg.

#### 17. ENQUIRIES

On the scope of work please contact Hlulani Chauke, City of Johannesburg, Group Strategy, Policy Coordination and Relations at [HlulaniC@joburg.org.za](mailto:HlulaniC@joburg.org.za).

#### 18. GENERAL CONDITIONS

Copyright of information obtained through the course of the study is the property of the City of Johannesburg and may not be sold or re-produced by the service provider without the prior permission of the City of Johannesburg.

#### Approved / Not Approved


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Pheagane Ruben Ramaila", written over a horizontal line.

**Pheagane Ruben Ramaila**  
**Deputy Director Monitoring and Evaluation**  
**Date:** 07 November 2022

#### Approved / ~~Not Approved~~

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Zayd Ebrahim", written over a horizontal line.

**Zayd Ebrahim**  
**Group Head: GSPCR**



## **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### **EVALUATION OF SEPERATION AT SOURCE PROGRAMME FOR CITY OF JOHANNESBURG**

**Date:** 07 November 2022