

STRATEGY

GROOTVLEI

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation**

Strategy for Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Unique Identifier:

GVL/ 0624

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: Engineering

Documentation Type: STRATEGY

Revision: 2

Total Pages: 13

Next Review Date: As required

Disclosure Classification: CONTROLLED

DISCLOSURE

Revision: 2

Page: 2 of 13

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	
2.2.1 Normative	
2.2.2 Informative	
2.3 DEFINITIONS	
2.3.1 Classification	
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATIONSTRATEGY	
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS ERROR! BOOKMA	ARK NOT DEFINED.
3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	
3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	
3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	
3.5.1 Risks	
3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions	12
4. AUTHORISATION	
5. REVISIONS	13
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	13
7 ACKNOWI FDGFMFNTS	13

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Limited, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

Unique Identifier: GVL/ 0624

Revision: 2

Page: 3 of 13

1. INTRODUCTION

Grootvlei Power Station is situated close to the town of Balfour in Mpumalanga province. The Power Station consists of six units with a capacity of 200 MW each and the total installed capacity is 1200 MW. The first of six units was commissioned in 1969. Three of the units were mothballed in 1989 and the rest in 1990. Grootvlei was one of the power stations being recommissioned in the years between 2005 and 2010. The return to service of the first unit occurred during 2007 and all six units were recommissioned during 2010. The power station infrastructure is currently about 61 years old.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The tender technical evaluation strategy is for the provision of water supply and reticulation for the Grootvlei Agriculture Facility, where the works are to be performed by the *Contractor*.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to Grootvlei Power Station.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] GVL 0654: Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Scope of Work

2.2.2 Informative

N/A

Revision: 2

Page: 4 of 13

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board
ECSA	Engineering Council of South Africa
SACPCMP	South African Council for the Project and Construction Management Professions
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted or point scored but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether or not the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and the tenderer shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

Revision: 2

Page: 5 of 13

Table 1: Scoring Method

SCORE	PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	
5	100	Meet technical requirement(s)/AND; No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.	
4	80	Meet technical requirement(s) with; Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions.	
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions.	
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE	

Table 2: Evaluation Scores

Technical (100%)	
Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation)	100%
TOTAL (100%)	
Overall minimum threshold for qualification (70%)	
Pre-tender Award Criteria - It is estimated that tenderers must have a cidb contractor grading designation of 4CE or 3CE PE or higher	

2

Revision:

Page: 6 of 13

3.2 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1	Submission of CV and Qualification of Professionally Registered Engineer with ECSA (Pr Eng / Pr Tech) involved in the works	GVL 0654: Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Scope of Work.	Planning and execution of the works requires safe and functional designs to eliminate or minimise potential system failures and the most cost-effective solution.
2	Submission of CV and Qualification of SACPCMP professionally registered Construction Manager involved in the works	GVL 0654: Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Scope of Work.	Nature of the works requires planning and integration of various resources. Time constraints must be adhered to ensure the timely completion of the project.

Unique Identifier: GVL/ 0624

Revision: 2

Page: 7 of 13

QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Weight (%)
1	Method Statement for the entire works clearly demonstrating understanding of and compliance with the full scope as detailed in the Scope of Works.	GVL 0654: Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Scope	35
	Scoring Criteria:	of Work.	
	Technical proposal details fully how scope will be met and provides comprehensive methodology of approach in line with all the scope requirements = 5;		
	Technical proposal describes how scope will be met and provides methodology of approach without 1 of either Constraints Identified, Health and Safety Considerations, List of Equipment and Tools, Constructability Analysis, Quality Control Plan, Responsibilities of Contractors' Personnel = 4;		
	Technical proposal provided without 2 or more of either Constraints Identified, Health and Safety Considerations, List of Equipment and Tools, Constructability Analysis, Quality Control Plan, Responsibilities of Contractors' Personnel = 2;		
	No technical proposal/method statement submitted = 0		

Unique Identifier: GVL/ 0624

2

Revision:

Page: 8 of 13

		Number of similar projects previously undertaken in the last 5 years - Provide proof of projects in the form of completion certificates or references.	GVL 0654: Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Scope of Work	20	
		Note: Tenderer provides a list of verifiable relevant references (As a minimum reference list must contain project name, brief project description, valid contact details, start & end dates of project, project value).			
2	2	Scoring criteria			l
		5 or more completion certificates/references submitted = 5			l
		3-4 completion certificates/references = 4			l
		1-2 completion certificates/references = 2			l
		0 completion certificates/references submitted = 0			l
					l

Unique Identifier: GVL/ 0624

Revision: 2

Page: 9 of 13

Organogram highlighting structure to be utilised for this contract with the minimum GVL 0654: Grootylei Climate Smart 25 required resources as stipulated in the contract. Contractor is to submit qualifications for Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Scope each resource stipulated in the organogram together with their CV's. of Work. An organogram without the below resources will be treated as non-responsive: 1. Construction Manager (SACPCMP Professionally Registered). 2. Project Engineer (Pr Eng or Pr Tech). 3. Safety Officer (Minimum 3 Year Diploma in Safety) 3 Scoring criteria Organogram provided with all resources CV's and Qualifications for executing the works = 5 Organogram with CV's and Qualifications provided but not for all the identified resources listed in the organogram = 4 Organogram provided without either one of CV's or Qualifications for the resources listed = 2Organogram provided without the critical resources stipulated above/No Organogram provided = 0

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Unique Identifier:

2

10 of 13

GVL/ 0624

Revision:

Page:

4	contract. Risk Assessment environmental ris Risk Assessment	comprehensive and in line with method statement (detailing ks, safety risks, mitigation measures and risk rating) = 5 provided with neither 1 of environmental risks, safety risks, mitigation rating = 4	GVL 0654: Grootvlei Climate Smart Horticulture Center (Water Reticulation) Scope of Work.	20
	Baseline Risk As	sessment provided or Risk Assessment provided without neither 2 of ks, safety risks, mitigation measures and risk rating = 2		
		TOTAL		100

Revision: 2

Page: 11 of 13

3.3 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1	X	X	Х
2	Х	X	Х
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1	X	X	Х
2	Х	X	Х
3	Х	X	Х
4	Х	X	Х

3.4 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.4.1 Risks

Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Unique Identifier: GVL/ 0624

Revision: 2

Page: **12 of 13**

Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Use of contractor with a CIDB grading not meeting 4CE or 3CE PE as stipulated.
2.	Method Statement which does not detail the health and safety aspect of the works.

3.4.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Cooling Tower 2 Structural Repairs

Unique Identifier: GVL/0563

Revision:

Page: **13 of 13**

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted.

- 5. REVISIONS
- **6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM**
- 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
 - None