



**From: The National Radioactive Waste Disposal Institute**

<b>Request for Quotation No:</b>	<b>NRWDI/BRD/2026-01</b>
<b>RFQ Closing Date:</b>	<b>06 May 2026</b>
<b>RFQ Closing Time:</b>	<b>11:00</b>
<b>PROVISION OF NRWDI BOARD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION</b>	

**NAME OF BUSINESS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**CONTACT NAME:** \_\_\_\_\_

**CONTACT NUMBER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**CSD NO:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Email Address** \_\_\_\_\_

**ISO CERTIFICATION**

YES  NO

**QUOTATION VALIDITY PERIOD:** 60 Working Days from closing date.

**DELIVERY INCLUDED:**

YES  NO

Dear Sir/Madam

Please provide a quotation for the following items as per the specification below.

Prices must be firm and indicate all amounts excluding VAT.

VAT must be included as a separate line item.

## 1. SCOPE OF WORK

- 1.1 Section 5.1.7.1 of the Protocol on Corporate Governance in the Public Sector requires that the Board of a State-Owned Entity performs two assessments of the performance of its Board on an annual basis. The first assessment is due for submission to the Minister of Electricity and Energy on 31 May each.

NRWDI seeks to appoint a service provider to evaluate its Board Members as follows:

SCOPE OF WORK		
Activity	Description	Duration
<b>1</b>	<b>Preliminary and Preparatory Processes</b>	
1A	Initial briefing meeting with Company Secretary	2 hours
1B	Review of NRWDI information / documentation provided by Company Secretary	5 hours



1C	Compilation and submission of a detailed project plan and activity schedule	2 hours
1D	Introductory meeting with the Board Chairperson and Company Secretary to discuss detailed project plan and activity schedule	2 hours
<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>11 hours</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Execution of the Board Performance Evaluation</b>	
2A	Development and distribution of the Board member evaluation questionnaire	3 hours
2B	<p>Assessment of key metrics, based on results of questionnaire, including, but not limited to:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Assessment of the overall effectiveness of the Board in executing its mandate, functions and responsibilities;</li> <li>ii) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Board Committees;</li> <li>iii) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Board Chairperson;</li> <li>iv) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Committee Chairpersons;</li> <li>v) Assessment of the relationship between the Board Chairperson and the CEO;</li> <li>vi) Assessment of the relationship between the Board, its CEO and its Executives;</li> <li>vii) Assessment of the optimal mix of skills required on the NRWDI Board, and development of a guiding skills matrix for the shareholder to consider for future Board appointments;</li> <li>viii) Assessment of the relationship between NRWDI (<i>as represented by the Board</i>);</li> <li>ix) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Company Secretariat function, its ability to fully support the Board and guide Exco; and</li> <li>x) Conduct a gap analysis of organizational compliance with King IV.</li> </ul>	48 hours
2C	Development of 1 <sup>st</sup> draft of the Board Performance Evaluation Report	6 hours
2D	Discussion of 1 <sup>st</sup> draft report with Board Chairperson and Company Secretary	2 hours
2E	Face-to-face interviews with 4 select Board members, based on questionnaire responses	4 hours
<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>66 hours</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Feedback and Reporting</b>	
3A	Presentation of the final draft report to the NRWDI Board	3 hours
3B	Submission of final report	
<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>3 hours</b>

It is envisaged that a total of approximately 80 hours would be required to complete the entire Board Performance Evaluation process, including the development and submission of a final report.



**Deliverables**

It is expected that the service provider will produce a consolidated and comprehensive Board Performance Evaluation Report, in alignment with the scope of work articulated above. The Report must address articulate any areas of concern that have been identified in the process, and provide clear recommendations on how these can be holistically addressed.

**Service Provider’s Responsibilities**

The service provider will sign a Service Level Agreement with NRWDI which will ensure that their conduct during the project is consistent with the legal expectations of the organization.

**Activity Schedule**

The service provider will submit a project plan containing line-item budgeting, with key milestones clearly indicated, as part of the tender submission.

**Timelines**


The service provider will have a period of 4 weeks to complete the evaluation process and make the final approved report available to NRWDI.


**2. SPECIFICATION/PRICING TABLE**

No.	Description	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total
1A	Initial briefing meeting with the Company Secretary	2 hours	R	R
1B	Review of NRWDI information / documentation provided by Company Secretary	5 hours	R	R
1C	Compilation and submission of a detailed project plan and activity schedule	2 hours	R	R
1D	Introductory meeting with the Board Chairperson and Company Secretary to discuss detailed project plan and activity schedule	2 hours	R	R
2A	Development and distribution of the Board member evaluation questionnaire	3 hours	R	R
2B	Assessment of key metrics, based on results of questionnaire, including, but not limited to: - i) Assessment of the overall effectiveness of the Board in executing its mandate, functions and responsibilities; ii) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Board Committees; iii) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Board Chairperson; iv) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Committee Chairpersons; v) Assessment of the relationship	48 hours	R	R



	<p>between the Board Chairperson and the CEO;</p> <p>vi) Assessment of the relationship between the Board, its CEO and its Executives;</p> <p>vii) Assessment of the optimal mix of skills required on the NRWDI Board, and development of a guiding skills matrix for the shareholder to consider for future Board appointments;</p> <p>viii) Assessment of the relationship between NRWDI (<i>as represented by the Board</i>);</p> <p>ix) Assessment of the effectiveness of the Company Secretariat function, its ability to fully support the Board and guide Exco; and</p> <p>x) Conduct a gap analysis of organizational compliance with King IV.</p>			
2C	Development of 1 <sup>st</sup> draft of the Board Performance Evaluation Report	6 hours	R	R
2D	Discussion of 1 <sup>st</sup> draft report with Board Chairperson and Acting Company Secretary	2 hours	R	R
2E	Face-to-face interviews with 4 select Board members, based on questionnaire responses	4 hours	R	R
3A	Presentation of the final draft report to the NRWDI Board	3 hours	R	R
3B	Submission of final report			
Sub Total				R
VAT				R
<b>Total Price</b>				<b>R</b>

	REQUEST FOR QUOTATION	Doc. No	
		Revision	
		Page	3 of 16

	REQUEST FOR QUOTATION	Doc. No	
		Revision	
		Page	2 of 11

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

### **1. Phase 1: Mandatory Requirement (At RFQ Closing Date)**

- i. Service providers who fail to quote fully or according to the specification and scope of work will be disqualified.
- ii. Quotations submitted after the RFQ closing date and time will be disqualified.
- iii. Quotations that are not sent through the procurement central email will not be considered for evaluation.

**Failure to comply to the above mandatory requirements will disqualify your quotation.**

NB: Please ensure quotation proposal complies as follows:

Mandatory Requirements Description	Please mark under Yes/No to ensure compliance with the RFQ	
	Yes	No
Have you quoted fully or according to the specification / price table and scope of work? Refer from page 1 to 2.		
Will the quotation be sent before the closing time and date of the RFQ and to the correct email address?		

### **2. PHASE 2: FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION**

The quotation proposals that will comply to the mandatory requirements (at closing stage) will be evaluated on functionality. It is the responsibility of the bidder to understand the scoring system and factor that system into the organization and content of its bid submission.

N.B. The minimum qualifying score for functionality in this bid is 70 points. Service Providers who fail to meet the minimum qualifying score of 70 points will be eliminated and not considered for further evaluation on price and specific goals.

The functionality evaluation criteria will be as follows:

Criteria	Sub-criteria	Sub-weight	Weight
Experience	Provide testimony letter/contactable references of similar work done in the past.		40
	1 to 2 testimony/reference letters with contacts	10	
	3 to 5 testimony / reference letters with Contacts	20	
	5 and above testimony/reference letters with contacts	40	
Approach / Methodology	Demonstrate detailed approach, methodology and process to be adopted in the project in accordance with the required scope of work		30
	Preliminary and Preparatory Processes	5	
	Execution of the Board Performance Evaluation	10	



	Feedback and Reporting	30	
Capacity and available resources	The service provider must detail the structure of the project team and the role of each member.		30
	LLB qualifications and CVs for each team member	10	
	LLM in Company Law qualification and CV for team leader. CVs and LLB qualifications for other team members	25	
	PhD in Company Law and CV for Team leader. CVs and LLB/ LLM in Company Law for other team Members	30	
Total			100

### 3. Price and Specific Goals Evaluation

- i. The 80/20 preference point system will be applied to evaluate the received quotations.
- ii. Price will be evaluated on 80 points and 20 points will be allocated to specific goals as illustrated on SBD 6.

### 4. Mandatory Requirements (at Award Stage)

- i. Non tax compliant Bidders will not be awarded the RFQ.
- ii. Bidders listed in the Register of Restricted Suppliers or in the Tender Defaulters Register from National Treasury will be disqualified.

**Failure to comply to the above mandatory requirements will disqualify your quotation.**

**NB: Please ensure quotation proposal complies as follows:**

Mandatory Requirements Description	Please mark under Yes/No to ensure compliance with the RFQ	
	Yes	No
Are your tax matters in order or compliant?		
Is your business listed on the Register of Restricted Suppliers or on the Tender's Defaulters Register?		

### For any clarification

regarding this matter, please contact Moses Shandukani at the email address:

[Moses.Shandukani@nrwdi.org.za](mailto:Moses.Shandukani@nrwdi.org.za) or Manqoba Simelane on 072 693 0381.

**Email the quotation** to: [procurement@nrwdi.org.za](mailto:procurement@nrwdi.org.za)

### IMPORTANT:

OPEN



1. Please take note of the different email addresses for clarifications and for submission of your quote. Only quotes submitted to [procurement@nrwdi.org.za](mailto:procurement@nrwdi.org.za) will be considered for further evaluation.
2. Quotes must be emailed to: [procurement@nrwdi.org.za](mailto:procurement@nrwdi.org.za) before the closing deadline. Any quotation received after the deadline will not be considered.
3. Orders above R 30 000 will be evaluated according to the 80/20 preference point system and a functionality scorecard, where functionality is applicable.
4. Please indicate if you are ISO 9001:2015 certified Quality Management System.
5. Attach a valid BBBEE certificate or affidavit, if applicable.
6. Complete all SBD forms and send back together with your quotation (SBD 4&6.1)
7. The successful bidder must be registered on the Central Supplier Database except for foreign bidders with no local registered entity.
8. The mailbox capacity per email is 10MB. A bidder may send as many emails as necessary to accommodate all files. All emails must be received before the deadline.
9. The RFQ is subject to the General Conditions of Contract from National Treasury, refer to: [http://ocpo.treasury.gov.za/Resource\\_Centre/Legislation/General%20Conditions%20of%20Contract-act-%20Inclusion%20of%20par%2034%20CIBD.pdf](http://ocpo.treasury.gov.za/Resource_Centre/Legislation/General%20Conditions%20of%20Contract-act-%20Inclusion%20of%20par%2034%20CIBD.pdf)

Required by:

The National Radioactive Waste  
Disposal Institute



Delivery address

3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Building X, Necsa Offices  
Elias Motsoaledi Street Ext  
R104 Pelindaba, North West 0240

Expected Delivery Date, (to be completed by the Supplier)  
**(Only a firm delivery date will be accepted)**

---

**SBD 4**

**BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE**

**1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM**

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

**2. Bidder's declaration**

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest<sup>1</sup> in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of institution	State

---

<sup>1</sup> the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and



decisions of the enterprise.

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

**3 DECLARATION**

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium<sup>2</sup> will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National



Prosecuting Authority (NPA).

- 3.7 for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....  
**Signature**

.....  
**Date**

.....  
**Position**

.....  
**Name of bidder**

---

<sup>2</sup> Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.



**SBD 6.1**

**PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022**

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

**NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022**

**1. GENERAL CONDITIONS**

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
<b>PRICE</b>	80
<b>SPECIFIC GOALS</b>	20

**Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS**

**100**

- 1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.
- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

**2. DEFINITIONS**

- (a) **“black people”** is a generic term which means Africans, Coloureds and Indians—
- a) who are citizens of the Republic of South Africa by birth or descent; or
  - b) who became citizens of the Republic of South Africa by naturalisation—
    - i) before 27 April 1994; or
    - ii) on or after 27 April 1994 and who would have been entitled to acquire citizenship by naturalisation prior to that date;
- (b) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (c) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (d) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (e) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (f) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000); and
- (g) **“women”** means a person of female gender who is a citizen of the Republic of South Africa.

**3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES**

**3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE**

**3.1.1 THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS**

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

**80/20**

$$P_s = 80 \left( \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where



$P_s$  = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

$P_t$  = Price of tender under consideration

$P_{min}$  = Price of lowest acceptable tender

### 3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

#### 3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$P_s = 80 \left( + \frac{P_t - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$$

Where



Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration  
 Pt = Price of tender under consideration  
 Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

**4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS**

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
  - (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
  - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

**Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.**

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Maximum number of points allocated (80/20 system)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
<b>Enterprises owned by Black People:</b> a) <b>12 points:</b> 91% - 100% ownership by black people. b) <b>10 points:</b> 75% - 90% ownership by black people.	12	
c) <b>8 points:</b> 51% to 74% ownership by black people. d) <b>4 points</b> for 50% and lower ownership by black people. e) <b>0 points</b> for no ownership by black people.		



**Enterprises owned by Women:**

8

- a) **8 points:** 91% - 100% ownership by women.
- b) **6 points:** 75% - 90% ownership by women.
- c) **4 points:** 51% to 74% ownership by women.
- d) **2 points** for 50% and lower ownership by women.
- e) **0 points** for no ownership by women.

4.3 Tenderers must submit documents as valid proof to substantiate points claimed for specific goals, that should include amongst others the Shareholder Certificate/CIPC Company Registration Documents, certified copies of ID for directors, certified copy of B-BBEE certificate/sworn affidavit, CSD report and/or any other documentation.

**DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM**

4.4 Name of company/firm.....

4.5 Company registration number:  
.....

4.6 TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- 1 Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- 1 One-person business/sole propriety
- 1 Close corporation
- 1 Public Company
- 1 Personal Liability Company
- 1 (Pty) Limited
- 1 Non-Profit Company
- 1 State Owned

Company [TICK  
APPLICABLE BOX]

4.7 I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;



- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
- (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
  - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
  - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
  - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
  - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....  
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

**SURNAME AND NAME:** .....

**DATE:** .....

**ADDRESS:** .....

.....