Eskom	Strategy	Risk and Assurance

Title:	**Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Supply and Deliver Safety & Electrical Signs for Camden Power Station for 36 Months.**	Unique Identifier:	**229-T2390**
		Alternative Reference Number:	**N/A**
		Area of Applicability:	**Risk and Assurance**
		Documentation Type:	**Strategy**
		Revision:	**01**
		Total Pages:	**10**
		Next Review Date:	**Once off**
		Disclosure Classification:	**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by	Supported by	Functional Responsibility
…….	…….	…….
Shaun Bouwer	**Jabulani Radebe**	**Lindiwe Makhubo**
Controller Units & Eskom Fire Fighter	**Snr Advisor EMD**	**OHS Manager**
Date: 12/10/2023	Date: 13/10/2023	Date: 2023.10.13

CONTENTS

Page

1. INTRODUCTION 3

1.1 SCOPE 3
Purpose 3
1.1.1 Applicability 3
1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES 3
1.2.1 Normative 3
1.2.2 Informative 3
1.2.3 Classification 4
1.3 ABBREVIATIONS 4
1.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES 4
1.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING 4
1.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 4

2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATIONSTRATEGY 4

2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD 4
2.2 TET MEMBERS 5
2.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA 6
2.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA 7
2.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES 8
2.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS 8
2.6.1 Risks 8
2.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions 9

3. AUTHORISATION 10

4. REVISIONS 10

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM 10

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 10

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members 5
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria 7
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities 8
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks 8
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks 8
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 9
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 9

1. INTRODUCTION

The regulations requires the employer to ensure that safety signs are provided and maintained in a circumstances where there is a significant risk to health and safety that has not been removed or controlled by any other methods

Keeping the workers safe is an employer's obligation by OHSA law, and having a visual communication strategy i.e safety signs plays a huge role in keeping facilities compliant and employees knowledgeable

1.1 SCOPE

The purpose of the safety signages in the Power Station environment is to identify and warn workers who may be exposed to hazards in the workplace. They can also assist in the communication of important instructions, reinforce safety messages and provide instructions for emergency situations.

Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

1.1.1 Applicability

This document shall apply to Camden Power Station, Safety, and Maintenance and Engineering departments at Camden Power Station.

1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

1.2.1 Normative

[1] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems.

[2] ISO 45001: 2018 Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems Informative, Requirements.

[3] Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993

[4] SANS 1186 – 1:2015

[5] By Laws under Fire Brigade Services Act 1987

1.2.2 Informative

[1] Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993

[2] SO 45001: 2018 Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems Informative, Requirements.

[3] Fire Brigade Services Act 1987

1.2.3 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

1.3 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
SANS	South African National Standards
HIRA	Hazardous Identification and Risk Assessment
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacture
OHSA	Occupational Health and Safety Act
QCP	Quality Control Plan

1.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

1.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

1.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Scope of work: Supply and Deliver Safety & Electrical Signs for Camden Power Station for 36 Months

2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATIONSTRATEGY

2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are a ‘must meet’ criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted or point scored, but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether or not the criteria are met. An assessment of ‘No’ against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall Not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical.

Table 1: Technical Scoring Methodology

SCORE	PERCENTAGE (%)	DESCRIPTION
5	**100**	**COMPLIANT** • Meet the technical requirement(s) AND, • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements
4	**80**	**COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS** • Meet the technical requirement(s) with, • Acceptable technical risks AND/OR; • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Acceptable conditions
2	**40**	**NON-COMPLIANT** • Does not meet the technical requirement(s) AND/OR Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions
0	**0**	**TOTALLY DEFICIENT/NON-RESPONSIVE**

2.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Shaun Bouwer / John Nyambi	Controller units-Fireman
TET 2	Jabulani Radebe	Snr Advisor EMD
TET 3	Elliot Nhlapo	OHS Officer

2.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable
1.	Manufacturing and supply of safety signs/labelling to Eskom or Similar Industrial environment	**Requirements** • Provide evidence of the industries or Eskom business where service was rendered (Atleast 3 different Companies) • Contract number or Purchase Order Number required with duration of contract (From 2 years and Above) • Completion certificates.

2.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

The weight for the technical review will be 100% with a minimum threshold of 70% and will be based on the following:

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)
1.	Organogram of the Company	**Requirements for submission: (15 Points)** Provide company organogram.	15%
2.	Contractor to have lead times for all their critical and non-critical deliveries(2 Months after the order is placed)	**Requirements for submission: (60 Points)** Detailed project plan for lead times for all deliveries to site.	60%
3.	Contractor shall be ISO 9001 Certified	**Requirements for submission: (25 Points)** Provide Copy of ISO 9001 certification. The certification shall be certified.	25%

TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**	**TET 3**
1	X	X	X
2	X	X	X
3	X	X	X
4	X	X	X

2.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

2.5.1 Risks

Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Marginally failing to meet the 70% threshold as stipulated in section 2.4 on Table 3.

Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Failing to meet any of the Technical Gatekeepers as listed in section 2.3, Table 1.

2.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria, on section 2.4 on table 3 of this document.

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria, in section 2.3, Table 1 of this document.

3. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Jabulani Radebe	Senior Technical Advisor

4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
15 August 2023	01	T. Mathonsi	New Document

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following departments were involved in the development of this document:

- Safety Departments
- Technical Support Department

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- None