

CLEANING OF SERVICE TUNNELS AT PIER 1 & 2 IN THE PORT OF DURBAN

Technical evaluation criteria (minimum threshold of **60%** will qualify bidders to the next phase)

TECHNICAL EVALUATION			Maximum scores
Company Experience Service provider must submit traceable references with experience on cleaning of resultant waste. References must be on the letterhead of company that work was done, references should include the following: (1) The project description, (2) Client Company name, (3) Client contact details (email and telephone), (4) Project duration: start and completion dates	0= No references submitted or not relevant	0	30
	20= Service provider has submitted 1 reference that are relevant	6	
	40= Service provider has submitted 2 references that are relevant	12	
	60= Service provider has submitted 3 to 4 references that are relevant	18	
	80= Service provider has submitted 5 to 6 references that are relevant	24	
	100= Service provider has submitted 7 or more references that are relevant	30	
Confined Spaces Training Service provider to submit training certificates for working in confined spaces, all training certificates must be from an accredited training provider. All certificates must be in date and valid.	0= No certificate submitted	0	10
	20= Service provider has submitted 1 training certificate for working in confined spaces	2	
	40= Service provider has submitted 2 training certificates for working in confined spaces	4	
	60= Service provider has submitted 3 training certificates for working in confined spaces	6	
	80= Service provider has submitted 4 training certificates for working in confined spaces	8	
	100= Service provider has submitted 5 training certificates for working in confined spaces	10	
Bidder to submit a Safety, health and environment (SHE) Plan Plan covering all requirements of the attached SHE specification.	0= The tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	0	10
	20= Roles and responsibilities is unclear and not aligned to the Occupational health and safety Act and Transnet health and safety specification.	2	
	40= Roles and responsibilities are unlikely to ensure compliance as per the Works information and not in line with	4	

	OHS Act and Transnet health and safety specification.		
	60= Satisfactory response on roles and responsibilities as per Employer's requirements.	6	
	80= Roles and responsibilities are likely to ensure compliance as per Works Information, OHS Act and Transnet health and safety specification.	8	
	100= Roles and Responsibilities most likely to ensure compliance as per requirements of OHS Act and Transnet Health and Safety Management Specification	10	
Bidder to submit a Risk Assessment Overview of the tenderer's Risk Assessment methodology, and submission of risk assessments indicating major activities of the project.	0= The tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	0	10
	20= Information supplied is insufficient to the inherent risks and measures to mitigate the required standard of service.	2	
	40= Poor response/answer/solution lack convincing evidence relating to risk management strategies to meet the employer's requirements.	4	
	60= Satisfactory response/answer/solution to the inherent risks associated with the scope of services and the evaluation and mitigation objectives, given evidence that the employer's requirements will be met.	6	
	80= Good response/answer/solution gives real confidence that the tenderer has clearly identified and evaluated inherent risks and excellent mitigation strategies associated with the key deliverables of the project.	8	
	100= Beside identifying and evaluating the inherent risks associated with the project, the evaluation and mitigations are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has an outstanding knowledge to meet the key deliverables of the project.	10	

Bidder to submit a Safety Work Method Statement Service provider to submit a Work Method statement on how tasks relevant to the work will be performed.	0= The tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	0	10
	20= The methodology/approach and work alignment to project schedule is poorly presented, non-specific and not tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology.	2	
	40= The methodology/approach is general and not tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The methodology approach does not adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project.	4	
	60= Satisfactory response/solution to the particular aspect of the requirement and evidence given that the stated employer's requirements will be met.	6	
	80= The methodology/approach is specifically tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The methodology/approach to manage activities is specifically tailored to the critical characteristics of the project.	8	
	100= Besides meeting the "80" rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has outstanding knowledge of state-of-the-art approaches. The methodology approach details ways to improve the project outcomes and the quality of the outputs.	10	
Bidder to submit an Environmental Management Plan (EMP): The Bidder shall provide a detailed Environment Management Plan (EMP) specific to the scope of the project. The EMP must identify the possible environmental impacts of the proposed activity; and include measures to minimise, mitigate and manage these impacts. The EMP must include but not limited to the following sections: 1. Dust Management. 2. Noise control. 3. Management of hazardous chemical and flammable substances.	0= Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for the proposed activities not submitted.	0	10
	20= Environment Management Plan (EMP) generic and irrelevant to the project. EMP submitted does not demonstrate ability to achieve compliance.	2	
	40= The Environment Management Plan (EMP) submitted, identifies possible environmental aspects and impacts but does not provide comprehensive mitigation measures.	4	

4. Pollution control and spill response. 5. Waste Management. 6. Environmental education and awareness. 7. Housekeeping. 8. Environmental Laws and Regulations relevant to the project. 9. Protection of the fauna and flora. 10. Management responsibilities. 11. Protection of sensitive/ no-go areas. 12. Monitoring and reporting. 13. The EMP must include an environmental policy signed by	EMP is unlikely to ensure full compliance with all environmental legal requirements.		
	60= Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is relevant to the project but fails to identify all relevant aspects and impacts and provide mitigation measures. Less 50% of the prescribed sections are included.	6	
	80= Good EMP which demonstrates a real understanding of the aspects and impacts related to the project. More and 80% of the prescribed sections are included with detailed mitigation measures. The EMP is aligned to the project and is likely to ensure compliance with Employer's Environmental Standards.	8	
	100= The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is comprehensive and includes all the prescribed sections with detailed mitigation measures. All relevant aspects and impacts have been identified. The EMP is completely aligned to the project and is likely to ensure compliance with all environmental legal requirements.	10	
CV of Key persons Service provider to submit a detailed CV of the supervisor to be on site for this project. Site supervisor to have Supervision site experience on cleaning of resultant waste.	0= No Response/ CV submitted for Site supervisor that is not relevant cleaning of resultant waste	0	20
	20= CV submitted for Site supervisor with one (1) year relevant site experience on cleaning of resultant waste	4	
	40 = CV submitted for Site supervisor with two (2) years relevant site experience on cleaning of resultant waste	8	
	60 = CV submitted for Site supervisor with three (3) years relevant site experience on cleaning of resultant waste	12	
	80= CV submitted for Site supervisor with Four (4) years relevant site	16	

TRANSNET NATIONAL PORTS AUTHORITY

	experience on cleaning of resultant waste		
	100= CV submitted for Site supervisor with five (5) year or more relevant site experience on cleaning of resultant waste	20	
			100