

1.TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following criteria will be used to award the tender. Should there be a criteria over and above the listed below, that will be used, such criteria will be specifically stated.

No.	TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION (The technical evaluation will be used as a threshold. All bidders who do not meet the minimum threshold of 70 points will not proceed to the final stage of evaluation.)	Weightings												
1.1	<p><u>Project Plan and Final Lead time</u></p> <p>The project plan is a document containing all the necessary details of the project, such as goals, tasks, scope, deadlines, timelines and deliverables.</p> <p>The project plan shows a clear roadmap of the project, ensures that the contractor has the resources for it to start and complete the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Plan and final Lead time less than 60 working days 40 points • Project Plan and final Lead time between 61 – 65 working days 30 points • Project Plan and final Lead time between 66 – 70 working days 15 points • Project Plan and final Lead time between 71 – 75 working days 10 points • Project Plan and final Lead time more than 75 working days 0 • Project Plan with no Lead time 0 • Lead time with no project plan 0 <p>(Note: Project Plan must be submitted either in excel or MS project format).</p>	40 points												
1.2	<p><u>Methodology</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology responds to the scope of work (supply, repairs and replacement of faulty equipment to reinstate the existing CCTV camera system to full functionality, including testing and commissioning) and outline the proposed approach from commencement leading to the completion of the project. • Methodology is a set of principles and practices that will guide in organizing this project (supply, repairs and replacement of faulty equipment to reinstate the existing CCTV camera system to full functionality, including testing and commissioning) to ensure its optimum performance. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; padding: 5px;">Criterion: Methodology, including quality control and assurance.</th> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">Scoring</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided.</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects.</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">10 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc is tailored to the key aspects of the programme</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">15 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The project plan and approach to managing risk etc is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The programme is good and has allowed for all critical aspects.</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">20 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues.</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">25 points</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Criterion: Methodology, including quality control and assurance.	Scoring	No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided.	0	The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects.	10 points	The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc is tailored to the key aspects of the programme	15 points	The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The project plan and approach to managing risk etc is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The programme is good and has allowed for all critical aspects.	20 points	Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues.	25 points	25 Points
Criterion: Methodology, including quality control and assurance.	Scoring													
No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided.	0													
The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects.	10 points													
The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc is tailored to the key aspects of the programme	15 points													
The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The project plan and approach to managing risk etc is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The programme is good and has allowed for all critical aspects.	20 points													
Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues.	25 points													

No.	TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION (The technical evaluation will be used as a threshold. All bidders who do not meet the minimum threshold of 70 points will not proceed to the final stage of evaluation.)	Weightings
1.3	<p><u>Specific knowledge</u> Track record in supply, repairs and replacement of faulty equipment to reinstate the existing CCTV camera system to full functionality, including testing and commissioning.</p> <p><u>Reference letters / Testimonials / Completion certificates</u> Only records for completed projects will be accepted, the projects shall have been done within the last 10 years. Reference letters/completion certificates/testimonials to have contactable references are acceptable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 or more submitted reference letters or completion certificates 35 points • 3 submitted reference letters/testimonials/completion certificates 25 points • 2 submitted reference letters/testimonials/completion certificates 15 points • 1 submitted reference letter /testimonials/completion certificate 10 points • 0 submitted reference letters /testimonials/completion certificates 0 <p>Each reference letter shall have 2 dates (date of project completion and date showing as to when the letter was signed), otherwise it will not be acceptable and zero (0) score will be allocated for such rejected letter.</p> <p>Each reference letter shall have contactable contact person(s) and working contact numbers, otherwise it will not be acceptable and zero (0) score will be allocated for such rejected letter.</p>	35 points

Total Weighting:	100 points
Minimum qualifying score required:	70 points

Name: Zolani MngqithiDesignation: Engineering TechnicianSignature and date: Zolani Mngqithi 26.02.2024Name: Lindo NgcoboDesignation: Maintenance ManagerSignature and date: Lindo Ngcobo 26/02/2024Name: Mhlonipheni Nxumalo Designation: Executive ManagerSignature and date: Mhlonipheni Nxumalo 26/02/2024