


Project Name	Security Services and Products
Project Number	
Name of the Evaluator 1	Frans Ratau
Name of the Evaluator 2	
Date of Evaluation	19-Apr-21

Score		%	Definition	Outcome
5		100	COMPLIANT ☑ Meet technical requirement(s) AND; ☑ Cross-checked with the supporting documents provided AND ☑ No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.	Compliant
4		80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS ☑ Meet technical requirement(s) with; ☑ Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; ☑ Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; ☑ Acceptable conditions.	
2		40	NOT FULLY COMPLIANT ☑ Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; ☑ Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; ☑ Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; ☑ Unacceptable conditions.	
0		0	☑ No supporting documents provided TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE	

PSIRA accreditation as a supplier and/or Installer of Security Products	Madatory	Valid till 08 2021
---	----------	--------------------

Technical Criteria Description	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	
Installation	3	2
System Level	21	21
Intruder Detection System	10	10
Yard	10	10
CCTV Surveillance System	20	20
Video Management System (VMS)	10	10
Security Control Room	6	6
System design methodology (refer to Annex B)	20	15
Total		94

a) For Desktop Evaluation, Tenderers are required to indicate compliance to the requirements listed in the accompanying Schedule of technical compliance excel files and also provide supporting evidence/documentation.
i. It is expected of Tenderers to state clearly, for each clause that requires a statement of compliance in the Schedule of Requirements A/B, either "Comply" or "Do not comply".
ii. If a clause in the Schedule of Requirements A/B requires a statement of compliance and additional information e.g. a description etc. Tenderers are requested to state clearly either "Comply – followed by Evidence and References in support of compliance – i.e. explanations, document reference (title, paragraph/page number)
iii. Any deviations from the technical specifications should be indicated in the deviations schedules provided.
b) Tenders are required to submit Functional Specifications and System Design Reports as per the Annex A, Annex B, Annex C and 3.1.1.1 below.
c) Demonstration/Factory evaluation will be based on the requirements listed in Annex D and the accompanying "Demo Tests for Integrated Security System" spreadsheet.
d) Eskom reserve the right to use the results of the previous Demonstration/Factory evaluations in the evaluation of subsequent similar commercial enquiries issued not more than six months apart.
Note: Failure to complete the Schedules of technical compliance will result in Tenderers receiving a score of 0% for the Desktop evaluation.

Frans Ratau Name of Evaluator:	 Signature of Evaluator:	Pass
-----------------------------------	--	------

19 April 2021 Date of Evaluation:
--------------------------------------