

## 1. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY ANTI PERCHING DEVICES(BIRD GUARDS ),PINS AND COLLARS .

### 1.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted or point scored, but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether or not the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 80%. The following scoring method will be used:

**Table 1: Technical Scoring Methodology**

<b>SCORE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE (%)</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
5	100	<b>COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Meet the technical requirement(s) AND,</li><li>• No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements</li></ul>
4	80	<b>COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Meet the technical requirement(s) with,</li><li>• Acceptable technical risks AND/OR;</li><li>• Acceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li><li>• Acceptable conditions</li></ul>
2	40	<b>NON-COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Does not meet the technical requirement(s) AND/OR Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li><li>• Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li><li>• Unacceptable conditions</li></ul>
0	0	<b>TOTALLY DEFICIENT/NON-RESPONSIVE</b>

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## 1.2 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	<b>Mandatory Technical Criteria Description</b>	<b>Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable</b>	<b>Motivation for use of Criteria</b>
1	Has the Tenderer confirmed that they have a track record of at least one contract for the supply and delivery of similar equipment/spares to technical companies.	Tender Returnables	Previous similar supply experience to ensure that material needed can be delivered timeously and correctly.  Evidence of orders, contracts to be attached.

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 1.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The weight for the technical review will be 100 % with a minimum threshold of 80% and will be based on the following:

**Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	<b>SUPPLY DELIVERY OF ANTI-PERCHING DEVICES(BIRD GURDS),PINS AND COLLARS</b>			<b>50</b>	
	1.1	Has the Tenderer confirmed that they have a track record of at least one contract for the supply and delivery of similar equipment/spares to technical companies.	Tender returnable – Contracts or orders previously done.		100
2.	<b>SUPPLY DELIVERY OF ANTI-PERCHING DEVICES(BIRD GURDS),PINS AND COLLARS</b>			<b>30</b>	
	2.1	Technical sales personnel/ Engineer with a track record of delivery of similar services.	Tender returnable – Company Profile and proof to be submitted with similar service delivered.		100
3.	<b>SUPPLY DELIVERY OF ANTI-PERCHING DEVICES(BIRD GURDS),PINS AND COLLARS</b>			<b>20</b>	
	3.1	Vehicles to deliver material.	Tender returnable – Copies of proof of ownership of Delivery vehicle.		100
				<b>TOTAL: 100</b>	

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.