

	Strategy	Kusile Power Sation
---	-----------------	----------------------------

Title: **Kusile Power Station Technical
Evaluation Strategy for the Supply
and Delivery of Non-Critical Pumps**

Document Identifier: **KUS-202608141**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Kusile Power Station**

Functional Area: **Maintenance**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **14**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by	Supported by	Functional Responsibility	Authorized by
			 p.p.
Percy Masethe Senior Advisor Technical Support	Sherperd Dibakoane Chief Engineer	Lunga Ntanz Technica Support Maintenance Manager	A Vuma Maintenance Group Manager
Date: 28/08/2026	Date: 2026/08/28	Date: 28/08/2026	Date: 31/08/2026

Content

	page
1. Introduction.....	4
2. Supporting Clauses	4
2.1 Scope.....	4
2.1.1 Purpose.....	4
2.1.2 Applicability	4
2.1.3 Effective Date	4
2.2 Normative/Informative References	5
2.2.1 Normative.....	5
2.2.2 Informative.....	5
2.3 Definitions	5
2.4 Abbreviations	5
2.5 Roles And Responsibilities	5
2.6 Process For Monitoring	6
2.7 Related/Supporting Documents.....	6
3. Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy	6
3.1 Technical Evaluation Threshold.....	6
3.2 TET Members	7
3.3 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	8
Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.....	12
3.4 TET Member Responsibilities.....	12
3.5 Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications	13
3.5.1 Risks	13
3.5.2 Exceptions/Conditions	13
4. Acceptance.....	13
5. Revisions.....	14
6. Development Team	14
7. Acknowledgements	14
 Table 1: Technical Evaluation Criteria Score.....	 6
Table 2: TET Members	7
Table 4: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	8
Table 5: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Scoring.....	12
Table 6: TET Member Responsibilities.....	12
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	13
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Risks	13

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

Table 9: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 13

Table 10: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 13

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

1. Introduction

This document outlines the technical evaluation strategy to be applied during the tender evaluation process for the supply and delivery of non-critical pumps at Kusile Power Station for a period of five (5) years on an as-and-when-required basis. The strategy is limited to qualitative technical evaluation criteria, Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member responsibilities, and foreseen acceptable or unacceptable qualifications.

The purpose of this strategy is to ensure that a consistent, fair, transparent, impartial and auditable process is followed to identify technically acceptable tenderers capable of supplying and delivering compliant non-critical pumps, complete with the required technical documentation, quality records, inspection support and delivery capability in accordance with the Scope of Work. Tenderers shall be evaluated against the approved qualitative technical evaluation criteria.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

This tender technical evaluation strategy specifies the criteria for selecting a suitably qualified and experienced supplier to supply and deliver non-critical pumps to Kusile Power Station on an as-and-when-required basis. The evaluation shall verify the tenderer's ability to supply items that are identical to, or fully compatible with, the equipment currently installed at Kusile Power Station, supported by applicable OEM data sheets, certificates, manuals, drawings, test records and other required technical documentation. The technical evaluation shall consist of the following only:

1. Qualitative evaluation criteria
2. TET member responsibilities
3. Acceptable and unacceptable qualifications.

2.1.1 Purpose

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to Kusile Power station.

2.1.3 Effective Date

The Effective date of this document November 2026

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

2.2 Normative/Informative References

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Management Procedure
- [3] 240-53716746: Tender Technical Evaluation Report Template
- [4] 240-53716712: Tender Technical Evaluation Results Form Template
- [5] 240-53716726: Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form Template
- [6] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure

2.2.2 Informative

- [7] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems
- [8] KUS-202608107 Kusile Power Station Supply and Delivery of Non-Critical Pumps for A Period of Five (5) Years on An As-And-When-Required Basis

2.3 Definitions

Definition	Description
Contractor	Service provider contracted to provide a specific service to Eskom, Kusile Power Station and provide the required spare parts.
Controlled Disclosure	Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).
Employer	Eskom, Eskom Kusile Power Station or representative

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
B-BBEE	Broad Base Black Economic Empowerment
SD&L	Supplier Development and Localisation
TES	Technical Evaluation Strategy
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer

2.5 Roles And Responsibilities

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

2.6 Process For Monitoring

The primary process for monitoring will be governed by Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy (32-1033). This policy provides related principles to be applied to ensure uniform application of processes within Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd and its subsidiaries.

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

N/A.

3. Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy

The evaluation of tenders will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the requirements specified in the scopes of works [8]. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tenders against the Employer's requirements. The evaluation scores will be weighted as follows:

3.1 Technical Evaluation Threshold

To be declared technically acceptable, the tenderers must achieve a minimum threshold of **70%**. The evaluation of tenders will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the requirements specified in the scope of works. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tenders against the Employer's requirements. The following scoring method will be used:

Table 1: Technical Evaluation Criteria Score

Technical (100%)	
Previous Experience and Customer Satisfaction.	35%
Key Personnel for Technical Support	10%
Quality Control Plans (QCPs/ITPs)	15%
Technical Specification-Data Sheet	15%
Method statement	15%
Delivery of spares lead times	10%
TOTAL (100%)	
Overall minimum threshold for qualification (70%)	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

3.2 TET Members

Table 2: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Thabani Mbuyazi	Technician Maintenance Technical Support
TET 2	Percy Masethe	Maintenance Senior Advisor
TET 3	Musa Ngwane or Sherperd Dibakoane	Chief Engineer
TET 4	Sam Motluguneng	System Engineer
TET 5	Yonela Dilika	Assistant Officer Materials Management

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

3.3 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Technical Evaluation Criteria (100%)				
No	Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Scoring Criteria	Weight (100%)
1.	Previous Experience and Customer Satisfaction.	Submit company profile, contracts/purchase orders and delivery notes confirming relevant experience on supply and delivery of Pumps <i>Proof must be submitted in the form of Purchase Orders and Delivery Notes.</i>	100% - 5 or more previous orders of supply & delivery of pumps or 5+ years equivalent executed Contract. 80% - 3 to 4 previous orders of supply & delivery of pumps or 3+ to <4 years equivalent Contract. 40% - 1 to 2 previous orders of supply & delivery of pumps or 1+ to <2 years equivalent executed Contract. 0% - 0 previous orders of supply & delivery of pumps or <1 years executed equivalent Contract OR non-responsive (No Submission) OR Submission is non-scope related	35%
2.	Key Personnel for Technical Support	1) Qualification and Experience for a Mechanical Engineer/Technologist Submit CV and qualifications for a Mechanical Engineer/Technologist	5 = 100% - BTech/BScEng/BEng in Mechanical Engineering with 6+ Yrs of relevant technical experience and proof of qualification 4 = 80% - BTech/BScEng/BEng in Mechanical Engineering with 4 to <6 of relevant Yrs technical experience and proof of qualification 2 = 40% - BTech/BScEng/BEng in Mechanical Engineering with 2 to <4 of relevant Yrs technical experience and proof of qualification 0 = 0% BTech/BScEng/BEng in Mechanical Engineering with <2	10%

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

Technical Evaluation Criteria (100%)				
No	Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Scoring Criteria	Weight (100%)
			Yrs of relevant technical experience and proof of qualification. OR No Qualification OR DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE	
3	Quality Control Plans (QCPs/ITPs)	Tenderer to submit Quality Control Plans (QCPs/ITPs) used for supplying and delivery of Pumps. <i>Proof must be submitted in the form of QCPs approved by Quality Engineer</i>	5 = 100% Three (3) or more approved and signed off QCPs for previous work submitted related to scope of work. 4 = 80% Two (2) approved and signed off QCPs for previous work submitted related to scope of work. 2 = 40% Three (3) new QCPs for this scope work. 0 = 0% No QCPs submitted OR QCPs submitted are not related to the SOW	15%
4	Technical Specification-Data Sheet	The supplier must submit the Specific data sheet of at least Six (6) different items in line with the scope of Work.	5 = 100% – Submitted specific data sheets for at least six (6) different items, and all submissions are relevant to the scope of work and contains technical information for verification. 2 = 40% – Submitted specific data sheets for three (3) items from different OEMs, or submissions are partially relevant to the scope of work but contain significant gaps requiring clarification. 0 = 0% – No submission, or submitted documents are not	15%

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

Technical Evaluation Criteria (100%)				
No	Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Scoring Criteria	Weight (100%)
			specific data sheets or the documents are not aligned to the scope of work, or the items are not for different items.	
5	Provide Method statement	Submit a detailed methodology/method statement of how the tenderer shall supply and deliver to specification as per SOW: - i). Handling of defective materials/equipment - ii). Premature failures of components and - iii). Quality verifications. - iv). Provide technical support services <i>Proof must be submitted in the form of Method Statement signed off by Quality Manager</i>	5 = 100% – Submitted a detailed and complete method statement that clearly explains how the tenderer will supply and deliver to specification as per the Scope of Work, in excess of all four required elements. 4 = 80% – Submitted a method statement that covers most of the required elements and is generally aligned to the SOW but contains minor gaps or lacks detail in one of the four areas. The gaps do not materially affect the ability to verify supply, delivery, quality, or support capability. 2 = 40% – Submitted a method statement that is partially aligned to the SOW but covers only one to two of the required elements, or provides limited detail on handling defects, premature failures, quality verification, and/or technical support services. Significant clarification would be required. 0 = 0% – No method statement submitted, or the submission is generic, not relevant to the SOW, does not address the required elements, or does not demonstrate how the tenderer will supply and deliver to specification	15%
6	Delivery of spares lead times (order	Submit a detailed program showing all intervention	5 = 100% - Provide a program with timelines for delivery of Pumps.	10%

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

Technical Evaluation Criteria (100%)				
No	Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Scoring Criteria	Weight (100%)
	placement to delivery at stores)	points for the supply including testing of pumps	0 = 0% - No delivery program submitted	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

Table 4: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Scoring

	Percentage	Score
COMPLIANT • Meet technical requirement(s) AND. • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.	100	5
COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS • Meet technical requirement(s) with; • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR. • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR • Acceptable conditions.	80	4
NON-COMPLIANT • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR. • Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR. • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR. • Unacceptable conditions.	40	2
TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE	0	0

Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3.

Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

3.4 TET Member Responsibilities

Table 5: TET Member Responsibilities

Qualitative Criteria Number	TET (1), (2), (3), (4), (5)
1	X
2	X
3	X
4	X
5	X
6	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

3.5 Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications

3.5.1 Risks

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	No alternative tender will be acceptable at tender stage.

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Exclusions of scope specified in the employers' requirements
2.	Unavailability of returnable for verification of compliance

3.5.2 Exceptions/Conditions

Table 8: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Acceptance of deviation with technical qualification

Table 9: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation without technical qualification

4. Acceptance

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Thabani Mbuyazi	Technician Maintenance
Percy Masethe	Maintenance Senior Advisor
Musa Ngwane	Chief Engineer
Sherperd Dibakoane	Chief Engineer
Sam Motluguneng	System Engineer

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.

Name	Designation
Lunga Ntanzi	Line Manager Maintenance Technical Support Services
Abel Vuma	Middle Manager Maintenance
Mbuyiselo Majenge	Manager Materials Management

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compilers	Remarks
Aug 2026	1	Percy Masethe	Strategy development

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Name & Surname	Designation
Thabani Mbuyazi	Maintenance Technician
Pearcy Masethe	Maintenance Senior Advisor Mechanical
Sheperd Dibakoane	Chief Engineer
Sam Motluguneng	System Engineer

7. Acknowledgements

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the expressed consent of the copyright holder, Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30.