

Detail technical evaluation criteria – Ash Dam Piezometer Installation

The Scoring Method will be as follows:

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria:

Gatekeeper:

	Factor	Result
1	Has the tenderer submitted a Certificate with a minimum of CIDB 4CE Yes = 1 (Continue to qualitative criteria) No = 0 (No further evaluation)	
2	Submission of CV's of professionally registered engineer with ECSA (Pr Eng / Pr Tech) and registered (SACPCMP) Construction Manager's involved in the works.	

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria:

SCORE	PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION
5	100	COMPLIANT • Meets technical requirement(s) AND; • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS • Meet technical requirement(s) with; • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE

	Factor	Weight (%)	Score	Weight x score
1	Method Statement in line with the requirements stipulated in the works information for the entire works clearly demonstrating understanding of and compliance with the full scope as detailed in the works information. <u>Scoring Criteria:</u> Technical proposal details fully how scope will be met and provides comprehensive methodology of approach in line with the scope requirements = 5; Technical proposal describes how scope will be met and provides methodology of approach but with some elements not covered = 4; Technical proposal does not contain any 1 of the Constructability Analysis, QCP or Risk Assessment = 2; No technical proposal/method statement submitted = 0	35		
2	Number of similar projects previously undertaken in the last 5 years - Provide proof of projects in the form of completion certificates. Note: Tenderer provides a list of verifiable relevant references (As a minimum reference list must contain Project Name, Brief Project Description, Valid contact details, Start & End of Project, Project Value). <u>Scoring criteria</u> More than 5 completion certificates/references submitted = 5 4-5 completion certificates/references = 4 2-3 completion certificates/references = 2 0-1 completion certificates/references submitted = 0	20		

3	Organogram highlighting structure to be utilised for this contract with the Minimum required resources as stipulated in the contract. Contractor is to submit Qualifications for each resource stipulated in the organogram together with their CV's. The Organogram shall include the below resources as minimum: 1. Construction Manager (SACPCMP Professionally Registered) with 5 years experience 2. Project Engineer (Pr Eng or Pr Tech) with minimum 3 years experience 3. Safety Officer (Minimum 3 year Diploma in Safety) <u>Scoring criteria</u> Organogram provided with all resources CV's and Qualifications = 5 Organogram with CV's and Qualifications provided but not for all the identified resources listed in the organogram = 4 Only CV's and Qualifications provided without an Organogram = 2 Organogram provided without the critical resources stipulated above = 0	25		
4	A Risk Assessment detailing all project risks with their mitigations for the duration of the contract. Risk Assessment comprehensive and in line with method statement (detailing environmental risks, safety risks, mitigation measures and risk rating) = 5 Risk Assessment provided with neither 1 of environmental risks, safety risks, mitigation measures or risk rating = 4 Baseline Risk Assessment provided = 2 No Risk Assessment = 0	20		
	TOTAL	100		

Threshold

The threshold on the technical evaluation criteria is 75%. Suppliers / Service providers would be deemed technically unacceptable if they score less and will thus not be evaluated further.

Name: Menelisi Mkhabela	Name: Vusani Mutenda
Designation: Senior Advisor (Engineering)	Designation: Auxiliary Engineering Manager (Acting)
Signature:	Signature:
Date:	Date: