



INDEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT TRUST

EASTERN CAPE PROVINCE

**INVITATION TO BID FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE INDEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT TRUST (IDT)
PANEL FOR MULTI-DISCIPLINARY FIRM OR CONSORTIUM OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE
PROVIDERS (PSPs)**

BID NO: IDTEC/35/MULTI-CON-PANEL/2026/27

CLOSING DATE: 17 JULY 2026 at 12:00

PREPARED BY:

INDEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT TRUST (IDT)

IDT EC Office

**UNIT 12D, 1ST FLOOR NORTH WING BUILDING, BEACON BAY CROSSING CENTRE, C/O N2 & BONZA
BAY ROAD, BEACON BAY, EAST LONDON, 5241**

SCM CONTACT PERSON

Name: Zanele Madzidzela

Contact No: 043 711 6000

Email Address: zanele@idt.org.za

TECHNICAL PERSON

Name: Bonga Booi

Contact No: 043 711 6000

Email Address: bongab@idt.org.za

The Independent Development Trust (IDT) in the Eastern Cape hereby invites for participation in a Panel for Multi-Disciplinary firm or Consortium of Professional Service Providers (PSPs) to deliver professional construction project management services (Stages 1-6) for the implementation of infrastructure projects in the Eastern Cape Province. The panel aims to facilitate an accelerated, fair, transparent, and cost-effective sourcing of professional services on an as-and-when-required basis over a period of three (3) years.

A typical Team of a Multi-Disciplinary firm or Consortium of Professional Service Provider (PSPs) should comprise of the following categories of professional service providers:

1. CATEGORIES/ DISCIPLINES

NO	DISCIPLINE	NAME OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDER COMPANY & PR REG NO.
1	Architects	
2	Quantity Surveyors	
3	Electrical Engineers	
4	Mechanical Engineers	
5	Civil / Structural Engineers	
6	Occupational Health & Safety	
7	Social Facilitators	

1.1 The bidder must provide ALL requirements as outlined in Sections (10) and (11) of this document. Failure to comply with the requirements of section (10) of this bid document shall render the bid non-responsive and shall result in the bid being disqualified.

1.2 No submission shall be dependent on another submission. All requirements as outlined in this bid document shall be addressed in full per each submission.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 IDT AS AN ENTITY

The Independent Development Trust (*hereinafter referred to as IDT*) is a Schedule 2 Public Entity governed by applicable legislative frameworks and a Deed of Trust. The organization is accountable to Parliament through the Minister of Public Works who is the Executive Authority.

The mandate of the IDT is to support and add value to the development agenda of government as indicated in the mission statement; “The IDT manages and delivers Integrated Social Infrastructure Programs on behalf of Government”.

In pursuit of this mandate, the IDT primarily operates as a strategic partner in the management, integration and implementation of certain government development programs.

The IDT has representation in all the provinces and is organized on the basis of regional/provincial offices. These offices have the authority to call for bids, conduct evaluation of bids and make recommendations to the Management Bid Adjudication Committee (MBAC) for award of bids.

3. PURPOSE OF THE PANEL

The IDT as a custodian of implementing social infrastructure projects on behalf of Government has an obligation to adhere and fully comply with the Framework for Infrastructure Delivery and Procurement Management (*hereinafter referred to as FIDPM*) in all stages of projects which require qualified and experienced Professional Service Providers (*hereinafter referred to as PSP / PSPs*). These PSPs are appointed through competitive bidding in line with the Supply Chain Management (SCM) Policy and SCM National Treasury regulation.

The purpose of this panel is to pre-qualify relevant and capable Multi-Disciplinary firm or Consortium of Professional Service Provider (PSPs) from identified disciplines listed in this document in order to be enlisted on the IDT’s panel of Multi-Disciplinary firm or Consortium of Professional Service Provider (PSPs) for the IDT EC Region for a period of three (3) years from the date of panel approval.

3.1 PROJECT ALLOCATION

The IDT reserves the right to;

- (i)** Invite for request of quotations from the panel of Multi-Disciplinary firm or Consortium of Professional Service Provider (PSPs) for value competitive bidding (price and preference points) for all infrastructure procurement operations over the three-year period on “as and when” basis.
- (ii)** Invite within the panel of Multi-Disciplinary firm or Consortium of Professional Service Provider (PSPs) a particular discipline(s) of interest, example an Architectural firm to provide services required in a particular project.
- (iii)** IDT reserves the right to select the lead consultant from the panel of multi-disciplinary firm or consortium of professional service providers (PSPs) for a particular project depending on the nature of the project.

4. SCOPE OVERVIEW

4.1 Objective of this document

To invite for participation in a Panel of Multi-Disciplinary or Consortium of Professional Service Providers (PSPs) to deliver professional construction project management services (Stages 1-6) for the implementation of infrastructure projects in the Eastern Cape Province. The panel aims to facilitate an accelerated, fair, transparent, and cost-effective sourcing of professional services on an as-and-when-required basis over a period of three (3) years. The PSPs within the Multi-Disciplinary Firm or Consortium must be professionally registered / accredited in the relevant disciplines as listed below:

4.1.1 Prof. Architect (Pr. Arch) (SACAP)

4.1.2 Civil / Structural Engineers (Pr.Eng) (ECSA)

4.1.3 Prof. Quantity Surveyor (Pr. QS) (SACQSP)

4.1.4 Electrical Engineers (Pr. Eng) (ECSA)

4.1.5 Mechanical Engineers (Pr.Eng) (ECSA)

4.1.6 Occupational Health & Safety (CHSA) (SACPCMP)

4.1.7 Social Facilitator (Min Diploma or Degree in Social Sciences, Community Development, Development Studies, Social Work, or related field. Proof of registration not applicable.)

Depending on the nature and requirements of specific projects that pricing proposals / RFQs will be issued out for, the following disciplines may be required / added as disbursements to those indicated above and will be indicated accordingly in the pricing proposals / RFQs;

4.1.8 Geo-technology

4.1.9 Land Surveying

4.1.10 Heritage Services

4.1.11 Furniture and equipment specialists / Interior designer

4.1.12 Information Technology

4.1.13 Fire Services

4.1.14 Wet Services

4.1.15 Landscaping services

4.1.16 Geo-Hydrologist

4.1.17 Environmental Impact Assessment Specialist

4.1.18 Any other specialized service required for the successful implementation

Note: Only disciplines / services listed in items 4.1.1 to 4.1.7 may be bid for as part of this document. Items 4.1.8 to 4.1.18 will only be included in the pricing proposals / RFQs.

4.2 Ethical Consideration

At the core of all PSPs in the Built Environment and allied professions, there is a government gazette which is based on a corresponding Act of Parliament. The gazette outlines the tasks and responsibilities typical of each profession at every construction stage, the fee structures, and the typical deliverables of each profession. Each PSP is expected to abide by any of the Professional Services Contracts available on the market which the IDT may choose to use for specific projects. These include but not limited to the CIDB Standard Professional Services Contract and their variations or adaptations that may be tailored to suit the IDT

The obligations and responsibilities for each part are outlined in these contracts, as well as dispute resolution processes.

Ethical issues such as conflict of interest and corruption, confidentiality and copyright, are covered in the Professional Services Agreements that the IDT may choose to use for particular contracts.

5. SCOPE DESCRIPTION

5.1 Built Environment Professions

As outlined in (4.2) above, each built environment profession will be guided by a government gazette that outlines the scope of services. Other allied professions which are outside the built environment also have their scope of services, but for purposes of this document, scope of work for the built environment professions only is outlined as follows: -

- (i) For Engineering Professionals (civil, structural, mechanical, electrical etc.) the scope of services and applicable rates will be in terms of the gazetted rates and services as contained in the Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA) under section 34(2) of Engineering Professions Act, 2000 (Act No. 46 of 2000).
- (ii) For the Architectural Profession, scope of services and applicable rates will be in terms of the gazette rates and services as contained in The South African Council for the Architectural Profession (SACAP) under Section 34(2) of the Architectural Profession Act 2000 (Act 44 of 2000).
- (iii) For the Quantity Surveying Profession, the scope of services and applicable rates will be in terms of the gazette rates and services as contained in the South African Council for the Quantity Surveying Profession under Section 34(2) of the Quantity Surveying Profession Act 2000 (Act 49 of 2000).
- (iv) For the Occupational Health and Safety Profession, the scope of services and applicable rates will be in terms of the gazette rates and services as contained in the tariff of

professional fees in terms of the Standard Scope of Services for Construction Health and Safety Agencies as published in (Gazette No. 36525, Board Notice 115 of 2013)

- (v) For Social Facilitation Profession the scope of services and applicable rates will be in terms of the Integrated Social Facilitation Framework (ISFF) gazette rates and services as contained in the tariff of professional fees in terms of the Standard Scope of Services for Social Facilitators.

5.2 Scope of Allied Professions to the Built Environment Professions

Section 3 recognizes that, increasingly, the organization may from time to time need to use PSPs which may not necessarily fall under the Built Environment, but which may be critical for successful implementation of various projects, be it on once-off or on continuous basis. Such services, but not limited to, are contained in Section (4) of this document.

6. GOVERNANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

The IDT's Supply Chain Management Policy and Procedures will apply during the bidding process and appointment of PSPs enlisted in the panel. The successful PSPs will be held to the strictest letter of the law when complying with the governing body for the respective professional group, as explained in Sections (5.1) and (5.2) of this document. As a matter of principle for the successful outcome of the project/s, impeccable and scrupulous will be accepted, **professional conduct** will be expected at all times.

7. PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

Read in conjunction with Sections (8) and (9) of this document, the successful bidder/s will be one/s that is/are:

- (i) Professionally registered with the appropriate professional councils, backed by an appropriate Act of Parliament as may be required (e.g. ECSA, SACPCMP, SACQSP, SACAP including the allied professions not included in the Built environment);
- (ii) Have an appropriate relevant qualification, from a reputable faculty of Higher Education within South Africa.
- (iii) In the case of foreign qualifications these should be SAQA approved;

- (iv) Have a minimum of 5 years of experience (Director) in the field of specialization post acquiring professional registration.

8. SPECIAL BID CONDITIONS

- (i) The IDT shall not be liable to reimburse any costs incurred by the bidder during this bid process.
- (ii) No Clarification meeting would be held. Kindly refer all question to relevant IDT representative as listed on cover of bid document and bid advert
- (iii) Request clarification of the bid document, if necessary, by notifying the IDT at least five (5) working days before the closing date & time, as stated in the cover page.
- (iv) Communication between the IDT and the bidder/s shall be in writing and shall be in the English language. The IDT shall not take any responsibility for non-receipt of communications from or by a bidder/s.
- (v) There shall be no public opening of this bid.
- (vi) Evaluation of this bid shall be carried out by the IDT's Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC). The Evaluator(s) shall, if necessary, contact bidders to seek clarification of any aspect of the bid.
- (vii) Bidders are prohibited to make any alterations or additions to this bid document, except to comply with instructions issued by the IDT. All signatories to the bid offer shall initial all such alterations. Erasures and the use of masking fluid are prohibited.
- (viii) It is expected that bidders are familiar with the geographical landscape of Eastern Cape Province.

9. EVALUATION CRITERIA

The Bid will be evaluated in Two Stages

- (a) Stage 1: Mandatory Requirements
- (b) Stage 2: Functionality

10. STAGE 1 - MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

Failure to submit one of the mandatory requirements listed below shall result in the bid being non-responsive and shall be disqualified:

- (i) Bidder must be registered on CSD if JV/Consortium all JV/Consortium members must be registered.
- (ii) Valid proof of Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act Certificate (COIDA) or Federated Employers Mutual Assurance (FEM) Insurance
- (iii) Signed Consortium agreement for a consortium or Sub-Consultant agreement for sub-consultancy from each discipline or JV Agreement if it's a JV
- (iv) Invitation to Bid (SBD 1) Fully Completed and signed
- (v) Declaration of Interest (SBD 4) Fully Completed and signed
- (vi) Proof of Professional Indemnity of at least R 6 million from a multi-disciplinary company, which will be adjusted to be aligned to be twice the value of the Professional fees on appointment. The PI should be from a licensed Financial Service Providers (FSP)". If the bidder is in a consortium, the proof of professional indemnity of at least 2 million for each discipline excluding social facilitator.
- (vii) The Professional team shall comprise of the following disciplines who must provide their qualifications and valid proof of registration with the relevant council as per the Council for Built Environment Act of 2000.
 - Prof. Civil & Structural Engineer (Pr. Eng) (ECSA)
 - Prof. Quantity Surveyor (Pr. QS) (SACQSP)
 - Prof. Architect (Pr. Arch) (SACAP)

- Prof. Electrical Engineer (Pr. Eng) (ECSA)
- Prof. Mechanical Engineer (Pr. Eng) (ECSA)
- Prof. Occupational Health & Safety Agent (CHSA) (SACPCMP)
- Social Facilitator (Min Diploma or Degree in Social Sciences, Community Development, Development Studies, Social Work, or related field, proof of professional registration not applicable.)

(viii) Duly signed Letter of Authority **MUST** be submitted authorising the individual to sign on behalf of the bidder if:

- a) If there are more than one Owner/ Director / Shareholder / Member / Trustee etc. OR
- b) If there is only one Director / Shareholder / Member / Trustee / Owner etc. and they are not the one completing the bid document.
- c) If it is consortium or joint venture, each party or company must submit letter of authority or letter of intent signed by all directors.

The Letter of Authority **MUST** be signed by all directors of the Bidder (or a signed Board Resolution authorising the signatory will be accepted).

(ix) The Bid Document must be completed / fill in using permanent black ink pen. Failure to comply will lead to disqualification.

Note: Failure to comply with any of the above-mentioned requirements shall render the bid non-responsive and shall result in automatic disqualification of the bid response.

11. STAGE 2: FUNCTIONALITY

Only bidders that have passed stage 1 mandatory requirements will be evaluated further on stage 2 for functionality.

Stage 2 entails the process of evaluation of functionality/technical requirements. Only bidders who meet the threshold of **70% (490 Points)** or above of the total functionality/quality points will be eligible to be placed on the panel.

The Table four (4) below, specifies in the functionality/technical criteria to be considered under the evaluation.

Table 4: Summary of Quality Criteria

Quality Criteria		Points Allocation
A	Experience of the company on at least 4 similar projects, not older than 10 years. Each with a value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00. It must not be a project of construction of repetitive houses to a total of above value	245
B	Quality of services based on Clients Reference Template issued.	245
C	Qualifications, experience and professional registration of the key assigned personnel to this project	210
TOTAL POINTS		700

1. ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES (Total 100 Points)

A. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: FIRM'S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS (35 points)

Points are allocated for the bidding entity's experience in managing major building projects **completed** (not currently running) in the past **10 years** relating to built environment architectural services on the construction **of four (4) similar projects**. The experience refers to major projects managed by the entity which are largely comprised of renovation or refurbishment works and new construction which have the complexity and value in accordance with the estimated construction cost of R15 000 000,00 utilised on the basis to form the panel.

Note: A project of repetitive houses to the value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00 or above, does not qualify for evaluation.

Bidders are requested to list the **four (4) projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document T2.C13.

The projects listed under “A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects” will be the same projects to be utilized for evaluation under **“B. Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter”**.

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

- A. Letters of Appointment
- B. Practical/Final Completion Certificates

Both documents (A & B above) must be submitted in order for the bidders to be scored in this criteria.

Note: The letter of appointment must correspond with the practical/final completion certificate submitted. Companies in the letters of appointment and completion certificates should be the same and further be the same in the CIPC document, with the same name and enterprise number.

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following Table 5:

Table 5: Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm’s Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)

A - Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm’s Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)	
(To be rounded off to the nearest single digit)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided evidence of experience on similar projects in the past 10 years in any of the value ranges listed below.	0
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on one (1) similar project in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	9
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on two (2) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	18
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on three (3) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	27

Bidder has provided evidence of experience on four (4) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).

35

B. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter (35 points)

Points are allocated for performance on previous projects executed in terms of the respective completed “Client Reference Letter” (see returnable schedules) for the projects listed on the abovementioned **“A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects”** returnable schedule.

*Bidders are requested to submit the reference letters for **the four projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document*

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B1. Scored signed reference forms (as per returnable schedule)

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following table 6:

Table 6

Projects	Overall Assessment by Client			
	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good
Project 1	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 2	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 3	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 4	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Total Number of Points	0	17	26	35

Note Bidders are to note that BEC at its discretion may embark on a due diligence exercise on the projects under consideration and may visit the sites to confirm reference.

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: KEY PERSONNEL (30 points)

Qualifications, Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate and Experience of key personnel (30 points):

Points will be allocated based on professional registration, qualifications, and relevant experience of the key personnel assigned to the project. To obtain these points, key personnel must possess the following:

- A valid and active professional registration as Professional Architect with the South African Council for the Architectural Professions (SACAP).

- Master of Architecture Degree, and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.
- **OR** Bachelor of Architecture/ Bachelor of Architectural Studies Degree; and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.

For each key personnel member allocated to the project, bidders must submit the following documents:

- Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae.
- Original certified copy of qualifications, certified not more than 6 months.
- A copy of the current active professional registration certificate

These documents must be submitted in accordance with the returnable schedule T2.C14.

Failure to submit all required documentation for each category will result in no points being awarded under this evaluation criterion.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the key Personnel provided

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following tables 7:

Table 7

C - Evaluation sub-criteria: Key Personnel (30 points)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	0
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bachelor of Architecture/ Bachelor of Architectural Studies Degree Qualification, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	7.5
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master of Architecture Degree Qualification, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	15
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bachelor of Architecture/ Bachelor of Architectural Studies Degree Qualification, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification 	22.5

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Master of Architecture Degree Qualification, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	30

2. QUANTITY SURVEYING SERVICES (Total 100 Points)

A. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: FIRM'S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS (35 points)

Points are allocated for the bidding entity's experience in managing major building projects **completed** (not currently running) in the past **10 years** relating to built environment quantity surveying services on the construction **of four (4) similar projects**. The experience refers to major projects managed by the entity which are largely comprised of renovation or refurbishment works and new construction which have the complexity and value in accordance with the estimated construction cost of R15 000 000,00 utilised on the basis to form the panel.

Note: A project of repetitive houses to the value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00 or above, does not qualify for evaluation.

Bidders are requested to list the **four (4) projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document T2.C13.

The projects listed under "A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects" will be the same projects to be utilized for evaluation under **"B. Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter"**.

In support, bidders are to complete the "Project Experience" returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B. Letters of Appointment

C. Practical/Final Completion Certificates

Both documents (A & B above) must be submitted in order for the bidders to be scored in this criteria.

Note: The letter of appointment must correspond with the practical/final completion certificate submitted. Companies in the letters of appointment and completion certificates should be the same and further be the same in the CIPC document, with the same name and enterprise number.

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following Table 5:

Table 5: Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)

A - Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)	
(To be rounded off to the nearest single digit)	
	Maximum

Sub Criteria	Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided evidence of experience on similar projects in the past 10 years in any of the value ranges listed below.	0
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on one (1) similar project in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	9
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on two (2) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	18
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on three (3) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	27
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on four (4) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	35

B. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter (35 points)

Points are allocated for performance on previous projects executed in terms of the respective completed “Client Reference Letter” (see returnable schedules) for the projects listed on the abovementioned **“A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects”** returnable schedule.

*Bidders are requested to submit the reference letters for **the four projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document*

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B1. Scored signed reference forms (as per returnable schedule)

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following table 6:

Table 6

Projects	Overall Assessment by Client			
	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good
Project 1	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 2	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 3	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 4	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Total Number of Points	0	17	26	35

Note Bidders are to note that BEC at its discretion may embark on a due diligence exercise on the projects under consideration and may visit the sites to confirm reference.

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: KEY PERSONNEL (30 points)

Qualifications, Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate and Experience of key personnel (30 points):

Points will be allocated based on professional registration, qualifications, and relevant experience of the key personnel assigned to the project. To obtain these points, key personnel must possess the following:

- A valid and active professional registration as Professional Quantity Surveyor with the South African Council for the Quantity Surveying Professions (SACQSP).

- BSC Quantity Surveying Honours Degree; and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.
- **OR** BSC Quantity Surveying / B-Tech Quantity Surveying / Advanced Diploma Quantity Surveying, and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.

For each key personnel member allocated to the project, bidders must submit the following documents:

- Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae.
- Original certified copy of qualifications, certified not more than 6 months.
- A copy of the current active professional registration certificate

These documents must be submitted in accordance with the returnable schedule T2.C14.

Failure to submit all required documentation for each category will result in no points being awarded under this evaluation criterion.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the key Personnel provided

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following tables 7:

Table 7

C - Evaluation sub-criteria: Key Personnel (30 points)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	0
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC Quantity Surveying / B-Tech Quantity Surveying / Advanced Diploma Quantity Surveying Qualifications <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	7.5
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC Quantity Surveying Honours, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	15
Bidder has provided the following:	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC Quantity Surveying / B-Tech Quantity Surveying / Advanced Diploma Quantity Surveying Qualifications, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	22.5
<p>Bidder has provided the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC Quantity Surveying Honours Qualifications, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	30

3. CIVIL/STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SERVICES (Total 100 Points)

A. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: FIRM'S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS (35 points)

Points are allocated for the bidding entity's experience in managing major building projects **completed** (not currently running) in the past **10 years** relating to built environment civil/structural engineering on the construction **of four (4) similar projects**. The experience refers to major projects managed by the entity which are largely comprised of renovation or refurbishment works and new construction which have the complexity and value in accordance with the estimated construction cost of R15 000 000,00 utilised on the basis to form the panel.

Note: A project of repetitive houses to the value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00 or above, does not qualify for evaluation.

Bidders are requested to list the **four (4) projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document T2.C13.

The projects listed under "A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects" will be the same projects to be utilized for evaluation under **"B. Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter"**.

In support, bidders are to complete the "Project Experience" returnable schedule and attach thereto:

- A. Letters of Appointment
- B. Practical/Final Completion Certificates

Both documents (A & B above) must be submitted in order for the bidders to be scored in this criteria.

Note: The letter of appointment must correspond with the practical/final completion certificate submitted. Companies in the letters of appointment and completion certificates should be the same and further be the same in the CIPC document, with the same name and enterprise number.

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following Table 5:

Table 5: Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)

A - Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points) (To be rounded off to the nearest single digit)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided evidence of experience on similar projects in the past 10 years in any of the value ranges listed below.	0
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on one (1) similar project in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	9
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on two (2) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	18
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on three (3) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	27
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on four (4) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	35

B. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter (35 points)

Points are allocated for performance on previous projects executed in terms of the respective completed “Client Reference Letter” (see returnable schedules) for the projects listed on the abovementioned **“A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects”** returnable schedule.

*Bidders are requested to submit the reference letters for **the four projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document*

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B1. Scored signed reference forms (as per returnable schedule)

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following table 6:

Table 6

Projects	Overall Assessment by Client			
	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good
Project 1	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 2	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 3	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 4	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Total Number of Points	0	17	26	35

Note Bidders are to note that BEC at its discretion may embark on a due diligence exercise on the projects under consideration and may visit the sites to confirm reference.

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: KEY PERSONNEL (30 points)

Qualifications, Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate and Experience of key personnel (30 points):

Points will be allocated based on professional registration, qualifications, and relevant experience of the key personnel assigned to the project. To obtain these points, key personnel must possess the following:

- A valid and active professional registration as Professional Engineer with the Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA).

- BSC (Eng) / BEng in Civil Engineering Degree; and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.
- **OR** BSC, B-Tech in Civil Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Civil Engineering, and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.

For each key personnel member allocated to the project, bidders must submit the following documents:

- Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae.
- Original certified copy of qualifications, certified not more than 6 months.
- A copy of the current active professional registration certificate

These documents must be submitted in accordance with the returnable schedule T2.C14.

Failure to submit all required documentation for each category will result in no points being awarded under this evaluation criterion.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the key Personnel provided

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following tables 7:

Table 7

C - Evaluation sub-criteria: Key Personnel (30 points)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	0
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC, B-Tech in Civil Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Civil Engineering Qualifications <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	7.5
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC (Eng) / BEng in Civil Engineering Degree, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	15
Bidder has provided the following:	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC, B-Tech in Civil Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Civil Engineering Qualifications, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	22.5
<p>Bidder has provided the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC (Eng) / BEng in Civil Engineering Degree, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	30

4. ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES (Total 100 Points)

A. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: FIRM'S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS (35 points)

Points are allocated for the bidding entity's experience in managing major building projects **completed** (not currently running) in the past **10 years** relating to built environment electrical engineering on the construction **of four (4) similar projects**. The experience refers to major projects managed by the entity which are largely comprised of renovation or refurbishment works and new construction which have the complexity and value in accordance with the estimated construction cost of R15 000 000,00 utilised on the basis to form the panel.

Note: A project of repetitive houses to the value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00 or above, does not qualify for evaluation.

Bidders are requested to list the **four (4) projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document T2.C13.

The projects listed under "A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects" will be the same projects to be utilized for evaluation under **"B. Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter"**.

In support, bidders are to complete the "Project Experience" returnable schedule and attach thereto:

- A. Letters of Appointment
- B. Practical/Final Completion Certificates

Both documents (A & B above) must be submitted in order for the bidders to be scored in this criteria.

Note: The letter of appointment must correspond with the practical/final completion certificate submitted. Companies in the letters of appointment and completion certificates should be the same and further be the same in the CIPC document, with the same name and enterprise number.

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following Table 5:

Table 5: Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)

A - Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points) (To be rounded off to the nearest single digit)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided evidence of experience on similar projects in the past 10 years in any of the value ranges listed below.	0
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on one (1) similar project in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	9
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on two (2) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	18
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on three (3) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	27
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on four (4) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	35

B. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter (35 points)

Points are allocated for performance on previous projects executed in terms of the respective completed “Client Reference Letter” (see returnable schedules) for the projects listed on the abovementioned **“A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects”** returnable schedule.

*Bidders are requested to submit the reference letters for **the four projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document*

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B1. Scored signed reference forms (as per returnable schedule)

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following table 6:

Table 6

Projects	Overall Assessment by Client			
	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good
Project 1	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 2	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 3	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 4	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Total Number of Points	0	17	26	35

Note Bidders are to note that BEC at its discretion may embark on a due diligence exercise on the projects under consideration and may visit the sites to confirm reference.

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: KEY PERSONNEL (30 points)

Qualifications, Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate and Experience of key personnel (30 points):

Points will be allocated based on professional registration, qualifications, and relevant experience of the key personnel assigned to the project. To obtain these points, key personnel must possess the following:

- A valid and active professional registration as Professional Engineer / Professional Technologist with the Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA).

- BSC (Eng) / BEng in Electrical Engineering Degree; and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.
- **OR** BSC, B-Tech in Electrical Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Electrical Engineering, and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.

For each key personnel member allocated to the project, bidders must submit the following documents:

- Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae.
- Original certified copy of qualifications, certified not more than 6 months.
- A copy of the current active professional registration certificate

These documents must be submitted in accordance with the returnable schedule T2.C14.

Failure to submit all required documentation for each category will result in no points being awarded under this evaluation criterion.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the key Personnel provided

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following tables 7:

Table 7

C - Evaluation sub-criteria: Key Personnel (30 points)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	0
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC, B-Tech in Electrical Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Electrical Engineering Qualifications <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	7.5
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC (Eng) / BEng in Electrical Engineering Degree, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	15
Bidder has provided the following:	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC, B-Tech in Electrical Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Electrical Engineering Qualifications, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	22.5
<p>Bidder has provided the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC (Eng) / BEng in Electrical Engineering Degree, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	30

5. MECHANICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES (Total 100 Points)

A. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: FIRM'S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS (35 points)

Points are allocated for the bidding entity's experience in managing major building projects **completed** (not currently running) in the past **10 years** relating to built environment Mechanical engineering on the construction **of four (4) similar projects**. The experience refers to major projects managed by the entity which are largely comprised of renovation or refurbishment works and new construction which have the complexity and value in accordance with the estimated construction cost of R15 000 000,00 utilised on the basis to form the panel.

Note: A project of repetitive houses to the value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00 or above, does not qualify for evaluation.

Bidders are requested to list the **four (4) projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document T2.C13.

The projects listed under "A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects" will be the same projects to be utilized for evaluation under **"B. Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter"**.

In support, bidders are to complete the "Project Experience" returnable schedule and attach thereto:

- C. Letters of Appointment
- D. Practical/Final Completion Certificates

Both documents (A & B above) must be submitted in order for the bidders to be scored in this criteria.

Note: The letter of appointment must correspond with the practical/final completion certificate submitted. Companies in the letters of appointment and completion certificates should be the same and further be the same in the CIPC document, with the same name and enterprise number.

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following Table 5:

Table 5: Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm’s Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)

A - Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm’s Experience on Similar Projects (35 points) (To be rounded off to the nearest single digit)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided evidence of experience on similar projects in the past 10 years in any of the value ranges listed below.	0
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on one (1) similar project in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	9
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on two (2) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	18
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on three (3) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	27
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on four (4) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	35

B. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter (35 points)

Points are allocated for performance on previous projects executed in terms of the respective completed “Client Reference Letter” (see returnable schedules) for the projects listed on the abovementioned **“A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects”** returnable schedule.

*Bidders are requested to submit the reference letters for **the four projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document*

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B1. Scored signed reference forms (as per returnable schedule)

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following table 6:

Table 6

Projects	Overall Assessment by Client			
	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good
Project 1	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 2	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 3	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 4	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Total Number of Points	0	17	26	35

Note Bidders are to note that BEC at its discretion may embark on a due diligence exercise on the projects under consideration and may visit the sites to confirm reference.

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: KEY PERSONNEL (30 points)

Qualifications, Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate and Experience of key personnel (30 points):

Points will be allocated based on professional registration, qualifications, and relevant experience of the key personnel assigned to the project. To obtain these points, key personnel must possess the following:

- A valid and active professional registration as Professional Engineer / Professional Technologist with the Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA).

- BSC (Eng) / BEng in Mechanical Engineering Degree; and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.
- **OR** BSC, B-Tech in Mechanical Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Mechanical Engineering, and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.

For each key personnel member allocated to the project, bidders must submit the following documents:

- Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae.
- Original certified copy of qualifications, certified not more than 6 months.
- A copy of the current active professional registration certificate

These documents must be submitted in accordance with the returnable schedule T2.C14.

Failure to submit all required documentation for each category will result in no points being awarded under this evaluation criterion.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the key Personnel provided

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following tables 7:

Table 7

C - Evaluation sub-criteria: Key Personnel (30 points)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	0
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC, B-Tech in Mechanical Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Mechanical Engineering Qualifications <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	7.5
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC (Eng) / BEng in Mechanical Engineering Degree, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	15
Bidder has provided the following:	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC, B-Tech in Mechanical Engineering/ Advanced Diploma in Mechanical Engineering Qualifications, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	22.5
<p>Bidder has provided the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BSC (Eng) / BEng in Mechanical Engineering Degree, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	30

6. CONSTRUCTION HEALTH & SAFETY SERVICES (OHS) (Total 100 Points)

A. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: FIRM'S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS (35 points)

Points are allocated for the bidding entity's experience in managing major building projects completed (not currently running) in the past 10 years relating to built environment construction health safety services on the construction of four (4) similar projects. The experience refers to major projects managed by the entity which are largely comprised of renovation or refurbishment works and new construction which have the complexity and value in accordance with the estimated construction cost of R15 000 000,00 utilised on the basis to form the panel.

Note: A project of repetitive houses to the value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00 or above, does not qualify for evaluation.

Bidders are requested to list the **four (4) projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document T2.C13.

The projects listed under "A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects" will be the same projects to be utilized for evaluation under **"B. Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter"**.

In support, bidders are to complete the "Project Experience" returnable schedule and attach thereto:

- Letters of Appointment
- Practical/Final Completion Certificates

Both documents (A & B above) must be submitted in order for the bidders to be scored in this criteria.

Note: The letter of appointment must correspond with the practical/final completion certificate submitted. Companies in the letters of appointment and completion certificates should be the same and further be the same in the CIPC document, with the same name and enterprise number.

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following Table 5:

Table 5: Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)

A - Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm's Experience on Similar Projects (35 points) (To be rounded off to the nearest single digit)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided evidence of experience on similar projects in the past 10 years in any of the value ranges listed below.	0
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on one (1) similar project in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	9
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on two (2) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	18
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on three (3) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	27
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on four (4) similar projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	35

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter (35 points)

Points are allocated for performance on previous projects executed in terms of the respective completed “Client Reference Letter” (see returnable schedules) for the projects listed on the abovementioned **“A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects”** returnable schedule.

*Bidders are requested to submit the reference letters for **the four projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document*

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B1. Scored signed reference forms (as per returnable schedule)

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following table 6:

Table 6

Projects	Overall Assessment by Client			
	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good
Project 1	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 2	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 3	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 4	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Total Number of Points	0	17	26	35

Note Bidders are to note that BEC at its discretion may embark on a due diligence exercise on the projects under consideration and may visit the sites to confirm reference.

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: KEY PERSONNEL (30 points)

Qualifications, Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate and Experience of key personnel (30 points):

Points will be allocated based on professional registration, qualifications, and relevant experience of the key personnel assigned to the project. To obtain these points, key personnel must possess the following:

- A valid and active professional registration with the South African Council for the Project and Construction Management Professions (SACPCMP), as a construction health and safety agent (Pr-CHSA).
- Built Environment OR Environmental Health Safety Management B-Degree / B-Tech / Advanced Diploma; and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.
- **OR** Built Environment OR Environmental Health Safety Management National Diploma, and 5 years verifiable experience post professional registration, of which all experienced building projects must be R15 million or above.

For each key personnel member allocated to the project, bidders must submit the following documents:

- Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae.
- Original certified copy of qualifications, certified not more than 6 months.
- A copy of the current active professional registration certificate

These documents must be submitted in accordance with the returnable schedule T2.C14.

Failure to submit all required documentation for each category will result in no points being awarded under this evaluation criterion.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the key Personnel provided

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following tables 7:

Table 7

C - Evaluation sub-criteria: Key Personnel (30 points)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	0
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Built Environment OR Environmental Health Safety Management National Diploma Qualification, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	7.5
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Built Environment OR Environmental Health Safety Management B-Degree / B-Tech / Advanced Diploma Qualifications, <u>with less than 5 years</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	15
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Built Environment OR Environmental Health Safety Management National Diploma Qualification, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	22.5
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Built Environment OR Environmental Health Safety Management B-Degree / B-Tech / Advanced Diploma Qualifications, <u>with 5 years or more</u> post professional registration qualification • Valid and Active Professional Registration Certificate • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	30

7. SOCIAL FACILITATION SERVICES (Total 100 Points)

A. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: FIRM'S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS (35 points)

Points are allocated for the bidding entity's experience in managing major building projects completed (not currently running) in the past 10 years relating to built environment construction social facilitation services on the construction of four (4) similar projects. The experience refers to major projects managed by the entity which are largely comprised of renovation or refurbishment works and new construction which have the complexity and value in accordance with the estimated construction cost of R15 000 000,00 utilised on the basis to form the panel.

Bidders are requested to list up to **four (4) projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document T2.C13.

The projects listed under “A. Relevant Project Experience on construction projects” will be the same projects to be utilized for evaluation under **“B. Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter”**.

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

- A. Letters of Appointment
- B. Practical/Final Completion Certificates

Both documents (A & B above) must be submitted in order for the bidders to be scored in this criteria.

Note: The letter of appointment must correspond with the practical/final completion certificate submitted. Companies in the letters of appointment and completion certificates should be the same and further be the same in the CIPC document, with the same name and enterprise number.

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following Table 4:

Table 4: Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm’s Experience on Similar Projects (35 points)

A - Evaluation sub-criteria: Firm’s Experience on Construction Projects (35 points) (To be rounded off to the nearest single digit)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not provided evidence of experience on construction projects in the past 10 years in any of the value ranges listed below.	0
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on one (1) construction project in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	9
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on two (2) construction projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	18
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on three (3) construction projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	27
Bidder has provided evidence of experience on four (4) construction projects in the past 10 years, with a value threshold equal or above R15m. This is to be supported by the requested documentation (A & B above).	35

**D. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: Quality of services based on Clients Reference Letter
(35 points)**

Points are allocated for performance on previous projects executed in terms of the respective completed “Client Reference Letter” (see returnable schedules) for the projects listed on the abovementioned **“A. Relevant Project Experience on similar construction projects”** returnable schedule.

*Bidders are requested to submit the reference letters for **the four projects** for which they wish to be considered for evaluation in the returnable document*

In support, bidders are to complete the “Project Experience” returnable schedule and attach thereto:

B1. Scored signed reference forms (as per returnable schedule)

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following table 5:

Table 5:

Projects	Overall Assessment by Client			
	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good
Project 1	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 2	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 3	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Project 4	0	4.25	6.5	8.75
Total Number of Points	0	17	26	35

Note Bidders are to note that BEC at its discretion may embark on a due diligence exercise on the projects under consideration and may visit the sites to confirm reference.

C. EVALUATION SCHEDULE: KEY PERSONNEL (30 points)

Qualifications and Experience of key personnel (30 points):

Points will be allocated based on qualifications and experience of the key personnel assigned to the project. To obtain these points, key personnel must possess the following:

- Bachelor’s Degree / B-Tech / National Higher Diploma / Advanced Diploma in Social Sciences, Community Development, Development Studies, Social Work, or related field; and 5 years’ experience determined by the date of acquiring the academic qualification.
- **OR** National Diploma in Social Sciences, Community Development, Development Studies, Social Work, or related field; and 5 years’ experience determined by the date of acquiring the academic qualification.

For each key personnel member allocated to the project, bidders must submit the following documents:

- Original certified copy of qualification, certified not more than 6 months.

These documents must be submitted in accordance with the returnable schedule T2.C14.

Failure to submit all required documentation for each category will result in no points being awarded under this evaluation criterion.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the key Personnel provided

Evaluation points will be awarded in terms of the following tables 6:

Table 6:

C - Evaluation sub-criteria: Key Personnel (30 points)	
Sub Criteria	Maximum Points Awarded
Bidder has not all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Updated detailed Curriculum Vitae 	0
Bidder has provided the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Diploma in Social Sciences, Community Development, Development Studies, Social Work, or related field 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experience based on the academic qualification, acquired less than 5 years 	7.5
<p>Bidder has provided the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bachelor's Degree / B-Tech / National Higher Diploma / Advanced Diploma in Social Sciences, Community Development, Development Studies, Social Work, or related field. • Experience based on the academic qualification, acquired less than 5 years 	15
<p>Bidder has provided the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Diploma in Social Sciences, Community Development, Development Studies, Social Work, or related field • Experience based on the academic qualification, acquired more than 5 years 	22.5
<p>Bidder has provided the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bachelor's Degree / B-Tech / National Higher Diploma / Advanced Diploma in Social Sciences, Development Studies, Communication, Education & Public Management. • Experience based on the academic qualification, acquired more than 5 years 	30

10.1 General Condition

- (i) The tender shall be valid for **90 days**.
- (ii) No late, bids, copies, e-mailed or faxed documents will be considered.
- (iii) Bids must be submitted by no later than **12h00** on Friday **17 July 2026**. Any bid documents received after closing date and time will not be considered.

10.2 DISCLAIMER

- (i) IDT reserves the right to cancel or withdraw this expression of interest without prior notice and without furnishing any reasons whatsoever.
- (ii) Should the bid be cancelled by the IDT, for any reasons, the IDT shall not be liable to remunerate the service provider for any potential loss of business and any cost/s incurred in relation to bid

**PART A
INVITATION TO BID**

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE (NAME OF DEPARTMENT/ PUBLIC ENTITY)					
BID NUMBER:	IDTEC/35/MULTI-CON-PANEL/2026/27	CLOSING DATE:	17 July 2026	CLOSING TIME:	12:00
DESCRIPTION	INVITATION TO BID FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE INDEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT TRUST (IDT) PANEL FOR MULTI-DISCIPLINARY FIRM OR CONSORTIUM OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDERS (PSPs)				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE DEPOSITED IN THE BID BOX SITUATED AT (STREET ADDRESS)					
Unit 12D, 1st Floor North Wing Building, Beacon Bay Crossing Centre					
C/O N2 & Bonza Bay Road, Beacon Bay,					
East London, 5241					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	Zanele Madzidzela		CONTACT PERSON	Bonga Boo	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	(043) 711 6000		TELEPHONE NUMBER	(043) 711 6000	
FACSIMILE NUMBER			FACSIMILE NUMBER		
E-MAIL ADDRESS	zanele@idt.org.za		E-MAIL ADDRESS	bongab@idt.org.za	
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
FACSIMILE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE	[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]		B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL SWORN AFFIDAVIT	[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]	

	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	--	--	--

[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE/ SWORN AFFIDAVIT (FOR EMES & QSEs) MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE POINTS FOR B-BBEE]

1.1.1.1 ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]	1.1.1.2 ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [IF YES, ANSWER THE QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW]
--	--

QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS

IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?
NO YES

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?
NO YES

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?
YES NO

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?
NO YES

IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?
YES NO

IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.

PART B

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:
1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED–(NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.
1.3. THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2017,.
2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS
2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA.
2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED, EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
2.6 WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE.”

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise,

employed by the state?

YES/NO

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

1 The power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise .

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative

penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature Date

.....
Position Name of bidder

³ Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

T2.C13: FIRM’S EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS

Points are allocated for relevant experience relating to built environment construction services on the renovation or refurbishment works and new construction to the value threshold equal or above R15 000 000,00 per / each project completed in the past 10 years (*Bidder to make copies for each company*). Bidders are required to only use the provided table/template. Failure to use the provided table/ templates **T2.C13** will result in non-allocation of points.

Bidders are to note that due diligence will be conducted on the projects listed below 7.

PROJECT NAME	BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT VALUE (Including VAT)	START DATE	COMPLETION DATE
A				
B				
C				
D				

Table 7

*** Bidders are requested to list the four projects they wish to be considered for evaluation in the table above**

T2.C13.1 EVALUATION SCHEDULE: EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTSREFERENCES

The Bidder shall provide details of his performance on each of the previous projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. “Employer Reference Scorecards” will be completed by each of the respective Employers for the projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. *(Bidder to make copies for each discipline)*

REPORT ON BIDDER’S COMPETENCE & PERFORMANCE ON A SIMILAR PROJECT FOR BID RECOMMENDATION PURPOSES

The following are to be completed by the Employer and are to be supported in each case, accompanied by a Signed Appointment Letter; (ii) Signed and Stamped Reference (in the form issued to the bidder)

PROJECT A:

Type of Project, e.g. (new facility, renovation project, refurbishment project):

.....

Employer:

Name of Appointed Bidder.....

Contract Amount:

Contract Duration:

Actual Contract Duration:.....

RATE SERVICE PROVIDER ON THEIR OVERALL PERFORMANCE

DESCRIPTION	SCORE	TICK APPROPRIATE SCORING
Very Good	8.75	
Good	6.5	
Average	4.25	
Poor	0	

Any other remarks considered necessary to assist in evaluation of the Service Provider?

.....

Employer’s contact person:

Telephone:

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, information completed above is true and correct and I understand that I will be held responsible for any misrepresentation.

Employer



Signature:

Date:

T2.C13.1 EVALUATION SCHEDULE: EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS/REFERENCES

The Bidder shall provide details of his performance on each of the previous projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. “Employer Reference Scorecards” will be completed by each of the respective Employers for the projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. *(Bidder to make copies for each discipline)*

REPORT ON BIDDER’S COMPETENCE & PERFORMANCE ON A SIMILAR PROJECT FOR BID RECOMMENDATION PURPOSES

The following are to be completed by the Employer and are to be supported in each case, accompanied by a Signed Appointment Letter; (ii) Signed and Stamped Reference (in the form issued to the bidder)

PROJECT B:

Type of Project, e.g. (new facility, renovation project, refurbishment project):

.....

Employer:

Name of Appointed Bidder.....

Contract Amount:.....

Contract Duration:.....

Actual Contract Duration.....

RATE SERVICE PROVIDER ON THEIR OVERALL PERFORMANCE

DESCRIPTION	SCORE	TICK APPROPRIATE SCORING
Very Good	8.75	
Good	6.5	
Average	4.25	
Poor	0	

Any other remarks considered necessary to assist in evaluation of the Service Provider?


.....

Employer’s contact person:

Telephone:

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, information completed above is true and correct and I understand that I will be held responsible for any misrepresentation.

Employer



Signature:.....

Date:.....

T2.C13.1 EVALUATION SCHEDULE: EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS REFERENCES

The Bidder shall provide details of his performance on each of the previous projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. “Employer Reference Scorecards” will be completed by each of the respective Employers for the projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. *(Bidder to make copies for each discipline)*

REPORT ON BIDDER’S COMPETENCE & PERFORMANCE ON A SIMILAR PROJECT FOR BID RECOMMENDATION PURPOSES

The following are to be completed by the Employer and are to be supported in each case, accompanied by a Signed Appointment Letter; (ii) Signed and Stamped Reference (in the form issued to the bidder)

PROJECT C:

Type of Project, e.g. (new facility, renovation project, refurbishment project):

.....

Employer:

Name of Appointed Bidder:

Contract Amount:

Contract Duration:

Actual Contract Duration:

RATE SERVICE PROVIDER ON THEIR OVERALL PERFORMANCE

DESCRIPTION	SCORE	TICK APPROPRIATE SCORING
Very Good	8.75	
Good	6.5	
Average	4.25	
Poor	0	

Any other remarks considered necessary to assist in evaluation of the Service Provider?


.....

Employer’s contact person:

Telephone:

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, information completed above is true and correct and I understand that I will be held responsible for any misrepresentation.

Employer



Signature:.....

Date:.....

T2.C13.1 EVALUATION SCHEDULE: EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTSREFERENCES

The Bidder shall provide details of his performance on each of the previous projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. “Employer Reference Scorecards” will be completed by each of the respective Employers for the projects listed in the “Relevant Experience” returnable schedule. *(Bidder to make copies for each discipline)*

REPORT ON BIDDER’S COMPETENCE & PERFORMANCE ON A SIMILAR PROJECT FOR BID RECOMMENDATION PURPOSES

The following are to be completed by the Employer and are to be supported in each case, accompanied by a Signed Appointment Letter; (ii) Signed and Stamped Reference (in the form issued to the bidder)

PROJECT D:

Type of Project, e.g. (new facility, renovation project, refurbishment project):

.....

Employer:

Name of Appointed Bidder.....

Contract Amount:

Contract Duration:

Actual Contract Duration:

RATE SERVICE PROVIDER ON THEIR OVERALL PERFORMANCE

DESCRIPTION	SCORE	TICK APPROPRIATE SCORING
Very Good	8.75	
Good	6.5	
Average	4.25	
Poor	0	

Any other remarks considered necessary to assist in evaluation of the Service Provider?


.....

Employer’s contact person:

Telephone:

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, information completed above is true and correct and I understand that I will be held responsible for any misrepresentation.

Employer



Signature:.....

Date:.....

CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDA TO BID DOCUMENTS

I / We confirm that the following communications amending the bid documents that I / we received from Independent Development Trust or his representative before the closing date for submission of bids have been taken into account in this bid.

ADDENDUM No.	DATE	TITLE OR DETAILS

TENDERES NAME: _____

TENDERES ADDRESS: _____

PRINT FULL NAMES: _____

SIGNATURE

Date

Returnable Documents

List of returnable documents are for ensuring that everything the employer requires a tenderer to submit with his tender is included in, or returned with, his tender submission. Tick below if returnable document is attached or completed properly.

#	LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS	TICK IF ATTACHED
1	Professional Registration of the Director	
3	Proof of CSD registration	
4	B-BBEE Certificate	
5	Tax Clearance Certificate with PIN	
6	Joint Venture Agreement Between Parties (If Applicable)	
7	Certified copies Identity Documents	
8	Copy of Registration of Incorporation or Company Registration Documents	
9	Copy of a Letter of Good standing with Compensation For Occupational And Injuries Dieses Act (COIDA) Registration Certificate or Federated Employers Mutual Assurance (FEM) Insurance	
10	SBD Forms (SBD 1; 4)	
11	Certificate of Attendance At Compulsory Briefing if applicable	
12	Certificate of Authority for Signatory	
13	Record of Addenda to the tender documents if applicable	
14	Amendments, Qualifications And Alternatives	
15	Project Experience	
16	Letters of Appointment, and Relevant Completion Certificates (Practical Completion, Work Completion & Final Completion)	
17	Client References	
18	Key Personnel	

