



REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (RFQ)

**FOR THE PROVISION OF CORPORATE THREAT ASSESSMENT FOR THE
SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY (SACAA).**

1. INTRODUCTION.

The South African Civil Aviation Authority (SACAA) is an agency of the Department of Transport (DoT), established on 01 October 1998, following the enactment of the now repealed South African Civil Aviation Authority Act, 1998 (Act No. 40 of 1998). This Act was replaced by the Civil Aviation Act, 2009 (Act No. 13 of 2009), which came into effect on 31 March 2010. As outlined in the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999) (as amended by Act No. 29 of 1999), the SACAA is a Schedule 3A public entity. The PFMA designates the SACAA's Board of Directors as the organisation's Accounting Authority responsible for governance, while the Minister of Transport is the Executive Authority.

The Civil Aviation Act provides for the establishment of a stand-alone authority, mandated with controlling, promoting, regulating, supporting, developing, enforcing, and continuously improving levels of safety and security throughout the civil aviation industry. The above is achieved by complying with the Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs) of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), while considering the local context. The SACAA, through a Ministerial order, is mandated with the administrative functioning of the aircraft Accident and Incident Investigation Division (AIID), while the Department of Transport is responsible for the functional running of this unit.

2. INVITATION TO BID.

The purpose of this Request for Quotation (RFQ) is to solicit quotes from potential bidder(s) for the following items:

DESCRIPTION OF GOODS/ SERVICE REQUIRED		THE PROVISION OF CORPORATE THREAT ASSESSMENT FOR THE SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY (SACAA)	
ITEM/ SERVICE DESCRIPTION/ DETAILED SPECIFICATION			
The South African Civil Aviation Authority (SACAA) invites proposals from suitably qualified and experienced service providers to conduct a comprehensive Corporate Threat Assessment across our entire IT environment for the South African Civil Aviation (SACAA). This assessment must include, but is not limited to, all internal networks, external-facing systems, web applications, cloud platforms, business critical assets and any associated digital infrastructure.			

The primary objective of this engagement is to proactively identify, analyze, and document potential security vulnerabilities, configuration weaknesses, and threat vectors that could impact the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of SACAA's information assets. The assessment should be conducted in alignment with internationally recognised cybersecurity frameworks and best practices, including but not limited to ISO/IEC 27001, the OWASP Top 10 (2025), and the NIST SP 800-115 Technical Guide to Information Security Testing and Assessment.

The selected service provider will be expected to deliver a detailed threat analysis report, complete with risk ratings, actionable remediation recommendations, and a prioritised roadmap for mitigation. Additionally, the assessment should provide insights into SACAA's current security posture, gaps in controls, and opportunities for strengthening our cyber resilience.

Internal Assessment Test

Evaluate internal network security, including potential threats within the organisation.

Internal Network

- Active IP Addresses = 800
- Workstations = 700
- 80 Servers = 80

Information Security Management

The Information Security Managed service should provide an additional layer of capabilities around South African Civil Aviation Authority's existing IT security mechanisms (e.g., Anti-Virus software, patch management, Intrusion Detection Systems, and event monitoring). It should also offer the proactive identification of inconsistency that could indicate organised IT security breaches or straightforward configuration errors.

Vulnerability Identification, Reporting and Management

Provide a solution and guidance on improving the Cyber Security posture, catered to South African Civil Aviation Authority specific needs. A Detailed Framework and execution plan to be supplied in detail.

Risk Identification and Management

To provide a service offering that will add the required benefit to the South African Civil Aviation Authority, a Vulnerability and risk evaluation/identification must be performed and cover the following technical capabilities.

- Network Discovery:
- Anomaly Detection:
- Vulnerability Analysis:
- Risk Management:

Mitigation Approach

Categorise vulnerabilities according to criticality and risk. The proposal should also highlight how these will be addressed. This should Include:

- External Vulnerability scanning
- Internal Vulnerability scanning
- Reporting – Technical and Executive

Wireless Security Test:

Assess the security of wireless networks and access points.

External Assessment Testing:

Assess the security of our network perimeter from external threats.

Number of websites = 20

Web Application Testing

Identify flaws in hosted applications including authentication, input validation, and session management. The Testing should be based on the Open Web Security Application Project (OWASP) framework, covering the following areas:

- Injection
- Broken authentication
- Sensitive data exposure
- XML external entities (XXE)
- Broken access control
- Security misconfiguration
- Cross-site scripting (XSS)
- Insecure deserialization

- Using components with known vulnerabilities
- Insufficient logging and monitoring

Web Application Areas of Evaluation

Provide detailed information on vulnerabilities in web applications

CIS - Centre of Internet Security

Validate working controls, gaps in current controls, and identify missing controls. Provide information on how CIS controls and mitigation is done

Other Requirements

The testing will be a **grey box vulnerability assessment** and penetration test. The service provider will receive limited information, including physical access to the building, target IP address ranges, and the website URL for web application assessment.

For the Internal Assessment, the provider will first attempt to bypass the network perimeter security. If this is unsuccessful within the agreed timeframe, they will be given standard network access to complete the test, ensuring that the objectives are met

The service provider must deliver **three presentations and reports** tailored to different audiences:

1. **Management** - focusing on operational insights and key findings.
2. **Exco** - highlighting strategic implications and high-level outcomes.
3. **Audit and Risk Committee (ARC)** - addressing risk management and compliance aspects.

Each presentation should be designed to meet the specific interests and priorities of the respective audience.

The service provider should conduct a **remediation assessment** specifically targeting critical and high-priority findings. This assessment should ensure that identified vulnerabilities have been effectively addressed and mitigated.

3. DURATION OF SERVICE.

3.1 The required service is for once off.

4. PROJECT SCOPE.

4.1. The business objectives are the following:

The objective is to identify and assess security vulnerabilities across SACAA's IT environment, validate the effectiveness of existing security controls, reduce the risk of cyberattacks and data compromise.

5. EVALUATION CRITERIA.

Bidders will be evaluated in accordance with the Supply Chain Management Policies as well as the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000) and the Preferential Procurement Regulations of 2022.

5.1. SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (SCM) ADMINISTRATIVE MANDATORY COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS.

Bids received will be verified for completeness and correctness. The SACAA reserves the right to accept or reject a bid based on the completeness and correctness of the documentation and information provided. The set of bid documents must be completed and submitted. (**SACAA reserves the right to request information/additional documents if there are any missing from the bidder(s) submission.**) Bidders are to ensure that they submit the following documentation / information with their bid.

Document	Comments	Compulsory requirement
Proof of registration on the Central Supplier Database (CSD) of the National Treasury	Prospective bidders must be registered on the Central Supplier Database (CSD) prior to submitting bids. Please indicate/ supply the supplier number.	Yes
SBD1 (Invitation to bid)	Completed and signed	Yes
SBD 4 (Bidders Disclosure)	Completed and signed	Yes
SBD6.1 (Preferential Procurement Point)	Completed and signed	Yes

5.2. PHASE 2 - TECHNICAL AND/ OR FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION.

Assessment of Technical / Functional evaluation of the bid will be done in terms of the criteria as stated in the table below. Bidders should take note of the Criterion, Weighting & Scoring when responding to this bid.

Table 1: Functionality Evaluation

FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION: Functionality Description			
Technical Requirements:	Description	Min	Max
Company References	Provide dated and signed letters of reference on the client's letterhead, including the contact person and contact details from the entity from which services were rendered. Reference must be in relation to this type of service provided in the last Three (3) years. • Five (5) contactable reference letters from clients where Corporate Threat assessment services were provided in the past 3 years from the closing date of this tender – (30 Points). • Four (4) contactable reference letters from clients where Corporate Threat assessment services were provided in the past 3 years from the closing date of this tender – (20 Points). • Three (3) contactable reference letters from clients where Corporate Threat assessment services were provided in the past 3 years from the closing date of this tender – (10 Points).	10	30
Ability to Conduct Penetration Testing:	The bidder to provide the following certificate • Certified Ethical Hacker (CEH)- (10 Points). • Offensive Security Certified Professional (OSCP)- (10 Points). • Certified Information Systems Security Professional (CISSP) - (10 Points).	50	50

Technical Approach and Methodology:	The bidder must include a detailed methodology and demonstrate understanding of the task mentioned above under Clauses 1 ▪ Internal penetration Testing Clause 2 ▪ External penetration Testing	20	20
Total Points		80	100

Bidders who score **80** or more point out of **100** on “functionality” will be considered for the next evaluation phase

5.3. PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOAL EVALUATIONS

Bidders who comply with the requirements of this bid will be evaluated according to the preference point scoring system as determined in the Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2022, pertaining to the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, (Act No. 5 of 2000).

For this bid, 80 points will be allocated for Price and 20 points for Specific Goals.

5.3.1. This tender will be evaluated using the 80/20 preferential point system. The following PPPFA formula will be used to evaluate the price:

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{\min}}{P_{\min}} \right)$$

P_s = Points scored for price of the bid under consideration.

P_t = Rand value of bid under consideration.

$P_{\min}$ = Rand value of lowest acceptable bid.

5.3.2. Only bidders that have achieved the minimum qualifying points on functionality will be evaluated further in accordance with the 80/20 preference point system as follows:

Points for this bid shall be awarded for:

- Price; and
- Specific Goal.

The maximum points for this bid are allocated as follows:

SPECIFIC GOAL	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOAL	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOAL	100

POINTS AWARDED FOR A SPECIFIC GOAL

In terms of the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022, points will be awarded for specific goals in accordance with the table below:

SPECIFIC GOALS (B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR)	NUMBER OF POINTS
1	20
2	18
3	14
4	12
5	5
6	6
7	4
8	2
Non-Compliant contributor	0

6. SUBMISSION OF RFQ DOCUMENT.

The bid submission requires a three (3) envelope system as per Section 4 of the evaluation criteria.

6.1 Envelope 1

All mandatory documents on Phase 1.

6.2 Envelope 2

Technical proposal (1 original and copy).

6.3 Envelope 3

The pricing schedule shall be submitted on a separate envelope from the technical proposal for ease of evaluation, as these will be evaluated separately (1 original and 1 copy). Bidders are required to provide a detailed price schedule breakdown.

7. Bidders are required to submit neat and bounded documents, as SACAA will not be held responsible for any loss of documents whatsoever.
8. RFQ documents shall be submitted in a sealed envelope and/or package clearly marked with the RFQ reference number as per the bid advert, bidder company name and be deposited in the tender box situated at the foyer of the SACAA head office, and be addressed as follows:
9. All bids submissions should be deposited or delivered at our Tender Box 1 on or before 11:00 am on the closing date of **05 October 2026**.

N:B BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT BIDS ON TIME TO AVOID BEING LATE. OUR NEW OFFICE PARK HAS STRINGENT SECURITY MEASURES. EACH BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN AN ACCESS CODE TO ENTER THE OFFICE PARK. TO RECEIVE THE ACCESS CODE PRIOR ARRANGEMENTS MUST BE MADE WITH EITHER BETTY MONYEKI ON 082 885 4270 OR CYNTHIA MOTAUNG ON 083 461 6534 TO OBTAIN THE ACCESS CODE FOR THE OFFICE PARK.

PART A INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE (NAME OF DEPARTMENT/ PUBLIC ENTITY)					
BID NUMBER:	RFQ/MR/CORPORATE THREAT/ICT/059/2026-2027 - R	CLOSING DATE:	05 October 2026	CLOSING TIME:	11:00
DESCRIPTION	FOR THE PROVISION OF CORPORATE THREAT ASSESSMENT FOR THE SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY (SACAA).				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE SENT TO THE BELOW ADDRESS					
SOUTH AFRICAN CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY,					
BYLS Bridge Office Park Olievenhoutbosch Road & Jean Ave Centurion 0062					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	Rudolph Mohlala		CONTACT PERSON	Rudolph Mohlala	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	011 545 1720		TELEPHONE NUMBER	011 545 1720	
FACSIMILE NUMBER			FACSIMILE NUMBER		
E-MAIL ADDRESS	CAAQUOTES@CAA.CO.ZA				
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
FACSIMILE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE	TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No		B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL SWORN AFFIDAVIT		[TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No
[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE/ SWORN AFFIDAVIT (FOR EMES & QSEs) MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE POINTS FOR B-BBEE]					
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIED FOR THE GOODS/ SERVICES/ WORKS OFFERED?		☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER THE

			QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW]
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS			
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?			☐ YES ☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?			☐ YES ☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?			☐ YES ☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?			☐ YES ☐ NO
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?			☐ YES ☐ NO
IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.			

PART B TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:	
1.1.	BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
1.2.	ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED-(NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.
1.3.	THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
1.4.	THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).
2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS	
2.1.	BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
2.2.	BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
2.3.	APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA.
2.4.	BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
2.5.	IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED, EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
2.6.	WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
2.7.	NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

- 2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.

- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....	
Signature	Date
.....	
Position	Name of bidder

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the 80/20 preference point system.
- b) The 80/20 preference point system will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

- 1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.
- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:

4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

(a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or

(b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

THE SPECIFIC GOALS ALLOCATED POINTS IN TERMS OF THIS TENDER (B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTOR)	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
1	20	
2	18	
3	14	
4	12	
5	5	
6	6	
7	4	
8	2	
Non-Compliant contributor	0	

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/

firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded because of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....	
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)	
SURNAME AND NAME:	
DATE:	
ADDRESS:	
	
	
	