




**Panel Evaluation Criteria**

Name of Company	
Evaluator	
Discipline	
Date	

Item	Description	Weight	Returnable	1. Substation Engineering		2. Line Engineering		3. Secondary Plant		TOTAL	Criteria
				Score (0-5)	Weighted	Score (0-5)	Weighted	Score (0-5)	Weighted	Average of across each discipline	
<b>1 Principle subject matter expert :</b>											
<b>Principle subject matter expert - multi disciplinary</b>			<b>20%</b>								
1.1	Certified Qualifications (Not older than 6 months) & Prof Registration	30%	Degree / diploma & Prof Registration attached								Qualifications: BSc / MSc / M Tech= 5; B.Tech = 4; HND = 3; National Diploma = 2.
1.2	Relevant Discipline Experience (years)	40%	Candidate detailed CV / profile								Yes (Highest possible reg) = 5; Yes (lower level of registration)=3 No (not reg at all)= 1 (determine as applicable to specific discipline)
1.3	Number of relevant projects completed, size & complexity (discipline specific)	30%	Candidate detailed CV / profile								16 years and above = 5; 10 -15 years = 4; 6 - 9 years = 3; 3 - 5 years = 2; 2 years and below = 1
<b>2 Key Personal</b>			<b>15%</b>								
<b>Supporting Key Person; Supporting Principle subject matter expert - multi disciplinary</b>											
2.1	Certified Qualifications (Not older than 6 months) & Prof Registration	30%	Degree / diploma & Prof Registration attached								Qualifications: BSc / MSc / M Tech= 5; B.Tech = 4; HND = 3; National Diploma = 2.
2.2	Relevant Discipline Experience (years)	40%	Candidate detailed CV / profile								Yes (Highest possible reg) = 5; Yes (lower level of registration)=3 No (not reg at all)= 1 (determine as applicable to specific discipline)
2.3	Number of relevant projects completed, size & complexity (discipline specific)	30%	Candidate detailed CV / profile								16 years and above = 5; 10 -15 years = 4; 6 - 9 years = 3; 3 - 5 years = 2; 2 years and below = 1
<b>3 Company Capability (Project History)</b>			<b>30%</b>								
<i>Relevant projects for last 5 years</i>											
3.1	Relevant Project exposure (no.), Size and complexity	40%	Company profile								Projects >10 = 5; 8-9=4; 5-7=3; 3-6=2; 1-3=1
3.2	Relevant Professional Service role Contract Values	40%	Company profile								Value: >50m=5; 30m-49m=4; 20m-29m = 3; 10m-19m=2; <1m-9m =1
3.3	Company References - general performance, time, cost, and quality performance	20%	Company profile								Delivery on Task (against agreed timeline / budget): within 5%=5; within 10% = 4; within 20% = 3 ; within 30% = 2; 30% or more = 1
<b>4 Sustainability</b>			<b>15%</b>								
4.1	Provide possible alternatives to replace the personnel identified in criteria 1 and criteria 2 above	60%	List of names, qualifications and experience. Min 3 per discipline. Brief 1 page CV								5=3 or more applicable CV's; 3=2 applicable CV's; 2 = 1 applicable CV; 0=no applicable CV's
4.2	Quality plan, Formal training programs	25%	Attached QA plan and accreditation cert.								ISO accredited = 5; ISO accreditation submitted = 4; Good quality plan = 3; QP partially complete = 2; none = 1
4.3	South African based office / local support structure	15%	Supply details								yes=5; no=1
<b>5 Company Proposal</b>			<b>20%</b>								
5.1	Proposal on how company would deliver on scope of the tender	100%	Proposal from Company								5 = Detailed: The proposal offers a comprehensive and well-defined plan for providing multi-disciplinary engineering services, including highly detailed strategies for integrating and coordinating various disciplines, along with contingencies for potential challenges. 4 = Good: The proposal provides a clear and detailed plan for how different engineering disciplines will be integrated and coordinated, including specific strategies for communication, task allocation, and conflict resolution. 3 = Adequate: The proposal outlines a basic plan for providing multi-disciplinary engineering services, but it lacks specific strategies for effective coordination and collaboration between disciplines. 2 = Limited: The proposal briefly mentions multi-disciplinary engineering services but lacks a detailed plan for how different disciplines will be integrated and coordinated. 1 = Inadequate: The proposal does not mention multi-disciplinary engineering services or lacks any plan for integrating multiple disciplines.
<b>Total</b>											

Threshold = 70% average across all

ACCEPTED BY:	ACCEPTED BY:	ACCEPTED BY:
		
Substation Engineering Subhas Maharaj	Line Engineering Services Faith Mokhonoana	PTM&C Engineering Judith Malinga
Date: 4 March 2024	Date: 04/03/2024	Date: 04/03/2024