

 Eskom	Strategy	Hendrina Power Station
---	----------	------------------------

Title: **Supply and Delivery of Spares for
The Sewage Treatment Plant and
Wastewater Recovery System**

Document Number:

Alternative Reference
Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Functional Area: **All**

Revision: **0**

Total Pages: **13**

Next Review Date: **As required**

Disclosure
Classification: **Controlled Disclosure**

Compiled by

Functional Responsibility

Authorised by

System Engineer

Engineering Manager

Date: 22/01/2026

Date: 22/01/2026

Date: 22/01/2026

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Supporting Clauses.....	3
2.1. Scope	3
2.1.1. Purpose.....	3
2.1.2. Applicability	3
2.2. Normative/Informative References	3
2.2.1. Normative.....	3
2.2.2. Informative	3
2.3. Definitions	4
2.3.1. Classification	4
2.4. Abbreviations	5
2.5. Roles and Responsibilities	5
2.6. Process for Monitoring	5
2.7. Related/Supporting Documents.....	5
3. Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.....	5
3.1. Technical Evaluation Method	5
3.1.1. Mandatory Criteria	5
3.1.2. Qualitative Criteria	6
3.2. Technical Evaluation Threshold	10
3.3. TET Members	10
3.4. TET Member Responsibilities.....	11
3.5. Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications	12
3.5.1. Risks	12
3.5.2. Exceptions / Conditions	12
4. Authorization	13
5. Revisions	13
6. Development Team.....	13
7. Acknowledgements	13

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. Introduction

An open enquiry invite is to be issued inviting for tenderers to participate in the tender process for the supply and delivery of spares for the Sewage Treatment Plant and Wastewater Recovery System at Hendrina Power Station on an as and when required base for a period of 5 years. This document sets out the method and criteria that will be used to evaluate the tenders that will submit tender documents and proposals for the enquiry.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1. Scope

The scope of this document is to capture the technical tender evaluation strategy which will be used to evaluate the bidders for the supply and delivery of spares for the Sewage Treatment Plant and Wastewater Recovery at Hendrina Power Station on a as and when required basis for a period of 5 years. The scope of the project is as described in scope document for the supply and delivery sewage treatment and wastewater recovery plant spares at Hendrina Power Station as and when required for a period of 5 years.

2.1.1. Purpose.

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2. Applicability

This document applies to the Tender Technical Evaluation Team for the supply and delivery of Sewage Treatment Plant and Wastewater Recovery System at Hendrina Power Station as and when required for a period of 5 years.

2.2. Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1. Normative

[1] 240-48929153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

2.2.2. Informative

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

- [1] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems
- [2] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [3] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure

2.3. Definitions

2.3.1. Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.4. Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
EDWL	Engineering Design Work Lead
PS	Power Station
SDL&I	Supplier Development Localization and industrialization
TES	Technical Evaluation Strategy
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5. Roles and Responsibilities

As per 240-48929153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

2.6. Process for Monitoring

N/A

2.7. Related/Supporting Documents

None

3. Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy

3.1. Technical Evaluation Method

3.1.1. Mandatory Criteria

There is no mandatory criteria for this work.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.1.2. Qualitative Criteria

The weighted score-card approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications.

The technical criteria and weighting are broken down as follows:

- Criteria 1: Company Experience = 30%
- Criteria 2: Key Individuals Responsible for Contract Work = 35%
- Criteria 3: lead times for the delivery of bearings = 15%
- Criteria 4: Quality Control Plan = 20%

The evaluation of the tender submission will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the above requirements. Tenderers need to have a minimum weighted score of 70% or more to technically qualify for further evaluation, which is not covered in this scope. However, in cases where tenderers do not meet the 70% threshold tenderers which obtained a weighted score of 60% and above will qualify for further evaluation.

A weighted score-card approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications.

The scoring method will be as follows for the qualitative evaluation criteria:

Score	Percentage	Description
5	100	Compliant • Meet technical requirement(s) AND; • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	Compliant with Associated Qualifications • Meet technical requirement(s) with. • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR. • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR. • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	Non-Compliant • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR. • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR. • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	Totally Deficient or Non-Responsive
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Table 3: Criteria 1: Qualitative technical evaluation criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	Criteria 1: Company Experience			30	
	1.1	Previous experience on supplying and delivering, of plant spares i.e pumps, valves, pipes <u>Scoring Criteria:</u> • $X \geq 5$ number of completed work = 5 points (30) • $2 < X \leq 4$ number of completed work = 4 points (24) • $0 < X \leq 2$ number of completed work = 2 points (12) • $X = 0$ number of completed work = 0 points (0) *Note: 'x' is the number of completed work.	Signed, completion certificate of previous work of similar scope with the following information: Name of company where work was executed, Project, Description, Contract period & Contact person. NB!! PO number without the PO document will not be accepted		30

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
2.	Criteria 2: Key Individuals Responsible for Contract Work			35	
	2.1	Quality Controller (QC): Minimum National Diploma qualification and 3 years' experience as a quality controller. Scoring criteria: • $X \geq 3$Yrs of experience = 5 points (35) • $X = 2$ Yrs. of experience = 4 points (28) • $X = 1$ Yrs. of experience = 2 points (14) • $X = 0$ Yrs. of experience = 0 points (0) *Note: 'x' is the number of years of experience.	Certified copy of qualification (certified within 3 to 6 months of the closing date) and CV showing years of experience with traceable references.		35
	Criteria 3: Lead times			15	
3.	3.1	Lead times Scoring Criteria: • $X \leq 2$ weeks = 5 points (15) • $2 \text{ weeks} < X \leq 4 \text{ weeks}$ = 4 Points (12) • $4 \text{ weeks} < X \leq 6 \text{ weeks}$ = 2 Points (6) • $X > 90$ days = 0 Points *Note: 'x' is the lead time in hours.	Signed letter with company letterhead confirming lead times (after an order is placed) for delivery of spares at Hendrina Power Station. Confirmation letter to be used as		15

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

			a legal document forming part of the NEC.		
4.	Criteria 4: Quality Control Plant			20	
	4.1	Quality Control Plan (QCP) Showing all the points for delivery of the Spares. Scoring criteria: • QCP includes all necessary steps to evaluate quality and includes reference to supporting documents and intervention points (i.e. hold, witness etc.) = 5 Points (20) • QCP include all steps but does not reference supporting documents or intervention points (i.e. hold, witness etc.) = 4 Points (16) • QCP is generic (does not include all steps) = 2 Points (8) • QCP is not submitted, or documents submitted does not qualify as QCP = 0 Points (0)	Drafted QCP indicating all hold points and steps taken to ensure that quality is controlled during ordering and delivery of the spares.		20

3.2. Technical Evaluation Threshold

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

3.3. TET Members

Table 1: Core TET Members

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.4. TET Member Responsibilities

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

No Mandatory Technical Evaluation			
Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	
No Mandatory criteria			
Qualitative Technical Evaluation			
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	
1.1	X	X	
2.1	X	X	
3.1	X	X	
4.1	X	X	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.5. Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications

3.5.1. Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Spares not conforming to specifications.
2.	

3.5.2. Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Alternative solution with similar specified material

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Spares not conforming to specifications.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

4. Authorization

This document has been seen and accepted by:

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
January 2026	0	Sifiso Ndlovu	Initial compilation

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

7. Acknowledgements

- N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE