



Clarification Response

Doc Ref: PSEV-16
Version Date: Sept 2019
Revision: 1
Page 1 of 2

TENDER CLARIFICATION

INVITATION TO TENDER (ITT) FOR THE DESIGN, SUPPLY, INSTALLATION, REFURBISHMENT AND SUPPORT OF INTEGRATED PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (IPSS) IN THE TRANSMISSION GRID AND TELECOMS FOR A PERIOD OF FIVE (5) YEARS ON AN "AS AND WHEN REQUIRED" BASIS

Clarification 01

Request For Proposal No. **E1232NTCSAMWP**

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

The following clarification is needed in response to queries and questions raised by Tenderers at the Clarification Meeting held 2 June 2025.

Clarification Request in BLACK and Clarification Response in RED

Items	Clarification / Discussion
1	<p>Further clarification on the two-phase strategy, which appears to have been explained in limited detail within the tender documentation. The following was asked:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Is Phase 1 only to appoint a PSIM supplier since the ITT does not clearly indicate this?Furthermore, the evaluation criteria cover all the sub-systems in detail, which is not aligned to the intention which is to appoint a supplier for a PSIM only and exclude the subsystems. <p>NTCSA intends establishing multiple suppliers for the IPPS technology over two phases. In phase 1 (current enquiry, E1232NTCSAMWP), one supplier will be appointed. The scope for this phase includes the IPSS substation component (subsystems) and the implementation of the Physical Security Information Management (PSIM) system implementation. The appointed supplier from phase one will be accountable for the full integration of the system.</p> <p>After the implementation of Phase 1, a second enquiry will be issued to the open market for Phase 2. This would be for an additional two suppliers for the substation component (subsystems) of the system only, subject to compatibility and integration with the newly established PSIM system from Phase 1.</p>
2	<p>The "Tender Acknowledgment Form" that must be submitted for the site visit does not appear on the tender portal.</p> <p>See file :20210706_Annexure B_SHE Acknowledgment Form_240-77471499_</p>
3	<p>Please confirm if there is a requirement to interface to an existing PSIM system for this enquiry.</p>



Clarification Response

Doc Ref: PSEV-16
Version Date: Sept 2019
Revision: 1
Page 2 of 2

Items	Clarification / Discussion
	No, the provisioning of a full PSIM system is part of the scope for this enquiry (refer to section 3.13.2 of the scope of work (240-170001030), so in this enquiry, there is no prescription as to what the PSM is going to be. It's up to the suppliers to offer their best solution.
4	<p>There is a mentioning of Advancis Winguard extension in the pricing schedule, can it be clarified whether there is a required to interface to this system.</p> <p>No, the interface to the Winguard system is not a requirement for this enquiry and a corrected BOQ will be posted on the portal.</p>
5	<p>Question: The BOQ has a list of sites included and in that list of sites there are sites that were part of a project for an integrated physical security system (IPSS) that was issued to the market, may you clarify what is the difference between this enquiry and the enquiry that was previously issued to the market for these 13 sites as it causes confusion.</p> <p>Answer: The intention of this enquiry is to establish a framework agreement that will be utilised on "an as and when required basis" The BOQ for this enquiry was done before the 13 sites project was issued to the market and included these 13 sites as planned future projects. These sites have been excluded from BOQ and a revision will be published on the portal.</p>