

**Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	<b>Qualitative Technical Criteria Description</b>	<b>Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable</b>	<b>Criteria Weighting (%)</b>	<b>Criteria Sub Weighting (%)</b>	<b>Score Criteria Definition</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>SACPCMP Registered person experience</b>	<b>Experiential Record Documents</b>	<b>20</b>		
	1.1 Tenderer's safety officer must possess adequate experience in similar role on similar projects particularly involving fence removal, installation and earthing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safety officer's CV. The CV must include details of qualifications, training and experience and similar projects previously completed.</li> <li>• Include certified copies of certificates in support of training and qualifications like the projects.</li> <li>• Copy of professional certification for safety officer who shall be accountable for occupational health and safety of the work in line with OHS 85 of 1993</li> </ul>		25	5 = 100% =2 to 4 of post- professional registration experience in reasonably similar must include details of projects. 4 = 80% =Less the 2 years of post-professional registration experience in reasonably similar project. 2=40% =Any work post candidacy registration experience in reasonably similar projects. 0= 0% =No experience post-professional registration.

	1.2	Tenderers Environmental officer must possess adequate experience in similar role on similar projects. The environmental officer needs to display a strong understanding of environmental role.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental officer's CV. The CV must include details of qualifications, training and experience in similar projects previously completed.</li> <li>• Include certified copies of certificates in support of training and qualification like this project.</li> </ul>		25	5 = 100% =2 to 4 of post- professional registration experience in reasonably similar must include details of projects. 4 = 80% =Less the 2 years of post-professional registration experience in reasonably similar project. 2=40% =Any work post candidacy registration experience in reasonably similar projects. 0= 0% =No experience post-professional registration.
	1.3	Tenderer's SACPCMP registered construction manager must possess adequate experience in similar role on similar projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SACPCMP registered person's CV. The CV must include details of qualification, training and experience in similar projects previously completed.</li> <li>• Include certified copies of certificates in support of training and qualification in construction management</li> <li>• Copy of professional certification for the project manager who shall be accountable for the projects implementation</li> </ul>		50	5= 100% =4 or more years of post-professional registration experience in reasonably similar projects 4= 80% =2 to 4 years of post-professional registration experience in reasonably similar projects. 2= 40% = candidacy registration with less than 10 years' experience in reasonably similar projects. 0= 0% = No experience professional registration.
2.	<b>Tenderers relevant work experience and project-specific resources</b>	<b>Company Profile Documents</b>		<b>45</b>		

	2.1	Proposed project resources must possess relevant qualifications, training and experience to execute their responsibilities to the project.	Proposed Organogram for the project including tenderers key persons (include to be used on the project). This must also include organograms for any company that the tenderer intends to sub-contract for the project.		60	<p>5= 100% =key per persons, qualifications and project roles defined completely aligned with the project. Key persons possess extensive training and 5 years average experience on similar projects.</p> <p>4=80% =key persons, qualifications and project roles defined show reasonable alignment to the project. Persons possess adequate training and average experience of 3 to 4 on similar projects.</p> <p>2=40% =key persons, qualifications and project role defined show partial but inadequate alignments to project. Persons possess some training and average experience of 1 to 3 years on similar projects.</p> <p>0=0 =key persons, qualifications and project role are completely unrelated to the project. Persons do not possess any training and experience in similar projects.</p>
--	-----	--	--	--	----	---

	2.2	Tenderer to have background and previous experience on same type of work (i.e., removal and replacement of barricade fence or similar fence).	<p>Completion certificates of similar completed projects consisting of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Name of company where project was executed and contact persons.</li> <li>• Project description (include contract value, execution period, description of work completed)</li> <li>• Status of the project (in progress/successfully completed/terminated). Reasons for termination to be provided where such was the case for any referenced project.</li> <li>• Company organogram indicating key personnel and their roles.</li> </ul>		40	<p>5=100%          =company has completed many (more than 5) similar projects. 4=80%          =company has completed 3 to 5 similar projects 2=40          = company has completed 1 to 2 similar projects.          0=0% =          company has never completed similar projects</p>
<b>3</b>	<b>Proposed project plan and detailed methods</b>		<b>Documented plans and Method statement</b>	<b>35</b>		
	3.1	Proposed execution methods must be technically inclined and indicated that tenderer is capable to execute the work per project requirements.	Proposed detailed method statement and quality control plan for the projects		70	<p>5= 100%          =Proposed method are feasible, practical and indicate high depth of understanding of project requirements and high capability to complete the projects.          4=80%          =Proposed methods are reasonably feasible, practical and indicate acceptable depth of understanding of project requirements and reasonable capability to complete the project.          2=40%          =proposed methods are partially feasible, practical</p>

						and indicate low depth of understanding of project requirements and little capability to complete the project. 0=0% =proposed methods indicate complete lack of understanding of the project, requirements and no capability to complete the project per requirements.
	3.2	Proposed execution program must be sound, realistic and indicate that the contractor is capable to complete the work within the existing planned project schedule.	Proposed high-level execution program indicating key dates and milestones.		30	5=100% =proposed execution plans show high capability to complete the project within existing outage duration constraints. 4=80% = Proposed execution plans show adequate capability to complete the project within existing outage duration constraints. 2=40% =proposed execution plans show some capability which is inadequate to complete the projects within existing outage duration constraints.
					<b>Total: 100</b>	