

	<p style="text-align: center;">Lethabo Power Station Strategy</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Engineering</p>
---	--	---

Title: **Lethabo Tender Technical
Evaluation Strategy for the
Procurement of an Emergency
Mobile Diesel Pump**

Unique Identifier:
Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Lethabo PS**

Documentation Type: **STR**

Revision: **0**

Total Pages: **8**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by



.....

**M Verwey
O & M Engineer
Outside Plant Engineering**

Date: 2026-01-14.....

Functional Responsibility



.....

**J Brink
Line Manager
Outside Plant Engineering**

Date: 2026-01-14.....

Authorised by



.....

**T Njapha
Middle Manager
Engineering Manager**

Date: 2026-01-15.....

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDS database, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the database.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.2 PURPOSE	3
Applicability	3
Normative/Informative References	3
Normative	3
Informative	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Disclosure Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
2.8 PREREQUISITES	4
2.9 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM	5
3.2 MANDATORY / GATE KEEPERS	5
3.3 TENDER RETURNABLES FOR QUALITATIVE EVALUATION	5
4. TECHNICAL TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
4.1 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITY	7
4.2 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	7
5. AUTHORIZATION	8
6. REVISIONS	8
7. DEVELOPMENTAL TEAM	8
8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	8

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Abbreviations	4
Table 2: Scores allocation criteria	4
Table 3: TET Members	5
Table 4 : Additional Requirement Table	5
Table 5 : Qualitative Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 6 : Mandatory Requirements	6
Table 7 : Tender Qualitative Criteria	7
Table 8 : TET Members Responsibility	7
Table 9 : Acceptable Technical risk	7
Table 10 : Unacceptable Technical Risks	7

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. INTRODUCTION

This tender technical evaluation strategy explains how the tenders will be evaluated for the procurement of an Emergency Mobile Diesel Pump for use at Lethabo Power Station.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document concerns the procurement and delivery of an Emergency Mobile Diesel Pump for use at Lethabo Power Station.

Material Number : **0771924**

Description/Specification : PUMP: TYPE: MOBILE DIESEL PUMP; SIZE: HT 1940 X LG 3250 X WD 2155 MM; CAPACITY: 1470 M3/HR; SPEED: 1800 RPM; RATING: 257 KW; MODEL NO: PAC H108 FSC01; PAC H108 FSC01 SKID AND TRAILER DIESEL DRIVEN DE-WATERING PUMP G10; SKID BASED; SUCTION HOSE; C/W COUPLINGS AND STRAINERS -3OFF; SUCTION MANIFOLD; SITE TRAILER; DOUBLE AXEL C/W TOW FRAME; RATING: 345HP

2.2 PURPOSE

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

Applicability

This document is applicable to Eskom Lethabo Power Station, Tender Evaluation Team for procurement of an Emergency Mobile Diesel Pump.

Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

Normative

- [1] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems.
- [2] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [3] 240-53716712: Tender Technical Evaluation Results Form Template
- [4] 240-53716726: Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form Template

Informative

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDS database, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the database.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Disclosure Classification

Controlled disclosure: Controlled disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Table 1: Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
QCP	Quality Control Plan
PS	Power Station

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

2.8 PREREQUISITES

All personnel on the technical tender evaluation team must be familiar with this document before the tender evaluation can proceed.

2.9 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

A weighted score-card approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications or ability to perform the work. Tenderers need to obtain a minimum weighted score of 70% (threshold) overall or more to qualify for further evaluation.

The evaluation scores will be weighted as stipulated in Table 2 below:

Table 2: Scores allocation criteria

Technical (100%)	
Datasheet compliance	60%
Completion of Table 4	40%
TOTAL (100%)	
NB! Overall minimum threshold for qualification (70%)	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM

Table 3: TET Members

TET Number	Evaluator's name	Role and responsibility	Designation
TET 1	Mark Verwey	All Technical Tender Evaluation Criteria	Lethabo Power Station - OPE System Engineer
TET 2	Khuliso Madzhie	All Technical Tender Evaluation Criteria	Lethabo Power Station – MOOO Supervisor

3.2 MANDATORY / GATE KEEPERS

The Contractor shall submit a **signed letter** indicating the mobile pump will be offered with no exclusions from the specification. This letter must be written on the company letterhead that is submitting the tender. If the letter is not signed it will not be accepted.

3.3 TENDER RETURNABLES FOR QUALITATIVE EVALUATION

Tenderers need to have a minimum weighted score of 70% overall or more to qualify for further evaluation. The following returnables are required for qualitative evaluation :

3.1.1 The Contractor shall submit a datasheet indicating the following specifications of the pump offered :

1. Maximum Flow : 1470 m³/hr
2. Maximum Head : 109 m
3. Suction Port : 10" Flange
4. Delivery Port : 8" Flange
5. Engine Type : Diesel Turbo
6. RPM Type : Variable Speed
7. Starting Method : Electrical 24V
8. Diesel Tank Capacity : 420 l
9. Priming System : Vacuum Pump – Diaphragm Type
10. Overall Skid Dimensions : 1940 x 3250 x 2155 [mm]
11. Reference Number : PAC H108 FSC01

3.1.2 The contractor shall submit completed Table 4 below :

Table 4 : Additional Requirement Table

Eskom Requirement	Supplier Offering
Skid mounted on double axel trailer with tow frame for easy mobility on site	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Pump supplied with suction manifold along with at least three suction pipes , strainers and couplings that connect to the suction manifold	
--	--

Note : Table 4 must be completed in full completing the empty block “Supplier Offering”

4. TECHNICAL TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA

For technical evaluation, the principles below shall apply:

Table 5 : Qualitative Evaluation Criteria

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meet technical requirement(s) AND; • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE

Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3.
Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

Table 6 : Mandatory Requirements

Criteria	Yes/No
The Contractor shall submit a signed letter indicating the mobile pump will be offered with no exclusions from the specification. This letter must be written on the company letterhead of the company that is submitting the tender. If the letter is not signed it will not be accepted.	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Table 7 : Tender Qualitative Criteria

Item	Criteria	Weight	0	2	4	5
1	Datasheet compliance	60%	Non-responsive	Less than 11 points listed under section 3.1.1 are visible on the datasheet	All 11 points listed under section 3.1.1 are visible on the datasheet	More than 11 points listed under section 3.1.1 are visible on the datasheet
2	Completion of Table 4	40%	Non-responsive	Table not fully completed or one or more offering items does not match requirement	Table fully completed and offering matches requirement only.	Table fully completed and offering matches requirement with detail given in offering

4.1 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITY

Table 8 : TET Members Responsibility

Mandatory/ Gate Keeper	TET 1	TET 2
1.	x	x
Qualitative Criteria number		
1.	x	x
2.	x	x

4.2 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

Table 9 : Acceptable Technical risk

Risk	Description
-	-

Table 10 : Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Exclusions to the specification
2.	No datasheet submitted
3	Datasheet does not have all listed points in Section 3.1.1
4	Table 4 not fully completed

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

5. AUTHORIZATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Johan Brink	Outside Plant Engineering Manager	

6. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
January 2026	1	Mark Verwey	Compile Technical Evaluation Strategy

7. DEVELOPMENTAL TEAM

Mark Verwey

Khuliso Madzhe

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDS database, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the database.