Eskom	Strategy	Grootvlei

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Supply of Bearings, Housings, Sleeves and Transmission Couplings at Grootvlei Power Station**

Unique Identifier:	**GVL/0887**
Alternative Reference Number:	**N/A**
Area of Applicability:	**Grootvlei Power Station**
Functional Area:	**Engineering**
Revision:	**1**
Total Pages:	**10**
Next Review Date:	**As Required**
Disclosure Classification:	**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by	Supported by	Functional Responsibility	Authorised by
PP		PP	pp
PA Letsoenyo	**Thabo Mokoena**	**MS Mkhabela**	**TJ Montja**
Auxiliary Engineering System Engineer	**Materials Manager**	**Auxiliary Engineering Manager**	**Power Station Engineering Manager**
Date: 2026/05/07	Date: 2026/05/07	Date: 2026/05/07	Date: 2026/05/08

CONTENTS

TABLES

1. INTRODUCTION

Grootvlei Power Station is a coal fired power station located in Grootvlei, Mpumalanga, South Africa. The station is made up of six units, with three units which are currently in service which is Unit (1-3) and three units which are on cold reserve which is unit (4-6). The three units generate approximately 585 MW to Eskom national grid. The power station's operational functions require a continuous and uninterrupted supply of spares utilized in the primary function of energy production and other related functions.

The station has invited tenderers that will Supply of Bearings, Housing, Sleeves and Couplings at Eskom Groot: GVL ABC. This strategy outlines the requirements that the tenderers must comply with in order to be considered for carrying out the scope of work.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as the basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

This document provides the tender technical evaluation strategy for the supply of spares at Grootvlei Power Station.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to Grootvlei Power Station only.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

[2] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure (Transmission and Distribution)

2.2.2 Informative

[3] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

[4] 240-70240749: Strategic and Critical Spares Policy

[5] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy

[6] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Management Procedure

2.3 DEFINITIONS

N/A

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
MW	Megawatt
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
PS	Power Station

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure for Generation

OR

240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure for Transmission and Distribution

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Vusani Mutenda	Ash Plant Engineer
TET 2	Gloria Tshikomba	Officer Materials Planning
TET 3	Lamkelo Jaxa	Ash Plant Supervisor
TET 4	Pitso Letsoenyo	Coal Plant System Engineer

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	Tenderer to submit authorization letter from a reputable bearing manufacturer	Tender Returnable – Authorised distribution letter	To ensure that the tenderer supplies good quality bearings from a reputable bearing company .
2.	Tenderer to Submit at least four (4) Delivery notes and Data sheets for Bearings, couplings and v-belts	4xdelivery notes and data sheets for bearings 4xdelivery notes and data sheets for couplings 4xdelivery notes and data sheets for v-belts	To ensure that the tender has once delivered bearings and off correct specifications.

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Tender Returnable	Evaluation Score Breakdown	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1	**Company Experience:**	List of Verifiable Contracts	At least five (5) list of verifiable contracts submitted	100	40
	Tenderer to submit a list of verifiable contracts for supply and delivery of bearings Contact person Contact number Contract number		4-3 list of verifiable contracts submitted	80	
			1-2 list of verifiable contracts submitted	40	
			No list of verifiable contracts was submitted/ the list contains a list of contracts however not verifiable	0	
2	**Lead Times:**	Lead time letter	Less than 1 week lead times provided on a signed letter.	100	30
	The tenderer to submit signed letter stating the lead times for the delivery of bearings to Eskom Grootvlei PS upon order. The letter must on the company letter head and signed and stamped by the directors of the company		2-3 weeks lead times provided on a signed letter.	80	
			4-5 Weeks lead time provided on a signed letter.	40	

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Tender Returnable	Evaluation Score Breakdown	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
			More than 6 weeks lead time provided on a signed letter	0	
3	**Warranty** The tenderer to submit Warranty letter stating the warranty for each bearing	**Warranty Letter**	Greater than 2-year warranty for all the bearings supplied	100	30
			1-2 years warranty on all bearings	80	
			Less than 1 year warranty letter	40	
			No warranty letter submitted	0	

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**	**TET 3**	**TET 4**
1	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X
3	X	X	X	X
4	X	X	X	X

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	**N/A**

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	**Tenders that have not supplied bearing historically**
2.	**No knowledge of various types of bearings**

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria section 3.4 of this document.

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviations to any part of the technical schedules without providing alternate solutions.
2.	The bid submission is generic, incomplete, and not tailored to address the specific objectives and scope.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Vusani Mutenda		
Lamkelo Jaxa		
Gloria Tshikomba		
Pitso Letsoenyo	System Engineer	

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
May 2026	1	PA Letsoenyo	Technical Evaluation Strategy for Tendering

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Pitso Letsoenyo

Vusani Mutenda

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A