

 Eskom National Transmission Company South Africa TM	Clarification Response	Doc Ref: PSEV-16 Version Date: Sept 2019 Revision: 1 Page 1 of 2
---	-------------------------------	--

TENDER CLARIFICATION

INVITATION TO TENDER (ITT) FOR THE DESIGN, SUPPLY, INSTALLATION, REFURBISHMENT AND SUPPORT OF INTEGRATED PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (IPSS) IN THE TRANSMISSION GRID AND TELECOMS FOR A PERIOD OF FIVE (5) YEARS ON AN “AS AND WHEN REQUIRED” BASIS

Clarification 01	Request For Proposal No. E1232NTCSAMWP
------------------	--

The following clarification is needed in response to queries and questions raised by Tenderers.

Clarification Request in **BLACK** and Clarification Response in **RED**

Items	Clarification or discussion
.	
1	<p>Kindly clarify or correct the BOQ.</p> <p>From the BOQ Sheet "P&G, PROJECT SERVICES" it seems like you have requested the following as per the below screenshot:</p> <p>ET Sites: Qty 106 Grid Sites: Qty 152</p>
	<p>The observation from the tenderer is correct, quantities seem to have been swopped around in P&G for site establishment/de-establishment. ET should be 152, Grids 106 per the PS5 tabs. However, in response to the queries.</p> <p>1. Quantity swop for P&G Site Establishment/De-Establishment: Tenderers are to proceed based on the quantities as published. The swap is noted and acknowledged; however, the quantities provided are indicative only. Payment will be made based on the actual number of completed activities for the relevant items (i.e., Items 1.1 to 1.9). Pricing is to remain as stated under the Activity Description: “ET Sites – Average Site Perimeter 94m; Grids – Average Site Perimeter 2267m.”</p> <p>2. Additional Column: ET has a total of 476 billable items reflected in both the Pricing Schedule and the Summary. Similarly, Grids has 341 billable items captured in both the</p>

	<p>Pricing Schedule and the Summary. There are no additional or duplicate columns, as suggested.</p> <p>Hoping the above clarifies. It won't be ideal to revise the BoQ at this point.</p>
2	<p>When applying the local indices in the CPA formula, are we required to use both SEIFSA and StatsSA indices, or is it acceptable to use only one? For instance, can we rely solely on StatsSA indices without incorporating SEIFSA? Kindly advise</p>
	<p>Both SEIFSA and Stats SA indices are acceptable for the escalation of local content. Tenderers are required to refer to Annexure E of the Invitation to Tender (ITT) and Contract Data Part Two (X1) of the published NEC Contract(s).</p>