### SECTION 2.2: FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION CRITERION

(a) Reference Scoring: A maximum of 100 points will be awarded at the sole discretion of the Municipality's Bid Evaluation Committee based on the information provided and will be split as follows.

	POINTS
1. Experience - Company profile to be provided which consists of the following:  • Must be on the letterhead of the company;	
<ul> <li>Background of what the company does;</li> <li>How long the company has been operating;</li> <li>Clients for whom similar work has been done</li> </ul>	50
2. References	50
Total	100

#### Criteria will be evaluated as follow:

## 1. Experience (Company profile to be provided as stated above)

1.	Experie	nce	Points
	a.	More than 10 years	50
	b.	More than 5 – 10 years	40
	C.	More than 3 - 5 years	25
	d.	1 – 3 years	15
	e.	0	0
	Total		

### 2. References

The Bidder is hereby requested to provide a minimum of 5 contactable references. The references must complete, score and sign Form A: Original Completed Form A to be included in the tender documentation. Points for References will be allocated as indicated in the tables below. Please note that the information provided will be verified by the Municipality. The completed form A document, included in this document, is the only document which will be accepted for the bidder to score points.

Points will be allocated as per points allocation per question.

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY HES-CORP 11/2526

## FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER (1)

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Period of Project:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	
	A

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME	(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer
Did the bidder complete the medicals correctly?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS	(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer
What was the quality of the medical reports?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Good (2 Points)
	Fair (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT	(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer
Were medicals done and the reports provided within the requested time?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)
TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING	(2 POINTS)
PROFESSIONALISM	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards employees and the	Excellent (2 Points)
client?	Poor (0 Points)
	•••••
dersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best	t of my knowledge, correct and
dersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best n.	t of my knowledge, correct and
	t of my knowledge, correct and
	t of my knowledge, correct and

Date of declaration

## FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER (2)

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Period of Project:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME	(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer
Did the bidder complete the medicals correctly?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS	(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer
What was the quality of the medical reports?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Good (2 Points)
	Fair (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT	(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer
Were medicals done and the reports provided within the requested time?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING	(2 POINTS)
PROFESSIONALISM	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards employees and the	Excellent (2 Points)
client?	Poor (0 Points)

Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the be reflection.	est of my knowledge, correct and a true
Signature of Deponent	Date of declaration

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY HES-CORP 11/2526

## FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER (3)

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Period of Project:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME	(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer
Did the bidder complete the medicals correctly?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS	(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer
What was the quality of the medical reports?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Good (2 Points)
	Fair (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

Question

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT	(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer
Were medicals done and the reports provided within the requested time?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)
	(4.04)
TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTI	NG (2 POINTS)
PROFESSIONALISM	

Professional behaviour at all times, towards employees and the

Answer

**Excellent (2 Points)** 

Poor (0 Points)

- 1		
Additio	nal Remarks/Comments:	
I, the u reflecti	ndersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the be	est of my knowledge, correct and a true
Signati	ure of Deponent	Date of declaration

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY HES-CORP 11/2526

# FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER (4)

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Period of Project:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME	(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer
Did the bidder complete the medicals correctly?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS (3 PC	
Question	Answer
What was the quality of the medical reports?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Good (2 Points)
	Fair (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

	QUALITY OF END PRODUCT	(3 POINTS)	
	Question	Answer	
	Were medicals done and the reports provided within the	Excellent (3 Points)	
	requested time?	Poor (0 Points)	
1			
	TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING	(2 POINTS)	
	PROFESSIONALISM		
	Question	Answer	
	Professional behaviour at all times, towards employees and the	Excellent (2 Points)	
	client?	Poor (0 Points)	
Additio	onal Remarks/Comments:		
I, the u	indersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best	of my knowledge, correct and	a true

**Date of declaration** 

Signature of Deponent

## FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER (5)

Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Period of Project:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME	(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer
Political designation of the second of the s	Excellent (2 Points)
Did the bidder complete the medicals correctly?	Poor (0 Points)

(3 POINTS)
Answer
Excellent (3 Points)
Good (2 Points)
Fair (1 Point)
Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT	(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer
Were medicals done and the reports provided within the requested time?	Excellent (3 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING	(2 POINTS)
PROFESSIONALISM	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards employees and the client?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the be reflection.	est of my knowledge, correct and a true
Signature of Deponent	Date of declaration

HES-CORP 11/2526

A bidder that scores less than 70 points out of 100 in respect of "functionality" will be regarded as submitting a non-responsive proposal and will be disqualified.

The proposal scoring the highest points for price and preference will normally be awarded the contract although the Municipality reserves the right to make an award, at its sole discretion, to any bidders or combination of bidders.

EVIDENCE OF FUNCTIONALITY SHOULD BE ATTACHED IN AN ANNEXURE ATTACHED TO THE TENDER DOCUMENT.

**HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY** 

FAILURE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION AS STATED ABOVE, WILL RESULT IN NO POINTS BEING AWARDED TO THE TENDERER.

DECLARATION
I, THE UNDERSIGNED (NAME)
CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED ABOVE IS CORRECT. I ACCEPT THAT THE MUNICIPALITY MAY ACT AGAINST ME SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.
AUTHORISED SIGNATURE:
NAME:
CAPACITY:
DATE:

Initials of Service Provider's Authority: .....

31