COUPLINGS TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The mandatory requirement will be evaluated on a YES/NO basis.

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

Item	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical specification/Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of criteria
1	Completed Schedule B in line with schedule A on Schedule A&B form for each coupling (Do not Tick or Cross, actual text on Schedule B column). The coupling must meet the specification in Schedule A. Schedule A & B is attached.	List of stock items	To ensure that the supplier and Eskom knows which couplings will be supplied and meets the specification for each coupling.

1.2 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The couplings are grouped into three (3) groups. The tenderer has the option to tender on either of the groups or all three (3) of the groups. Each company will be evaluated based on the company's experience in supply the specific type of coupling. Each coupling type will be evaluated individually. The tenders will be compared based on the scoring received for the specific group of couplings and there for each tenderer can be awarded all three (3) Contracts or only the Contract/s for the couplings he prefers to tender for. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is **70%** for a group of couplings.

Table 2: Technical Evaluation for Group 1

No.	Weight	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Evaluation Scoring Breakdown				
	(%)		0	2	4	5	
1	100	UNDERSTANDING OF REQUIRED SPARES Proof of verifiable relevant experience in supplying couplings stipulated in Schedule B. Group 1 coupling types: • Pipe couplings (NB: Proof can be a previous Purchase Orders with contact details of the company supplied, preferably in Eskom or similar industry)	Not provided/not relevant	Proof of 1 year experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	Proof of 2 years' experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	Proof of 3 years' experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	

Table 3: Technical Evaluation for Group 2

No.	Weight	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Evaluation Scoring Breakdown				
(70)		**	0	2	4	5	
2	100	UNDERSTANDING OF REQUIRED SPARES Proof of verifiable relevant experience in supplying couplings stipulated in Schedule B. Group 2 coupling types:	Not provided/not relevant	Proof of 1 year experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	Proof of 2 years' experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	Proof of 3 years' experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	

Table 4: Technical Evaluation for Group 3

No.	Weight	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Evaluation Scoring Breakdown			
	(70)		0	2	4	5
3	100	UNDERSTANDING OF REQUIRED SPARES Proof of verifiable relevant experience in supplying couplings stipulated in Schedule B. Group 3 coupling types: • Trans fluid • Fluid drive (NB: Proof can be a previous Purchase Orders with contact details of the company supplied, preferably in Eskom or similar industry)	Not provided/not relevant	Proof of 1 year experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	Proof of 2 years' experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)	Proof of 3 years' experience in supplying the relevant couplings (3 references required per coupling type)

Table 5: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Score	(%)	Definition			
		COMPLIANT			
5	100	Meet technical requirement(s) <u>AND:</u>			
3 100		 No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements. 			
		COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS			
		Meet technical requirement(s) with;			
		Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/ <u>OR;</u>			
4	80	Acceptable exceptions AND/ <u>OR;</u>			
		Acceptable conditions.			
		NON-COMPLIANT			
		Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/ <u>OR;</u>			
		Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/ <u>OR;</u>			
2	40	 Unacceptable exceptions AND/<u>OR</u>; 			
		Unacceptable conditions.			
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE			

Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3.

Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

Technical evaluation - Gatekeeper (Minimum Threshold Of 70%)