

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (RFQ) FOR SUPPLY AND INSTALL OF CUSTOM-MADE NATURAL STONE ELEMENTS BY SPECIALIST STONE MASON

Reference Number	IZIKO RFQ – Stonework Assets_RFQ_2025/09/09
Description	INSTALL OF CUSTOM-MADE NATURAL STONE ELEMENTS BY SPECIALIST STONE MASON
Address	Iziko South African Museum, 25 Queen Victoria Street, Gardens, Cape Town 8001
Attention	Gabriel Lukoji
Site Visit Compulsory	19 th September 2025 -10h00 at Iziko South African Museum, 25 Queen Victoria Street, Gardens, Cape Town 8001
Issue Date	11 th September 2025
Closing date and time for submission	29 th September 2025 at 11am.
	Quotes / Proposals, and accompanying documentation, must be emailed
Method of delivery	to (SCM) 021 481 3917: Noleen Donson
	ndonson@iziko.org.za and scm@iziko.org.za
Technical enquiries	Gabriel Lukoji Direct Line: 021 481 7240 and 073 447 7173 glukoji@iziko.org.za
Name of Company	
CSD Supplier Number	
(MANumber)	
B-BBEE Status Level of	
Contribution	
Quote Price (Incl Vat)	
Signature	

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE (SBD 4)

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM (SBD 4)

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest1 in the enterprise, employed by the state?

YES/NO

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.2	Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed
	by the procuring institution? YES/NO
2.2.1	If so, furnish particulars:

,	•

2.3	Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? YES/NO
2.3.1	If so, furnish particulars:
3 D	ECLARATION
	I, the undersigned, (name)
3.1 3.2	I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure; I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
3.3	The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium 2 will not be construed as collusive bidding.
3.4	In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
3.4	The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
3.5	There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.

Page 3 of 15

Iziko Museums of South Africa

I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT. I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

Signature	Date
Position	Name of bidder (Company Name)

(SBD 6.1)

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:
 - the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
 - the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes

1.2 To be completed by the organ of state

The applicable preference point system for this tender is the 80/20 preference point system.

- 1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:
 - (a) Price; and
 - (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 To be completed by the organ of state:

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

- 1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.
- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) "tender" means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to
 provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method
 envisaged in legislation;
- (b) "price" means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) "rand value" means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) "tender for income-generating contracts" means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) "the Act" means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).
- 3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20 or 90/10

$$Ps = 80\left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin}\right)$$
 or $Ps = 90\left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin}\right)$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20 or 90/10

$$Ps = 80\left(1 + \frac{Pt - P max}{P max}\right)$$
 or $Ps = 90\left(1 + \frac{Pt - P max}{P max}\right)$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
 - (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
 - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Evidence	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Persons, or categories of persons, historically disadvantaged- (HDI) by unfair dis crimination on the basis of	 Proof of B-BBEE certificate; Company Registration Certification Identification Documentation. CSD report 		
Race: Black persons (ownership)* 50% or more black ownership = 20 points Less than 50% black ownership = 10 points 0% black ownership = 0 points			

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.4. Company registration number: 4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium One-person business/sole propriety Close corporation Public Company	4.3.	me of company/firm
Partnership/Joint Venture / ConsortiumOne-person business/sole proprietyClose corporation	4.4.	mpany registration number:
One-person business/sole proprietyClose corporation	4.5.	PE OF COMPANY/ FIRM
 □ Personal Liability Company □ (Pty) Limited □ Non-Profit Company □ State Owned Company [TICK APPLICABLE BOX] 		One-person business/sole propriety Close corporation Public Company Personal Liability Company (Pty) Limited Non-Profit Company State Owned Company

- 4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:
 - i) The information furnished is true and correct;
 - ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
 - iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;

- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the audi alteram partem (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary

	SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)
SURNAME AND NAME: DATE:	
ADDRESS:	

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (RFQ) FOR SUPPLY AND INSTALL OF CUSTOM-MADE NATURAL STONE ELEMENTS BY SPECIALIST STONE MASON

BACKGROUND IZIKO

Iziko Museums of South Africa (Iziko) is a schedule 3A public entity and non-profit organisation, partly subsidised by the National Department of Sport, Arts & Culture (DSAC), bringing together 11 national museums and a Social History Centre situated in the Western Cape under a single governance and leadership structure. Iziko was established in terms of the Cultural Institutions Act, 1998 (Act No. 119 of 1998) and is required to comply with the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999, as amended) and its concomitant Regulations.

The Iziko South African Museum is preparing for its 200-year commemoration. As part of this capital investment, the project entails the procurement, supply, and installation of specially carved natural stone seating, a custom-designed water feature spillway and stone boulder features, to be delivered as enduring capex assets. These elements will be crafted and installed by a specialist stone mason. This request invites quotations from suitably qualified stone masons for the scope outlined below.

Scope of Work:

1.Stone works:

The Stone Mason Contractor shall be responsible for the **supply**, **fabrication**, **and installation of specially carved natural stone seating (sandstone benches)**, a **custom-designed granite water feature spillway and stone boulder features**, to be delivered as permanent capital assets of the Iziko South African Museum's 200-year commemoration project. All works are to be carried out in accordance with the approved project drawings and specifications.

The scope includes:

- Sourcing high-quality natural stone suitable for long-term use as fixed infrastructure assets.
- **Cutting, carving, shaping, and finishing** stone elements to the required dimensions, profiles, and surface treatments.
- Fabricating bespoke or non-standard designs as indicated by the landscape architect.
- **Transporting and installing** all elements on existing prepared sub-layers and foundations, ensuring accurate placement and durability.
- Executing all works under strict quality control, with inspections to verify tolerances, finishes, and compliance with specifications.
- Providing as-built records, asset maintenance guidance, and undertaking the rectification of any defects during the defect's liability period.

Project Requirements

Once appointed, the successful contractor will be required to undertake the following:

- Submission of material samples for approval.
- Preparation of fabrication drawings and mock-ups.
- Installation of sandstone seating benches in accordance with the landscape architect's design.

- Installation of the granite spillway as designed by the landscape architect.
- Provision of maintenance and care instructions for the capital assets.
- Rectification of defects during the defects liability period.

2. Additional Requirements:

• Timeline:

The project must be completed by <u>24 November 2025</u>. Please provide a detailed schedule showing sequence and duration of works with milestones that consider planning and management around other building works, installations and landscaping.

• Company profile:

A company profile must be submitted for the stone mason who will be responsible for the outlined scope including company history and at least 5 completed projects of a similar scope within the last 5 years with images and references.

Methodology:

The contractor must submit a methodology statement that clearly describes the intention to carry out the works including sourcing, design, manufacturing and installation, demonstrating competence and quality control. It should demonstrate an understanding of the approach, sequence, and management.

Please consider your application unsuccesful if you are not contacted in 3 weeks from the closing date.

THE PREFERED SERVICE PROVIDER WILL HAVE TO SIGN A SECTION 37(2) DOCUMENT BEFORE COMENCING WORK

2. Compliance Documents

Service Providers must submit all documents as outlined in **Table 1** below.

	Central Supplier Database Report – with supplier number and company
1	details (www.csd.gov.za) and Tax Status Verification Pin together with tax
	registration number.
	Detailed pricing structure: A cost schedule detailing full cost breakdown,
2	inclusive of VAT, any disbursement, and escalations, if applicable, etc. for
	the entire duration of the proposed contract must be provided.
3	A Valid B-BBEE Certificate or Sworn Affidavit to determine the Service
	provider's status level.
4	Completed Request to quote, including completed and signed SBD6.1 and
	SBD4 pages.
5	Portfolio of work used for functionally assessment in Table 4 below

Note: Failure to supply any of the compliance documents stipulated <u>may lead to the quote not being considered.</u>

-

2. EVALUATION CRITERIA

Iziko promotes the concept of "best value" in the award of contracts, as opposed to merely looking for the cheapest price, which does not necessarily provide the best value. Best value incorporates the expertise, experience and technical proposal of the organisation and individuals who will be providing the service and the organisational capacity supporting the project team.

Iziko is committed to achieving the Government's transformation objectives in terms of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act.

- Firstly, the bidder will have to comply with eligibility criteria as per Table 1
- Thereafter assessment of quality will be done in terms of the evaluation criteria (Table 3) and the minimum threshold of **80** points. A bid will be disqualified if it fails to meet the minimum threshold for functionality as per the bid invitation.
- Proposals will be evaluated on the 80/20 preference points scoring system: that is, 80% of the points awarded will be based on price, as indicated in the table below; and 20% of the points awarded will be based on specific goals, allocated as indicated in the table below:

Table 2 PREFERENTIAL POINTS

Total maximum points	Specific points	goals	allocated	Price
	20			80

Eligibility Criteria

To be eligible for functionality evaluation, the bidder must submit the following as per Table 3 below. If there is "No" on the Table 3 below, the bidder who didn't submit the required document (s) with their bid will be requested in writing to submit them within three (3) working days for inclusion in the Bid Evaluation Committee item, if a bidder fails to submit on the 3rd working day, the relevant bid will be rejected.

Table #3: Eligibility Criteria

Criteria	Attached (Yes/No)	Comments
Site Briefing Attendance		
CIDB Grade – 2 GB or Higher		

Table 4 FUNCTIONALITY ASSESSMENT

A proposal which scores lower than the minimum overall percentage of 70% (70 out of 100) will be disqualified.

Criteria	Description	Assessment key:
Timeline/programme of works	Demonstrates a programme of works in line with the RFQ scope	0 – Does not meet criteria: Submission does not include a timeline or project plan.
		10 – Partially meets criteria: Submission includes either a timeline or a project plan, but not both.
		20 – Fully meets criteria: Submission includes a complete programme of works, with both timeline and project plan, fully aligned to the RFQ scope.
		/20
Previous experience and demonstration of excellence in Stone masonry	Displays a company profile/portfolio that includes at least 5 previous projects of a similar scope demonstrating excellence in design, fabrication and installation with high quality	 0 – Does not meet criteria: No projects provided or no evidence of excellence in design, fabrication, and installation. 20 – Adequately meets criteria: 1–4 projects demonstrating excellence in
	materials with images and references. The project list must include the scope, client's name, contact details, start & end date, project duration, contract	design, fabrication, and installation, with images and references. 40 – Successfully meets criteria: 5 or more projects demonstrating excellence in design, fabrication, and
	value and images.	installation, with images and references.
		Required: The supplier must include a company profile that includes the company history and work experience At least 5 previous projects are to be included with images and references.
		/ 40
Methodology Statement	The contractor shall implement a methodology statement that clearly describes the intention to carry out the works including	0 – Does not meet criteria: No method statement provided, or the submission fails to demonstrate competence and quality control.
	sourcing, design, manufacturing and installation, demonstrating competence and quality	20 – Partially meets criteria: Method statement provided but only demonstrates limited competence and/or lacks clear evidence of quality

control. It should demonstrate an understanding of the approach, sequence, and management.

Requirements:

- 1. Sourcing: demonstrates access to sourcing of highquality stone as specified in RFQ scope
- 2. Design: demonstrates competence in design and development of stonework elements
- 3. Manufacture: demonstrates capability to cut and manufacture the specified natural stone to desired finish
- 4. Quality control:
 demonstrates capability and
 intent to produce high quality
 stonework elements with a
 quality control methodology
 in place

control.

40 – Fully meets criteria: Comprehensive method statement provided, clearly demonstrating competence across sourcing, design, manufacture, and installation, with robust quality control measures.

Required: The supplier provides a method statement demonstrating competence and quality control.

/40

4.PRICING SCHEDULE

Pricing Instructions

1. Please complete the attached Bill of Quantities (BOC) noting the associated Annexure A, B & C.

Please Note: a formal detailed quotation to be sent with proposal. The pricing schedule above and formal quotation must include all applicable costs and there can be no variation after award has been made.

5. General Principles

- a) The lowest or only quotation received will not necessarily be accepted.
- b) Iziko and its Council reserves the right to accept or reject any quotation in response to the Request to Quote and to withdraw its decision to seek the provision of these services at any time.

Please Note: a formal detailed quotation to be sent with proposal. The pricing schedule above and formal quotation must include all applicable costs and there can be no variation after award has been made.

6. Formal Contract

- a) The proposal and appended documentation read together form the basis for an agreement to be negotiated and concluded in a formal contract between Iziko and the preferred Service Provider.
- b) A mere offer and acceptance shall not constitute a formal contract of any nature for any purpose between Iziko and the preferred Service Provider/s. services at any time.

7. DECLARATION

The undersigned, who warrants that he / she is duly authorized to do so on behalf of the enterprise:

- confirms that neither the name of the enterprise or the name of any partner, manager, director or other person, who wholly or partly exercises, or may exercise, control over the enterprise appears on the Register of Tender Defaulters established in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act of 2004;
- ii) confirms that no partner, member, director or other person, who wholly or partly exercises, or may exercise, control over the enterprise appears, has within the last five years been convicted of fraud or corruption;
- iii) confirms that I / we are not associated, linked or involved with any other tendering entities submitting tender offers and have no other relationship with any of the tenderers or those responsible for compiling the scope of work that could cause or be interpreted as a conflict of interest; and;
- iv) confirms that the contents of this questionnaire/forms (SBD 4, & 6.1) are within my personal knowledge and are to the best of my belief both true and correct
- i) accept that, in addition to cancellation of a contract, action may be taken against me should the Declaration prove to be false.
- ii) In terms of Preferential Procurement Regulation 11 and section 2(1) (f) of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, the IZIKO may consider the following objective criteria in the bid award:
- iii) The risk of fruitless and wasteful expenditure to the IZIKO.
- iv) The risk of an abnormally low bid.
- v) The risk of a material irregularity.
- vi) The IZIKO reserve the right not to consider bids from Bidders who are currently in litigation with the IZIKO; and
- vii) The IZIKO further reserve the right not to award this tender to any Bidder based on the proven poor record of accomplishment of the Bidder in previous projects within the IZIKO and the referee submitted by the Bidder.

Signed	Date	
Name	 Position	
Enterprise name		

Please note that if the supporting documentation is not provided then the submission will be classified as non-responsive.

1. Disqualification

Please note that if a bid document is not filled in correctly or completely, or complied with the specification, or is delivered/send after the bid closing date and time, or the supplier is not registered on the CSD or supplier has a non-compliant tax status, then unfortunately that bidder maybe disqualified. Please return this document with the supporting documents.

2. Bid Document Submission

Emailed tender documents will be accepted. However, the onus is on the tenderer to ensure that complete email documents have been received by the IZIKO by the due date and time.

Please note that any alterations to the tender document other than filling in the tenderer's details and tender price will automatically disqualify the tenderer.