SECTION 2.3: FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION CRITERION

2.3.1	The quality criteria and maximum score in respect of each	
	1. Experience (Company Profile)	30
	2. Key Staff / Personnel	40
	3. References	30 100
	Total	100
	Schedule: Tenderer's Past Experience Schedule: Key Staff Experience Schedule: References The minimum number of evaluation points for qualifica	tions is 70 out of 100.
2.3.2	Tender offers will only be accepted if:	
222	the tenderer is registered on the Central Supplier Data https://secure.csd.gov.za/) unless it is a foreign supplied the tenderer is in good standing with SARS according the financial offer is market related (see Regulations 6). Procurement Regulations 2022): the Tenderer submits an original certified copy of his Procurement Regulations 2022): the Tenderer or any of its directors/shareholders is not of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities of the tenderer has not: i) abused the Employer's Supply Chain Manager ii) failed to perform on any previous contract and the tenderer has completed e Compulsory Declaration impact on the tenderer's ability to perform the contract compromise the tender process; the tenderer is registered and in good standing with the compensation insurer; the employer is reasonably satisfied that the tenderer is issued in terms of the Occupational Health and Safety resources to perform the services.	er with no local registered entity to the Central Supplier Database; (9) and 7(9) of the 8(9) of the Preferential Professional Indemnity (PI) insurance. Ilisted on the Register of Tender Defaulters in terms Act of 2004 as a person prohibited from doing ement System; or If has been given a written notice to this effect; and there are no conflicts of interest which may in the best interests of the employer or potentially the compensation fund or with a licensed thas in terms of the Construction Regulations, 2003, Act, 1993, the necessary competencies and
2.3.3	The number of paper copies of the signed contract to I	be provided by the employer is ONE.
Additiona	Conditions of Tender	
2.3.4	Tenders will be evaluated according to Hessequa Mun Management (IPDM) and Preferential Procurement Por The lowest, the highest or any tender will not necessal accept any tender wholly or partially or to withdraw the All copies of certificates submitted with the tender mus Tenders which are late, incomplete, unsigned, comple	olicies. If you have the council reserves the right to tender. If you have tender tender tender tender. If you have the commissioner of Oaths.
	will not be accepted;	

The functionality Criteria will be evaluated as follow:

CRITERION 1: COMPANY EXPERIENCE

A maximum of 30 points will be awarded at the sole discretion of the Municipality's Bid Evaluation Committee based on the information provided. Please note that this section refers to the Company's and its legacy firms past experience and is not a duplication of Criterion 2's Key Staff Experience. Meaning this section takes into consideration that the company as an entity has gained relevant experience in the past and showcase that the company is in the business of said Scope of Works

Relevant experience is defined as the accumulation of knowledge or skill that results from direct participation in relevant/similar events or activities and/or as determined by the Hessequa Municipality and/or professional consulting engineer where applicable.

1.1 Experience: (Tenderers to provide a company profile to support experience claimed)

1	Experience	Points
	More than 10 years' experience	30
	More than 6 years up to 10 years	23
	More than 4 years up to 6 years	15
	2 years up to 4 years	8
	No experience	0

A company profile must be included with the tender document to support the experience claimed. The company profile must include the company name and logo, company establishment date, company brief, list of relevant company experiences according to categories as indicated on the cover page, and any other relevant information.

FOR PROJECTS LISTED UNDER PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE, PLEASE PROVIDE SUPPORTING CORRESPONDING REFERENCE SHEET AS CAN BE SEEN UNDER CRITERION 3: REFERENCES.

CRITERION 2: KEY STAFF / PERSONNEL

Schedule of Key Staff Experience

The experience information of the "Health and Safety Consultant" or "OHS Practitioner" will be evaluated as below:

- 1. Qualifications
- 2. Professional registration of the Key Staff
- 3. Years of experience

Occupational Certificate: Occupational Health and Safety Practitioner (SAQA ID 99714)

In South Africa, it is required that all Construction Health and Safety (CHS) Practitioners register in terms of Section 18(1) (c) of the Project and Construction Management professions Act, Act 48 of 2000. If bidder OHS practitioner is not registered, the bid will be found to be non-responsive. The South African Council for the Project and Construction Management Professions (SACPCMP) is empowered to register categories of CHS Registration as follows:

Preferred Criteria (maximum score = 40)

Key Position	Criteria 1	Criteria 2	Criteria 3	Criteria 4
Qualifications	SACPCMP / OHS Qualification	SACPCMP / OHS Qualification	SACPCMP / OHS Qualification	SACPCMP / OHS Qualification
Years - Experience	> 10 years	> 5 – 10 years	>3 - 5 years	0 – 3 years
Points	40	30	20	10

It is compulsory to complete the nominated Construction Health and Safety Agent below. Curriculum Vitae together with proof of qualification and professional registration must be submitted to claim points for this criterion. Failure to provide proof or the CV itself will result in no points being allocated.

FORM: NOMINATED CONSTRUCTION HEALTH & SAFETY AGENT (CHSA) (Abridged CV)

Full Name	
ID Number	
Current Work	
Address	
Current Residential	
Address	
Contact Number	
E-mail address	
Highest Qualification	
Date Obtained	
(mm/yyyy)	
Professional	
Registration	
Years experience	
Registration number	

Initials of Service	Provider's Authority:	 	9	(3)	

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY HES-TECH 17/2526

Relevant experience in infrastructure projects				
Employer (Client)	Project Description	Year completed		

The appointed **OHS Practitioner**, assigned by the Health and Safety firm must take personal responsibility for the execution of the services for which the preferred firm is appointed during the three-year period.

The undersigned, who warrants that he / she is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the enterprise, confirms that the contents of this schedule are within my personal knowledge and are to the best of my belief both true and correct.

CRITERION 3: REFERENCES

The Bidder is hereby requested to provide a minimum of 2 contactable references per category. The references **must** complete, score and sign Form A: Original Completed Form A to be included in the tender documentation. Points for References will be allocated as indicated in the tables below. Please note that the information provided will be verified by the Municipality. Please note that only the prescribe Form A attached to this tender will be accepted. Bidders to note that no alternative reference sheets will be accepted. Incomplete or unclear reference sheets will not be accepted, and zero points will allocated for such references. The completed form A document, included in this document, is the only document which will be accepted for the bidder to score points.

Failure to provide the information in the prescribed Form A will result in zero points allocated for such references.

The references must be related to projects that includes Occupational Health and Safety duties and responsibilities, which were completed in the past 6 years (6 years indicated the period: 2019 until present)

REFERENCES	MAXIMUM POINTS
Points will only be scored if the reference favourably rated relevant previous experience as stated in criterion 1	
References 1	15
References 2	15
TOTAL (MAXIMUM 30 POINTS)	

Referee name:				
Postal address				
Contact number of references:				
Email address:				
Name of Bidder evaluated:				
Project Name:				
Project Description:				
Project Completion date:				
Project duration:				
Final Project Cost:				
		(
QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)		
Question		Answer		
How was the quality of the servi	ce?	Excellent (5 Points)		
,		Poor (0 Points)		
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVI	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	(5 POINTS)		
Question	Answer			
Did the bidder provide the goods	Yes (5 Points)			
	No (0 Points)			
PROFESSIONALISM (5 POIN				
Question Answer				
Professional behaviour always,	Yes (5 Points)			
Players?	No (0 Points)			

Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of r	my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	Date of declaration
	Date of decidiation

Referee name:			
Postal address			
Contact number of references:			
Email address:			
Name of Bidder evaluated:			
Project Name:			
Project Description:			
Project Completion date:			
Project duration:			
Final Project Cost:			
QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)	
Question		Answer	
11 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	Excellent (5 Points)	
How was the quality of the service	De 7	Poor (0 Points)	
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE AT CONTRACT PRICE (5 P			
Question	Answer		
Did the bidder provide the goods	Yes (5 Points)		
	No (0 Points)		
PROFESSIONALISM	PROFESSIONALISM (5 POINTS)		
Question Answer			
Professional behaviour always,	Yes (5 Points)		
Players?	No (0 Points)		

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 1//2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above inform	nation is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	
	Date of declaration

Referee name:			
Postal address			
Contact number of references:			
Email address:	•		
Name of Bidder evaluated:			
Project Name:			
Project Description:			
Project Completion date:			
Project duration:			
Final Project Cost:			

QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)	
Question		Answer	
How was the quality of the condi	202	Excellent (5 Points)	
How was the quality of the servi	Je :	Poor (0 Points)	
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE AT CONTRACT PRICE (5 P			
Question Answer			
Did the bidder provide the goods	Yes (5 Points)		
	No (0 Points)		
PROFESSIONALISM (5 POINTS)			
Question Answer			
Professional behaviour always,	Yes (5 Points)		
Players?	No (0 Points)		

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the	e best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	Data of dealeration
	Date of declaration

Defense		
Referee name:		
Postal address		
Contact number of references:		
Email address:		
Name of Bidder evaluated:		
Project Name:		
Project Description:		
Project Completion date:		
Project duration:		₽
Final Project Cost:		
QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)
Question		Answer
How was the quality of the servi	20	Excellent (5 Points)
Tiow was the quality of the service		Poor (0 Points)
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVI	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	(5 POINTS)
Question Answer		
Did the bidder provide the goods and/ services at prices as tendered?		Yes (5 Points)
		No (0 Points)
PROFESSIONALISM		(5 POINTS)
Question Answer		
Professional behaviour always, towards Client and all Role		Yes (5 Points)
Players?		No (0 Points)

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
3	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my k	nowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent Da	ate of declaration

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of references:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	,
QUALITY OF SERVICE	(5 POINTS)
Question	Answer
How was the quality of the service?	Excellent (5 Points)
How was the quality of the service:	Poor (0 Points)
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE AT CONTRACT PRICE	(5 POINTS)
Question	Answer
Did the bidder provide the goods and/ services at prices as tendered?	Yes (5 Points)
	No (0 Points)
	140 (01 01110)
	No (or onto)
PROFESSIONALISM	(5 POINTS)
PROFESSIONALISM Question	
	(5 POINTS)

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to	the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	Date of declaration

Julia Illia Illia del Control Illia de Control de Contr		
Referee name:		
Postal address		
Contact number of references:		
Email address:		
Name of Bidder evaluated:		
Project Name:		
Project Description:		
Project Completion date:		
Project duration:		
Final Project Cost:		
QUALITY OF SERVICE	(5	POINTS)
Question	Answer	
	Excellent (5 Poir	nts)
How was the quality of the service?	Poor (0 Points)	
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE AT CONTRACT PRICE	(5	POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Did the bidder provide the goods and/ services at prices as tendere	? Yes (5 Points)	
	No (0 Points)	
PROFESSIONALISM	(5	5 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Professional behaviour always, towards Client and all Role	Yes (5 Points)	
Players?	No (0 Points)	

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is,	to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	
	Date of declaration

Referee name:		
Postal address		
Contact number of references:		
Email address:		
Name of Bidder evaluated:		
Project Name:		
Project Description:		
Project Completion date:		
Project duration:		
Final Project Cost:		
QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)
Question		Answer
		Excellent (5 Points)
How was the quality of the service?		Poor (0 Points)
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVI	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	(5 POINTS)
Question		Answer
Did the bidder provide the goods	s and/ services at prices as tendered	? Yes (5 Points)
		No (0 Points)
PROFESSIONALISM		(5 POINTS)
Question		Answer
Professional behaviour always, towards Client and all Role		Yes (5 Points)
· ·		No (0 Points)

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information i	s, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	Date of declaration
	Date of decidation

Referee name:		
Postal address		
Contact number of references:		
Email address:		
Name of Bidder evaluated:		
Project Name:		
Project Description:		
Project Completion date:		
Project duration:		
Final Project Cost:		
	**	
QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)
QUALITY OF SERVICE Question		(5 POINTS)
Question	002	
	ce?	Answer
Question	ce?	Answer Excellent (5 Points)
Question		Answer Excellent (5 Points)
Question How was the quality of the serving		Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points)
Question How was the quality of the service DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE Question		Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points) (5 POINTS)
Question How was the quality of the service DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE Question	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points) (5 POINTS) Answer
Question How was the quality of the service DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE Question	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points) (5 POINTS) Answer Yes (5 Points)
Question How was the quality of the service DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE Question	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points) (5 POINTS) Answer Yes (5 Points)
Question How was the quality of the service DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE Question Did the bidder provide the goods	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE s and/ services at prices as tendered?	Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points) (5 POINTS) Answer Yes (5 Points) No (0 Points)
Question How was the quality of the service DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE Question Did the bidder provide the goods PROFESSIONALISM Question	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE s and/ services at prices as tendered?	Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points) (5 POINTS) Answer Yes (5 Points) No (0 Points)
Question How was the quality of the service DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE Question Did the bidder provide the goods PROFESSIONALISM	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE s and/ services at prices as tendered? towards Client and all Role	Answer Excellent (5 Points) Poor (0 Points) (5 POINTS) Answer Yes (5 Points) No (0 Points) (5 POINTS) Answer

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
	:
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the	best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	Date of declaration

Referee name:		
Postal address		
Contact number of references:		
Email address:		
Name of Bidder evaluated:		
Project Name:		
Project Description:		
Project Completion date:		
Project duration:		
Final Project Cost:		
QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)
Question		Answer
		Excellent (5 Points)
How was the quality of the service	pe?	Poor (0 Points)
		++(1)
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVI	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	(5 POINTS)
Question		Answer
Did the bidder provide the goods and/ services at prices as tendered?		Yes (5 Points)
		No (0 Points)
PROFESSIONALISM		(5 POINTS)
Question		Answer
Professional behaviour always, towards Client and all Role		Yes (5 Points)
		No (0 Points)

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
	<u>.</u>
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above informa	tion is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent	
	Date of declaration

Referee name:			
Postal address			
Contact number of references:			
Email address:			
Name of Bidder evaluated:			
Project Name:			
Project Description:			
Project Completion date:			
Project duration:			
Final Project Cost:			
QUALITY OF SERVICE		(5 POINTS)	
Question		Answer	
How was the quality of the service	ce?	Excellent (5 Points)	
Tiow was the quality of the service.		Poor (0 Points)	
DELIVERY OF COORS SERVI	CE AT CONTRACT PRICE	(5 POINTS)	
DELIVERY OF GOODS/ SERVICE AT CONTRACT PRICE Question		Answer	
Did the bidder provide the goods and/ services at prices as tendered?			
J. C.		No (0 Points)	
PROFESSIONALISM		(5 POINTS)	
Question		Answer	
Professional Denaviour always, lowards Official and all Noic		Yes (5 Points)	
		No (0 Points)	

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY	HES-TECH 17/2526
Additional Remarks/Comments:	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct an	d a true reflection.
Signature of Deponent Date of declaration	HILIDAIN-PA

HESSEQUA MUNICIPALITY HES-TECH 17/2526

Supplier must provide proof along with the tender document that he/she will get the Indemnity Insurance report.

Written proof of valid Professional indemnity Insurance must be provided to the Client, as part of the contract documentation, within 14 days after final award.

Insurance must be kept up to date for the entire period of the appointment, and proof of insurance must be made available to the Client (Hessequa Municipality), at any time, within five (5) working days, if required.

EVIDENCE OF FUNCTIONALITY MUST BE ATTACHED IN AN ANNEXURE ATTACHED TO THE TENDER DOCUMENT.

FAILURE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION AS STATED ABOVE, WILL RESULT IN NO POINTS BEING AWARDED TO THE TENDERER.

DECLARATION,
I, THE UNDERSIGNED (NAME) CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED ABOVE IS CORRECT. I ACCEPT THAT THE MUNICIPALITY MAY ACT AGAINST ME SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.
AUTHORISED SIGNATURE:
NAME:
CAPACITY:
DATE:

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

52