## Technical Evaluation Criteria: The provision of LIDAR/LSAP Survey services

DESCRIPTION						WEIGHT	SCORE
	CVs of the survey	team				20%	
1.	experience and the	lease provide CV's of survey team members and indicate their qualifications, related experience and their professional registration or affiliation (include certified copies of egistration certificates and a letter of good stating from SAGC).					
	(Qualification & related prof registration = 4 points per resource, maximum 20 points)						
	Technical Knowledge and competency					10%	
2.	Provide a detailed proposal outlining the methodology demonstrating how LIDAR surveying will be performed under LIDAR Survey category in a one or two pages.  o Proposal provided not relevant to LIDAR Survey = (0 points)  o Proposal provided but is not comprehensive = (up to 5 points)  c Comprehensive methodology = (up to 10 points)					10	
	Availability of facilities					35%	
3.	Provide list of equipment and instruments used in conducting LIDAR/LSAP surveying.  (2.5 points will be awarded for each equipment, maximum 10 points)					10	
4.	. Provide Calibration certificates for equipment and instruments (3 points will be awarded for each equipment, maximum 15 points)					15	
5.	Provide list of software your company uses for LIDAR/LSAP Surveying work (2.5 points will be awarded for each Software, maximum 10 points)					10	
Completed projects of similar nature						20%	
6.	6. Provide testimonials or completion certificates for aerial surveying (LSAP, LiDAR, Thermography) projects. Provide 10 reference letters for related work done within the past 5 years.					20%	
P	roject name Des	cription	Period	Organization / Client	Client name contact numbers		
	(2 points will be awarded for each project, maximum 20 points)						
	Planes owned or rented: Preferably owning is the best however due to high costs and maintenance leasing is another possible solution:					15%	
7.	•					10	
8.							
Total						100%	

NB: The minimum qualifying score for functionality (threshold) is 70%. All tenders that fail to achieve the minimum qualifying score on functionality shall not be considered for further evaluation.

Compiled by: D Sibande Signature: