

Strategy

Engineering

Title: Tender Technical Evaluation

Strategy for Inspection of passenger and goods lift for Hendrina Power Station

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: Auxiliary

Engineering

Documentation Type: Strategy

Revision: 0

Total Pages: 12

Next Review Date: N/A

Disclosure Classification: CONTROLLED

DISCLOSURE

.....

Unique Identifier:

240-168966153

Revision:

Page:

2 of 11

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| 2. SCOPE | 3 |
| 2.1.1 Purpose | 3 |
| 2.1.2 Applicability | |
| 2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES | 3 |
| 2.2.1 Normative | 3 |
| 2.2.2 Informative | 4 |
| OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT (OHSA) ACT 85 OF 1993 | 4 |
| DEFINITIONS | 4 |
| 2.2.3 Classification | 4 |
| 2.3 ABBREVIATIONS | |
| 2.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES | |
| 2.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING | |
| 2.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS | 4 |
| 3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY | 4 |
| 3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD | |
| 3.2 TET MEMBERS ERROR! BOOKMARK NO | |
| 3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA | |
| 3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA | |
| 3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES | |
| 3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS | |
| 3.6.1 Risks | |
| 4. AUTHORISATION | |
| 5. REVISIONS ERROR! BOOKMARK NO | |
| | |
| 6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM | |
| 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 11 |
| | |
| TABLES | |
| Table 1: TET Members Error! Bookmark | |
| Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria | |
| Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities | |
| Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks | |
| Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks | |
| Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions | |

Unique Identifier: 240-168966153

Revision: 0
Page: 3 of 11

1. INTRODUCTION

The works is to inspect passenger and goods lift. Each Lift, Escalator and Passenger conveyor is an essential part of the plant for the safe transportation of persons and goods within Eskom generating plant and commercial buildings. Lifts and escalators are

installed within the structures of many Eskom buildings and power stations to enhance performance and ease of workload.

Since lifts and escalators are used in the transportation of people and goods, the management of such installations are to ensure that they are inspected, tested and maintained to the highest degree in accordance with the SANS standards and the OHS Act No 85 of 1993 and to ensure that no injury or fatality will occur in relation to such installations, that could have been anticipated or foreseen.

2. SCOPE

The scope is to inspect passenger and goods at Hendrina Power Station. The inspection will be done every two years as stipulated on the OHS Act No 85 of 1993 and to ensure that no injury or fatality will occur in relation to such installations, that could have been anticipated or foreseen.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable to Hendrina Power Station.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [3] 32-1034 Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure

Unique Identifier: **240-168966153**

Revision: 0
Page: 4 of 11

2.2.2 Informative

Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) Act 85 of 1993

DEFINITIONS

2.2.3 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.3 ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Description | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|--|
| N/A | Not Applicable | |
| QCP | Quality Control Plan | |
| QIP | Quality Inspection Plan | |
| TET | Technical Evaluation Team | |
| TTE | Technical Tender Evaluation | |
| SANS | South African National Standards | |
| CV | Curriculum Vitae | |
| GA | General Arrangement | |
| PO | Purchase Order | |

2.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 32-1034 Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.5 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.6 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

Unique Identifier: **240-168966153**

Revision: 0

Page: **5 of 11**

3.2 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

| | Mandatory Technical Criteria Description | Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable | Motivation for use of Criteria |
|----|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 1. | Contractor or Lift Inspector on behalf of the contractor to provide proof of certification of being registered with the Southern African National Accreditation Systems (SANAS) as the Competent lift inspector or contractor. (Submit the agreement if lift inspector submit on behalf of the contractor | Proof of certification of being registered with SANAS | Eskom requirements |

Revision: 0

Page: **6 of 11**

3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

| No. | Qualitative Technical Criteria Description | Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable | Criteria Weighting (%) | Criteria Sub Weighting (%) |
|-----|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | "Provide CV with references of technical skills (ECSA Registered lift inspector) demonstrating to be equipped with a minimum of 5 years of conducting inspections on the lifts or escalators | ECSA certificate for lift inspector- The ECSA certificate must still be valid | | |
| 1.1 | Less than 2 years' experience | Provide a detailed CV which indicates years of experience | 0 | 0 |
| 1.2 | Less than 3 years' experience | Provide a detailed CV which indicates years of experience | 10 | 40 |
| 1.3 | Less than 4 years' experience | Provide a detailed CV which indicates years of experience | 20 | 80 |
| 1.4 | Less than 5 years' experience | More than 5 years' experience | 25 | 100 |
| 2 | Provide pdf Gantt chart illustrating a detailed programme to execute the required work within the specified duration. | | 25 | |
| 2.1 | Non submittal | | 0 | 0 |
| 2.2 | Submitted the project program that has the start date and the end date of the required work with missing activities | | 10 | 40 |
| 2.4 | Submitted the project program that has the start date and the end date of the required work with all activities | | 25 | 100 |
| 3 | Provide of a proof of a similar work for past 5 years, with a reference (order no. and contact details of the responsible person) | | 25 | |
| 3.1 | Less than 2 years' experience | Provide proof | 0 | 0 |
| 3.2 | Less than 3 years' experience | Provide proof | 10 | 40 |
| 3.3 | Less than 4 years' experience | Provide proof | 20 | 80 |

Unique Identifier:

240-168966153

Revision:

0

Page:

7 of 11

| 3.4 | Less than 5 years' experience | Provide proof | 25 | 100 |
|-----|--|---------------|----|-----|
| 4 | Provide a detailed methodology of how the works will be executed to be compatible with the site conditions and project constraints | | 25 | |
| 4.1 | No Methodology | | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 | Methodology provided as per scope of work | | 10 | 40 |
| 4.3 | Methodology without referrals to site conditions | | 20 | 80 |
| 4.4 | Methodology with referrals to site conditions | | 25 | 100 |

Revision: 0

Page: **8 of 11**

3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

Table 2: TET Member Responsibilities

| Mandatory Criteria Number | TET 1 | TET 2 | TET 3 | TET 4 | TET 5 | TET 6 |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Х | X | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Qualitative Criteria Number | TET 1 | TET 2 | TET 3 | TET 4 | TET 5 | TET 6 |
| 1 | Х | Х | | | | |
| 2 | Х | Х | | | | |
| 3 | Х | Х | | | | |
| 4 | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Revision: 0

Page: 9 of 11

3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.5.1 Risks

Table 3: Acceptable Technical Risks

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1. | N/A |
| 2. | |
| 3. | |
| 4. | |
| 5. | |
| 6. | |
| 7. | |

Table 4: Unacceptable Technical Risks

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1. | N/A |
| 2. | |
| 3. | |
| 4. | |
| 5. | |
| 6. | |
| 7. | |

| Tender Technic | al Evaluation | Strategy 1 | for Insp | ection of |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| passenger and | goods lift for | Hendrina | Power S | Station |

Revision: 0

Page: **10 of 11**

3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1. | N/A |
| 1. | |
| 2. | |
| 3. | |
| 4. | |
| 5. | |
| 6. | |

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1. | N/A |
| 2. | |
| 3. | |
| 4. | |
| 5. | |
| 6. | |
| 7. | |

Unique Identifier:

Revision:

Page: 11 of 11

0

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted:

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Nhlanhla Mabila

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None