Services for the Erection of pit platforms at Phalaborwa locomotive workshop for Transnet Engineering, Phalaborwa Depot.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION

Nr	Category	Total Weight (%)	Weight (%)	Scoring Methodology	Evidence	BIDDER 1	BIDDER 2	BIDDER 3	BIDDER 4	BIDDER 5
	Scope of Work: EvaluationCriteria			3 - The bidder fully meets requirements and value adding is extensive 2 - Meets critical requirements 1 - Partially meets requirements 0 - Company can not meet, high risk						
1	**Previous experience**	**20**		**Scoring Methodology**	**Evidence**					
1.1	**Reference letter/s,**		**20**	•No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided– **0 Points.** •To have successfully completed 1 project of a similar nature within the past 10 years – **05 Points.** •To have successfully completed 2 projects of a similar nature within the past 10 years **– 10 Points.** •To have successfully completed 3 projects of a similar nature within the past 10 years **– 20 Points. .**	The experience of the tendering entity or joint venture partners in the case of an incorporated joint venture or consortium, as opposed to the key staff members/ experts, in similar projects completed over the last ten years will be evaluated. Tenderers should provide a fully signed completion certificate of a similar nature. Proof by letterhead of the company stating that the work was done satisfactory with a stamp and the amount of the project					
2	**Qualifications, Exprience , and CV`S**	**30**		**Scoring Methodology**	**Evidence**					
2.1	**Mechanical Engineer (ECSA Registration)**		**30**	•The bidding company has submitted Mechanical Engineer with no work experience **- 0** •The bidding company has submitted Mechanical Engineer with work experience of one (1) to five (5) years **- 15** •The bidding company has submitted Mechanical Engineer with work experience of above five (5) years **- 30.**	Minimum Qualification Required BSc Eng. Or BEng. Or BTech Professional Registration Required Pr. Eng. Or Pr. Tech. Eng. Pr. Technologist Number of years of experience (CV's) Registered Mechanical Engineer with ECSA registration. NB: if any of the above documentation is not submitted will result a zero score, and if there is no work experience provided, then it will also be a zero score.					
3	**Project delivery lead times**	**15**		**Scoring Methodology**	**Evidence**					
3.1	**Project schedule to illustrate the estimated time frame to complete the project.**		**15**	No Project schedule with timelines provided. **– 0 Points** Project Schedule with timelines of seven months (07) and above = **10 Points.** Project schedule with timelines of six (06) months = **15 Points.**	No submission or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information is provided Project organogram submitted but does not provide a clear allocation of tasks and responsibilities for the execution of the project. The organisation chart is complete and detailed, and there is a clear allocation of tasks and responsibilities for the execution of the project.					
4	**Compliance to SHE Specification**	**15**		**Scoring Methodology**	**Evidence**					
4.1	**SHE Questionnaire and SHE Specification.** **Safety Officer (Registration with SACPCMP or registered by SAQA)**		**15**	•No of years of Experience, Qualifications and Professional Registration 0 years of experience or no submission **= 0 points** •1 to 3 years of experience, qualified & accredited with professional body = **10 points** •Above 3 years of experience, qualified & accredited with professional body = **15 points**	Minimum Qualification Required N. Dip, BTech or B Deg in Occupational Health & Safety Professional Registration Required Pr. Registration with SACPCMP or registered by SAQA professional Body. Number of years of experience (CV's) •Bidder must submit a signed and initialed SHE Specification with required attachments. •SHE Contractor Questionnaire •Letter of Good Standing •Qualification of Safety Officer NB: if any of the above documentation is not submitted will result in a zero score, and if there is no work experience provided, then it will also be a zero score.					
5	**Approach and Methodology**	**20**		**Scoring Methodology**	**Evidence**					
5.1	**Approach and Methodology**		**20**	•No information provided. – **0 Points** •The tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects of the Scope of Work and does not deal with the critical aspects of the project/ The methodology does not adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project, or the plan and way risk is to be managed. – **05 Points** •The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The approach & methodology to managing risk etc. is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has exceptional knowledge of working state of the art approaches. – **20 Points**	The tenderer should explain their understanding of the deliverables stated in the Scope of Work, attached herein, the following deliverables is required as the minimum requirements to this methodology, - Demonstrate understanding of the project Deliverables and restrictions, explicit and implied requirements, highlight the issues of importance, and explain the technical approach they would adopt and apply to address them - Propose appointment of subcontracts if applicable and demonstrate/assign subcontracts roles and R in the design and/or execution of the project. - Identify and detail Key foreseeable Project risks and propose measures to manage and mitigate such risks to achieve the project goals, - Methodology must demonstrate good appreciation of safety related matters and integrate safety in the Design and execution of the project, - Detailed project Quality Management Plan. - Project must clearly support an alignment of the scope of work, and the list of those deliverables above. Tenderers must attach their approach paper to this page. The scoring will be as follows: •Approach •Methodology and Quality Control • Schedule of Proposed Sub-Contractors • Design approach Execution plan					
		100.00	**100**							
The minimum threshold for Technical Evaluation is 70% and must be achieved in order to proceed to the next phase.										