

## T2.2-01 Eligibility Criteria - CIDB Grading Designation

### Note to tenderers:

Tenderers are to indicate their CIDB Grading by filling in the table below. **Attach a copy of the CIDB Grading Designation or evidence of being capable of being so registered.**

CRS Number	Status	Grading	Expiry Date

- Only those tenderers who are registered with the CIDB, or are capable of being so prior to the evaluation of submissions, in a contractor grading designation equal to or higher than a contractor grading designation determined in accordance with the sum tendered or a value determined in accordance with Regulation 25 (1B) or 25(7A) of the Construction Industry Development Regulations, for a **7SD or higher** class of construction work, are eligible to have their tenders evaluated.

### 2. Joint Venture (JV)

Joint ventures are eligible to submit tenders subject to the following:

- every member of the joint venture is registered with the CIDB;
- the lead partner has a contractor grading designation of not lower than one level one level below the required grading designation in the class of construction works under consideration and possesses the required recognition status; and
- the combined Contractor grading designation calculated in accordance with the Construction Industry Development Regulations is equal to or higher than a Contractor grading designation determined in accordance with the sum tendered for a **7SD or higher** class of construction work or a value determined in accordance with Regulation 25(1B) or 25(7A) of the Construction Industry Development Regulations
- the Contractor shall provide the employer with a certified copy of its signed joint venture agreement;
- and in the event that the joint venture is an 'Incorporated Joint Venture' the Memorandum of Incorporation to be provided within 4 (four) weeks of the Contract Date.

---

## **T2.2-02 Eligibility Criteria**

### **Proof of NACE Registration (NACE 2 or above)**

NAME OF COMPANY:

---

I/We \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

In our capacity as:

---

do hereby certify that (Name)

---

**is a professionally registered member of the following body**

---

**Note: Tenderers without a copy of a valid certificate to proof the professional registration will be disqualified.**

---

## **T2.2-03 Eligibility Criteria**

### **Proof of Safety Officer registered SACPCMP as CHSO**

NAME OF COMPANY:

---

I/We

---

In our capacity as:

---

do hereby certify that (Name)

---

**is a professionally registered member of the following body**

---

**Note: Tenderers without a copy of a valid certificate to proof the professional registration will be disqualified.**

## T2.2-04 Evaluation Schedule: Programme

### Note to tenderers:

#### Programme

**The Tenderer details the programme for evaluation and attaches it to this schedule. In addition, the Tenderer is to provide a pdf programme developed in MS Project.**

Tenderer to provide his proposed programme showing the following in accordance to the specific project tendered for:

1. The following information is required as a minimum on a programme submitted for evaluation:
  - Level 3 detail Programme, correctly structured as per Works Information.
  - Adequately showing the full Scope of Work including appropriate sequence of works and programme logic.
  - Realistic durations backed with anticipated cashflow required.
  - Earliest date achievable for the Completion of the whole of the works and any Sectional Completion dates, if required and all milestones to be clearly shown.
  - Includes duration of all preliminary works required prior to mobilising on Site including documentation preparation (starting from contract Award Date) and all approval periods by the client.
  - Includes anticipated Site mobilisation date.
  - Includes Contractor's Subcontractors duration on Site.
  - Includes all resources required to execute the project.
  - An indication must be given of where the project float is.
  - Provision for Safety, Health & Environment and Quality requirements (e.g., materials ordering, SHE compliance file, induction, QCP etc.).
  - Linkage of activities.
  - Provision of procurement long lead times.

The scoring of the Programme will be as follows:

Weight: 10%

<b>Elements: Weight 10%</b>		<b>Programme is clearly articulated and demonstrates a clear understanding of the project objectives</b>
	<b>Score</b>	
Programme (reference points) The following points should be covered adequately on the programme submitted for evaluation: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Level 3 detail Programme, correctly structured as per Works Information.</li> <li>Adequately showing the full Scope of Work including appropriate sequence of works and programme logic.</li> <li>Realistic durations backed with anticipated cashflow.</li> <li>Earliest date achievable for the Completion of the whole of the works and any Sectional Completion dates, if required and all milestones to be clearly shown.</li> <li>Includes duration of all preliminary works required prior to mobilising on Site including documentation preparation (starting from contract Award Date) and all approval periods by the client.</li> <li>Includes anticipated Site mobilisation date.</li> <li>Includes Contractor's Subcontractors duration on Site.</li> <li>Includes all resources required to execute the project.</li> <li>An indication must be given of where the project float is.</li> <li>Provision for Safety, Health &amp; Environment and Quality requirements (e.g., materials ordering, SHE compliance file, induction, QCP etc.).</li> <li>Linkage of activities.</li> <li>Provision of procurement long lead times.</li> </ol>	<b>0</b>	The Tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.
	<b>20</b>	The programme adequately covers four (4) of the reference points.
	<b>40</b>	The programme adequately covers six (6) of the reference.
	<b>60</b>	The programme adequately covers eight (8) of the reference points.
	<b>80</b>	The programme adequately covers ten (10) of the reference points.
	<b>100</b>	The programme adequately covers all thirteen (12) reference points.

## T2.2-05 Evaluation Schedule: Project Organogram, Management & CV's

Submit the following documents as a minimum with your tender document:

1. A comprehensive and detailed **organogram** that shows the structure and composition of their management structure involved in the *works*, inclusive of the key staff/professionals and their roles and responsibilities in this project identified in the Contract Data Part two.
2. Detailed CV's providing the following:
  - Personal particulars
    - Name
    - Place (s) of tertiary education and dates associated therewith.
    - Professional awards
  - Qualifications (degrees, diplomas, grades of membership of professional societies and professional registrations/certifications)
  - Name of current employer and position in enterprise
  - Overview of post graduate experience (year, organization and position)
  - Outline of recent assignments / experience that has a bearing on the Scope of Works
3. The project resources should include at least the following key resources, amongst others:
  - CP Site Supervisor
 

A CP Site Supervisor must have experience in CP Construction and Installation works.

A CP Site Supervisor must have valid NACE 2 certification or higher.
  - Project Manager
 

The Project Manager must have at least 3 years experience in project management within the CP field. In addition, then Project Manager must have experience working with the NEC3 Contracts.

The following table is to be populated by the tenderer identifying the resources for the key roles for the *works*. Attached submissions to this returnable.

Key Person Role	Name of Surname	CV attached (Yes/No)
CP Site Supervisor		
Project Manager		

**Total Weight: 35%**

The scoring of the Project Organogram, Management & CV's will be as follows:

Sub-Weight  15%	Relevant experience for the following:  Project Management within construction
(score 0)	The Tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.
(score 20)	Key staff have less than 2 years relevant level of experience.
(score 40)	Key staff have at least 2 years and less than 3 years levels of relevant experience.
(score 60)	Key staff have at least 3 years and less than 5 years levels of relevant experience.
(score 80)	Key staff have at least 5 years and less than 7 years levels of relevant experience.
(score 100)	Key staff have 7 years or more of relevant experience.

<b>Sub-Weight</b>	<b>Relevant experience for the following:</b>
<b>20 %</b>	<b>CP Site Supervisor</b>
(score 0)	The Tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.
(score 20)	Key staff have less than 3 years relevant level of experience.
(score 40)	Key staff have at least 3 years and less than 4 years levels of relevant experience.
(score 60)	Key staff have at least 4 years and less than 5 years levels of relevant experience.
(score 80)	Key staff have at least 5 years and less than 6 years levels of relevant experience.
(score 100)	Key staff have 7 years or more levels of relevant experience.



## T2.2-06 Evaluation Schedule: Previous Experience

### Note to tenderers:

Tenderers are required to demonstrate performance in comparable projects of similar size and nature by supplying the following:

- A list of past / current comparable projects.
- For each project, the start date and end date must be shown
- There must be references with contact details must be shown for each project

Evidence for similar works as detailed in the Works Information with reference to:

Installation of the following CP Equipment:

- Rectifiers
- Groundbeds
- Test Posts

Sufficient contactable references to substantiate experience indicated (Client name and contact details, project description, duration and contract value)

Tenders must submit letter of completions and/or reference letters to substantiate their experience.

References without letter of completions and/or reference letters will not be scored.

Index of documentation attached to this schedule

**Weight: 40 %**

Score	Previous Experience
<b>0</b>	Tenderer's previous experience presented has no relevance to the scope of this project and did not address any of the requirements.
<b>20</b>	Tenderer have submitted enough evidence to prove the completion of (1) project relating to the works information in the last 10 years.
<b>40</b>	Tenderer have submitted enough evidence to prove the completion of (2) projects relating to the works information in the last 10 years.
<b>60</b>	Tenderer have submitted enough evidence to prove the completion of (3) projects relating to the works information in the last 10 years.
<b>80</b>	Tenderer have submitted enough evidence to prove the completion of (4) projects relating to the works information in the last 10 years.

---

<b>100</b>	Tenderer have submitted enough evidence to prove the completion of (5) projects relating to the works information in the last 10 years.
------------	---

## **T2.2-07 Evaluation Schedule: Method Statement.**

The Tenderer to submit a Method Statement which responds to the *works information* and outlines the proposed approach.

The Method Statement should cover:

- Installation Procedures.
- Safety Measures.
- Risk Management.
- Quality Control and Testing.
- Documentation and Reporting.

The scoring of the approach paper will be as follows:

<b>Elements: Weight 15%</b>		<b>Method is clearly articulated and demonstrates a clear understanding of the project objectives</b>
	<b>Score</b>	
<p>Method Statement (reference points)</p> <p>Please illustrate a specific method for:</p> <p>Scope of work: Clearly define the scope of the installation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Installation Procedures:</b> Outline the procedures for installing the Cathodic Protection (CP) system step by step. Including specifics about wiring connections, backfilling, placement of anodes and any other installation techniques relevant to the project.</li> <li><b>Safety Measures:</b> Clearly define the safety protocols and precautions to be followed during the installation. This should cover the electrical safety procedures, handling of corrosive material, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Emergency Response Plans.</li> <li><b>Risk Management:</b> Identify key risk elements associated with the project and indicate how the key risks will be managed.</li> <li><b>Quality Control and Testing:</b> Specify the quality control measures that will be implemented to ensure the proper installation of the CP system. Detailing the testing procedures that will be conducted to verify the effectiveness of the system.</li> <li><b>Documentation and Reporting:</b> Outline the documentation requirements, including record keeping of installation details, test results, as-built drawings, etc. Describe the reporting procedures, indicating how progress will be submitted and what documents will be provided upon completion.</li> </ol>	<b>0</b>	The Tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.
	<b>20</b>	The method statement adequately covers one (1) of the reference points.
	<b>40</b>	The method statement adequately covers two (2) of the reference points.
	<b>60</b>	The method statement adequately covers three (3) of the reference points.
	<b>80</b>	The method statement adequately covers four (4) of the reference points.
	<b>100</b>	The method statement adequately covers five (5) of the reference points.